Day 46 - PM

1		1	onto editors on an equality basis.
2	(2.00 pm)	2	Q. I understand. So the general strategy was that you
3	MR JAY: Lord Stevens, can I just get back to a point which	3	should meet the editors, the press, equally fairly over
4	you raised this morning in answer to one of my	4	the course of a year.
5	questions. This concerns your writing for the	5	A. Yes.
6	News of the World and when you resigned from that. The	6	Q. The meetings themselves were arranged by the DPA,
7	question I put to you was:	7	obviously not by your office; is that correct?
8	"Are you able to tell us anything about the other	8	A. That's true.
9	information which was coming to your knowledge?"	9	Q. And in relation to each and every meeting, there was
10	Your answer was:	10	a briefing note which someone from within DPA prepared?
11	"It revolved around some unethical behaviour in	11	A. Exactly that, yes.
12	relation to one or two articles that had got the	12	Q. And you were expected to deliver it as you saw
13	headlines in the News of the World."	13	appropriate?
14	First of all, was that your perception of the	14	A. Yes.
15	articles or headlines in News of the World or was it	15	Q. And if necessary, go off-piste, as it were?
16	matters which were brought to your attention by others,	16	A. Yes.
17	in particular journalists?	17	Q. If I may say so, it's not very different from someone
18	A. Brought to my attention by others?	18	high up in political office?
19	Q. Can you tell us who those others were?	19	A. I think that's right. What you wanted to make sure was
20	<ul><li>A. It revolved around an article concerning Mr Max Mosley.</li></ul>	20	that you gave accurate information, particularly if
20	Q. Ah, because that article was in April 2008, whereas you	21	there were questions and issues they raised, and by that
21	terminated the contract with the News of the World	22	I mean members of the editorial team. I saw Greg Dyke
22	in October 2007.	23	six times over my period of time as Commissioner, who
24	A. That's right.	24	had issues. He's another person I saw. And others of
25	Q. So it must have been something before October 2007.	25	course.
20	Page 1		Page 3
1	A. It was in it was concerning just general behaviour,	1	Q. You deal with the MPA quite briefly in your statement.
2	really, I was hearing.	2	Were your relations with the MPA generally good ones, in
3	Q. General behaviour around the phone hacking issue? Was	3	your view?
4	that it? Or more widely?	4	A. Yes, they were, and I think the MPA is something we need
5	A. Just more widely, really.	5	to stress. We had monthly meetings of the MPA where
6	Q. Thank you. Can you ask you about paragraph 91 now of	6	every aspect are the Metropolitan Police was put up for
7	your statement, 09826. You say:	7	debate, accountable. The MPA at that time consisted of
8	"Joy Bentley and, to a lesser extent, Dick Fedorcio	8	23 people, initially headed by Lord Harris and then by
9	generally acting as my personal media liaison from	9	Len DuVall when the political composition changed in
10	within the DPA."	10	terms of London.
11	What was their philosophy as regards interactions	11	We went through every aspect of policing and the
12	with the press, insofar as one could summarise it?	12	television cameras were there, members of the media were
12	A. Joy Bentley dealt with things on a day-to-day basis. So	13	there, especially if there was something which they
14	if I went for interviews with the BBC or elsewhere, like	14	thought was interesting to them, and the business of
15	on the Today programme, she would give me a briefing	15	being open was certainly the case with the MPA. They
16	paper and we'd discuss the reasons before going in there	16	were a major part of the Commissioner's accountability
17	because there was usually some specific reasons for	17	and responsibilities beyond what the Home Secretary
18	going in front of these programmes. The same applied to	18	said. Then, of course, there was the Mayor in my
19	meeting with the crime reporters and the press	19	time, it was Ken Livingstone who also wanted to know
20	generally.	20	what was going on.
20	Dick Fedorcio was on a higher level in terms of the	21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Did that provide a greater degree of
21	strategy. He was on my instructions I wanted to	22	accountability than you'd previously experienced?
22	make sure that each outlet, in terms of national	23	A. By far, sir. By far. I'd experienced that type of
23	newspapers and the press, was dealt with equally and it	24	accountability as Chief Constable in Northumbria, where
25	was his job to make this you are that we tried to get	25	the police authority there consisted of I think it
	Page 2		Page 4
L		L	

1	was about 28 members from Sunderland to Newcastle to	1	As a chief constable or commissioner you have in your
2	Northumberland.	2	bottom desk what your plans are. If you reach
3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Partly local authority, partly	3	67 per cent of your plans, then you're doing well, and
4	magistrates?	4	one of the plans was actually to create something
5	A. That's right, but there is absolutely no doubt that the	5	totally different in London, as Paul Condon talked
6	MPA and I used to see the chairman of the MPA at	6	about, but to create something which was similar to
7	least once a week was a real issue of accountability.	7	Northumbria. Northumbria is the fourth largest force in
8	I mean, there was nothing that missed them. This were	8	the UK, fourth or fifth, and it worked there in very
9	all major players in London. Most of them were people	9	difficult circumstances, as well.
10	who had led their councils. They were experienced	10	Q. I'm going to ask you questions about something else now.
11	people and it was a real exercise, and Lord Harris of	11	I've given you some notice of these questions.
12	Haringey had decided that all of these meetings should	12	A. Yes.
13	be absolutely open, with the media there for as much if	13	Q. We'll see how far we get with them, if you follow me.
14	not all of the period of time where we were under	14	A. Mm.
15	examination by members of the MPA. It was an	15	Q. Were you aware, at the time when you were Deputy
16	examination beyond what I'd experienced before, to be	16	Commissioner and/or Commissioner, that the
17	honest.	17	News of the World were extensively using a private
18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And did they correctly distinguish	18	investigation company called Southern Investigations?
19	between their side of the ship, strategy oversight, and	19	A. No.
20	your side of the ship, operations?	20	Q. Did there ever come a time when you were aware of that?
21	A. Very much so, sir, yes. They again, being	21	A. No.
22	experienced politicians and some of the people who	22	Q. So does this follow: that you weren't aware that the
23	were independent members were very, you know, eminent	23	News of the World made extensive use of Southern
24	businessmen and the like very rarely did I have to	24	Investigations illegally to obtain information about
25	say, "This is an operational matter." Actually, for me	25	police officers?
	Page 5		Page 7
1	to say that it was an operational matter was me failing	1	A. No.
2	in my job to allow them and convince them that what	2	Q. Can I ask you, please, about page 263 of your book.
3	I was doing was right.	3	I've forgotten the title
4	In London, of course, it was very different. You	4	A. "Not for the faint hearted".
5			
	nad the MIPA, the Mayor, who I saw on a regiliar basis.	5	O. You say in your book:
1 0	had the MPA, the Mayor, who I saw on a regular basis, Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of	5	Q. You say in your book: "At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective
6 7	Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of	6	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective
7	Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as	6 7	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in
7 8	Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.	6 7 8	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the
7	Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process. MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This	6 7	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."
7 8 9	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?
7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations'</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that? A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same policy that we talked about at the Metropolitan Police</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> <li>Q. The probe you're referring to is a hidden microphone; is</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same policy that we talked about at the Metropolitan Police we tried to ensure came in in Northumbria, with those</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> <li>Q. The probe you're referring to is a hidden microphone; is that right?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same policy that we talked about at the Metropolitan Police we tried to ensure came in in Northumbria, with those people on the front line talking about what they were</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> <li>Q. The probe you're referring to is a hidden microphone; is that right?</li> <li>A. It was, yes.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same policy that we talked about at the Metropolitan Police we tried to ensure came in in Northumbria, with those people on the front line talking about what they were doing and why they were doing things.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> <li>Q. The probe you're referring to is a hidden microphone; is that right?</li> <li>A. It was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Because your book goes on to say:</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same policy that we talked about at the Metropolitan Police we tried to ensure came in in Northumbria, with those people on the front line talking about what they were doing and why they were doing things.</li> <li>Q. So it was that policy really which you took forward to</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar." So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> <li>Q. The probe you're referring to is a hidden microphone; is that right?</li> <li>A. It was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Because your book goes on to say: "Eventually, it became possible to monitor</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Ken Livingstone, then you had the Home Secretary and of course I was seeing the Prime Minister on occasions as well. So it was quite a process.</li> <li>MR JAY: Paragraph 111 now of your statement, 09835. This is when you're Chief Constable at Northumbria.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. How often were you having lunches and dinners with journalists there, Lord Stevens?</li> <li>A. Nothing like as frequent as I was doing here, but I did it on a regular basis. When I inherited Northumbria as chief, Northumbria was known as the car crime capital of Europe. We had the highest crime levels of car crime and so it went on after the riots. So again the same policy that we talked about at the Metropolitan Police we tried to ensure came in in Northumbria, with those people on the front line talking about what they were doing and why they were doing things.</li> <li>Q. So it was that policy really which you took forward to London when you came here?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>"At the end of the 1990s, an independent detective agency called Southern Investigations, based in Sydenham, was frequently coming up on the anti-corruption squad's radar."</li> <li>So when did you become aware of that?</li> <li>A. As Deputy Commissioner, a presentation was made to me to try and get a probe into Southern Investigations' offices. That probe took an extraordinarily long time to get fitted in, in legal terms. It was all done legally. And having authorised that, which was part of an effort to find out what they were up to, that led to certain prosecutions and those prosecutions are a matter of record.</li> <li>Q. The probe you're referring to is a hidden microphone; is that right?</li> <li>A. It was, yes.</li> <li>Q. Because your book goes on to say: "Eventually, it became possible to monitor conversations and the hidden microphones picked up much</li> </ul>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1	the agency, corrupt officers were selling stories about	1	the News of the World, which I think was in 2005 onwards
2	their investigations to newspapers and being paid quite	2	to October 2007, as we've heard, were you being provided
3	handsome amounts of money, an unsavoury business all	3	information for that column from Mr Fedorcio?
4	around."	4	A. No.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. I think the last point, Lord Stevens, to go back to the
6	Q. So when did you become aware of that?	6	evidence you gave just as we started after lunch, when
7	A. When prosecutions took place, and one or two people were	7	you were talking about leaving the News of the World, is
8	successfully prosecuted.	8	this the point: that the information you were receiving
9	Q. The reference in your book to selling stories about	9	about the News of the World related to phone hacking,
10	their investigations to newspapers, of course that's	10	and that's why you have some diffidence about explaining
11	a generic reference, but are you saying you weren't	11	it to us now?
12	aware the News of the World was one of those newspapers?	12	A. No, it's the convictions of both Goodman and Mulcaire,
13	A. No, I think it was a generic and I think the individual	13	my thoughts about that and thoughts about the
14	involved was selling information to a number of	14	admission of that and, of course, the resignation of
15	newspapers.	15	Andy Coulson, which he obviously resigned for
16	Q. Were you aware, though, what the titles were across the	16	reasons, and the whole thing just didn't seem right to
17	range that this individual was selling information	17	me and I had to get out.
18	A. Anyone who would pay him money was the issue.	18	MR JAY: Okay. Lord Stevens, thank you very much. Those
19	Q. So it's your evidence that you weren't aware it was the	19	are all my questions.
20	News of the World?	20	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Let me now have the same debate that
21	A. No. I think it could have been the News of the World.	21	I had with Lord Condon.
22	It could have been the Standard, the Guardian. This	22	A. Yes, sir.
23	individual, and who he was surrounding by, was selling	23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Before. First of all, do you agree
24	stories, some of them, actually, with very little	24	with Lord Condon's view as to the cycle? I won't ask
25	credibility or truth, to the newspapers. Salacious	25	you if you agree with his view as to the benefit this
	Page 9		Page 11
1	gossip, some of it.	1	Inquiry might have had, but I'm much more concerned to
2	Q. Taking it forward then to Crimewatch in 2002. An appeal	2	try to fix the register of what I suggest at an
	Q. Taking it forward then to enhiewater in 2002. This appear		
3	was made on Crimewatch DCS Cook I think made the		
3	was made on Crimewatch. DCS Cook, I think, made the	3	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather
4	appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this	3 4	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way
4 5	appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of	3 4 5	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point
4 5 6	appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?	3 4 5 6	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and
4 5 6 7	<ul><li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this</li><li>in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of</li><li>Mr Morgan?</li><li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal
4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li><li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher. In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher. In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including,</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher. In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person,</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern Investigations was gathering evidence on senior MPS</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely straightforward. So I ask you, as I asked him, whether</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern Investigations was gathering evidence on senior MPS personnel, and some of that evidence related to their</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely straightforward. So I ask you, as I asked him, whether you have thought about how that could best be achieved.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern Investigations was gathering evidence on senior MPS personnel, and some of that evidence related to their private lives?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely straightforward. So I ask you, as I asked him, whether you have thought about how that could best be achieved. I appreciate you've been out of it for some years, but</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern Investigations was gathering evidence on senior MPS personnel, and some of that evidence related to their private lives?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely straightforward. So I ask you, as I asked him, whether you have thought about how that could best be achieved. I appreciate you've been out of it for some years, but I can't believe you have lost your interest in policing</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern Investigations was gathering evidence on senior MPS personnel, and some of that evidence related to their private lives?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I think the final point, Lord Stevens, just for the</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely straightforward. So I ask you, as I asked him, whether you have thought about how that could best be achieved. I appreciate you've been out of it for some years, but I can't believe you have lost your interest in policing the capital.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>appeal on behalf of the Metropolitan Police. Was this in relation to reopening the inquiry into the murder of Mr Morgan?</li> <li>A. Yes, where we put a considerable amount of resources into.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware of that at the time, of the Crimewatch appeal, that is?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that Mr Cook and his family, including, of course, Jacqui Hames, were placed under surveillance by the News of the World?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did that come to your attention later or not at all?</li> <li>A. I can't remember anyone mentioning that to me in person, no.</li> <li>Q. Were you aware that in about 2004, Southern Investigations was gathering evidence on senior MPS personnel, and some of that evidence related to their private lives?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>appropriate level, to balance on the one hand a rather more calibrated blush test, which I think is a good way of articulating the issue, but to bear in mind the point that you've made, that the free flow of open and transparent information can only benefit the criminal justice system in a world where expectations always become higher and higher.</li> <li>In a different capacity, I have spoken on a number of occasions about what I've called the CSI effect, which is all to do with the risk that the public believe that you can solve everything forensically and don't need, therefore, to be given evidence. It's very important that we deal with that and we do as much as we can to encourage members of the public to assist the police and to be prepared to come to court.</li> <li>So I see that side of the story as well, but to try and find the correct place is not entirely straightforward. So I ask you, as I asked him, whether you have thought about how that could best be achieved. I appreciate you've been out of it for some years, but I can't believe you have lost your interest in policing</li> </ul>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: If you have, what is the benefit of	1	A. Yes, it is, sir.
2	those views? And if you want further time to consider	2	Q. The date you signed the statement you were still an
3	it, I'd be interested to receive something from you, if	3	Assistant Commissioner with the Metropolitan Police, but
4	you don't mind, that gave me the benefit of those views.	4	on 1 February you became the Chief Constable of the
5	A. I'd be delighted to do that, sir. As Lord Condon,	5	Surrey Police; is that so?
6	I have been involved in the integrity business. I did	6	A. That's correct, sir.
7	the so-called bungs Premier League case, the crash that	7	Q. In terms of your career, you started off with the MPS
8	never was, investigated that in Singapore, and of course	8	in August 1989. After moving up the ranks, you
9	the drugs problem with horse doping in Beijing and so it	9	transferred to Surrey in December 2002 as
10	goes on.	10	a superintendent. You transferred back, however, to the
11	The corruption side of things, the integrity side of	11	Metropolitan Police in May 2009 as a Deputy Assistant
12	things, I've been very, very closely involved in some of	12	Commissioner, but then in December 2010, you were
13	the work that I do, so I'd be delighted to send that had	13	appointed as Assistant Commissioner, central operations;
14	to you, sir. If you can give us a bit of time to put it	14	is that right?
15	together.	15	A. It was March 2009, not May 2009.
16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, I'm going to be here for	16	Q. Pardon me, March 2009. And your responsibilities as
17	some time to come. It's very important to me that what	17	Assistant Commissioner, those covered matters such as
18	I produce doesn't immediately get a reaction of	18	the royal wedding, visit of President Obama and then the
19	a policeman saying, "Well, that's clearly come from	19	response to the London riots; is that right?
20	somebody who's not a policeman." It has to work for the	20	A. That's correct, sir.
21	police.	21	Q. We're going to cover almost entirely your time with the
22	A. Yes.	22	MPS, not your time at Surrey, with which you've only
23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It also has to to work for the	23	been there, I think, about five weeks. There's only one
24	public.	24	small matter we may cover.
25	A. Yes.	25	Can I ask you, please, about paragraph 8 of your
	Page 13		Page 15
1	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And it has to deal with what	1	statement, first of all, when you received some advice
2	I perceive is the very real concern about what has been	2	from the director of public affairs, who of course was
3	happening.	3	Mr Fedorcio; is that correct? He said it would be
4	A. No problem. I'm sure everyone in this room believes in	4	sensible if you met some journalists so that they could
5	freedom of the press, but there needs to be some	5	get to know you in advance of any significant event.
6	structure and some monitoring processes. I'd go further	6	His suggestion was that this may be in a social setting.
7	and say that just the fact that you're here has achieved	7	What was your response to that piece of advice?
8	something. Something has to come out in terms of the	8	A. I thought that the advice that I should meet some
9	monitoring and some kind of reinforcement of how the	9	journalists was sensible. I hadn't worked in London for
10	police act, I think.	10	a long while and I didn't have pre-existing
11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I entirely agree. As I said to	11	relationships. I didn't concur that it should be in
12	Lord Condon, it would be wonderful to think that I had	12	a social setting, as I prefer to keep my professional
13	done the job, but I don't actually believe that.	13	and personal life separate.
14	A. No, sir.	14	Q. Do you or did you consider there was any ethical
15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much.	15	difficulty in meeting with journalists in a social
16	A. Thank you.	16	setting or was it more a matter of your own personal
17	MR JAY: Sir, the next witness is Lynne Owens, please.	17	style?
18	MS LYNNE OWENS (sworn)	18	A. It's my personal my preferred personal approach is to
19	Questions by MR JAY	19	meet them separately.
20	MR JAY: Your full name, please, Ms Owens?	20	Q. That said, did you have any perception, though, of
21	A. Lynne Gillian Owens.	21	difficulties which could arise if you meet with anybody,
22	Q. Thank you. You've provided us with a witness statement	22	really, in a social setting, if it in fact is part of
23	dated 26 January 2012. You've signed it and there's	23	your work?
24	a statement of truth in the standard form. Is this your	24	A. I think the challenge of a social setting is if you are
25	formal evidence to the Inquiry?	25	in that environment and you're drinking alcohol, then
1	Page 14		Page 16
<u>د</u>			

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

1	there is perhaps an expectation that you will say some	1	history whereby the effectiveness of the MPS and its
2	things that you wouldn't say in a more formalised	2	senior leaders was judged through media reporting."
3	setting and I didn't want to take that risk.	3	First of all, does it follow that you believed that
4	Q. You say additionally that early in your detective	4	the senior leaders of the Metropolitan Police were being
5	career, a wise and more senior colleague advised you	5	judged through media reporting?
6	never to give your mobile telephone number to	6	A. Certainly before my arrival in London, I think we saw
7	journalists as that prevented contact at inappropriate	7	during Lord Blair's commissionership some commentary on
8	times in inquiries, and you say you've always followed	8	his leadership in the media and I think that did impact
9	that advice. So it applies even though as	9	on the relationship the Metropolitan Police Service
10	Chief Constable. You haven't handed out your mobile	10	formed with the media.
11	number to journalists or anybody in a similar position;	11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: In what way should that did that
12	is that so?	12	mean that there should be a greater attempt to engage
13	A. That's correct.	13	with them or a decision to step back on the basis you're
14	Q. Can I ask you this: in your time in the MPS, have you	14	not going to influence them? I'm just trying to
15	received the sort of media training that Lord Stevens	15	understand what was going on and how it changed when you
16	referred to?	16	arrived.
17	A. I don't believe I have received media training in London	17	A. I think Sir Paul Stephenson gave evidence yesterday
18	but I have received various levels of media training	18	about the story becoming about the Commissioner rather
19	throughout my career.	19	than being about the work of the many outstanding
20	Q. Apart from matters such as how to comport yourself in	20	officers and staff of the Metropolitan Police Service.
21	front of a television camera, which no doubt has its own	21	So I think there was a sense that we needed to be more
22	challenges, what advice were you given as to how you	22	proactive in engaging with the media so that they knew
23	should approach the media, the print media in	23	about the totality of the work of the officers and staff
24	particular, what you should say to them and what you	24	on the ground, rather than providing commentary based on
25	shouldn't say to them?	25	gossip from within management board.
	Page 17		Page 19
1	A. The first step in the process is to make the contact	1	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Although that's a leak, isn't it?
2	through a professional media office, who will, in	2	But if you felt that it was important to, as it were,
3	advance, have clarity for you about what sort of	3	tell the police story and I have no doubt there was
4	questions are going to be asked. You should answer	4	and remains a very valid police story how did you
5	questions honestly and frankly and accept that other	5	plan to do that in your new role?
6	than in off-the-record scenarios, everything you said	6	A. I did that in the meetings that are included in my
7	will be reported.	7	statement, within my office. So I still engaged with
8	Q. In paragraph 9 of your statement, you make it clear that	8	the media, but I just didn't do it over lunch or in
9	your approach may be not the same as others, that others	9	a social setting.
10	have taken a different view. You are keenly aware you	10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Did you have any difficulty
11	haven't previously worked in a senior position in the	11	persuading people to come to meet you?
12	MPS and had not experienced any of the recent difficult	12	A. I think the journalists did find it slightly strange.
13	history, whereby the effectiveness of the MPS and its	13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay. All right.
14	senior leaders was judged through media reporting. Is	14	MR JAY: Which suggests that well, it's obvious, isn't
15	there also an implied judgment there that you were or	15	it that the culture was that you would meet
16	perhaps are not in agreement with the more, if I can put	16 17	journalists over a glass of wine, perhaps, or in a more
17	it in these terms, expensive social valation live which	11/	social setting.
10	it in these terms, expansive social relationships which		
18	some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the	18	A. I'm not sure whether I would say it was culture, but
19	some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?	18 19	there was an expectation that may happen.
19 20	<ul><li>some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?</li><li>A. I can only provide commentary on the way that I have</li></ul>	18 19 20	<b>there was an expectation that may happen.</b> Q. I just want to understand, if I can, a little bit more
19 20 21	<ul><li>some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?</li><li>A. I can only provide commentary on the way that I have approached it, and certainly it's worked from my</li></ul>	18 19 20 21	<ul><li>there was an expectation that may happen.</li><li>Q. I just want to understand, if I can, a little bit more what you're saying in paragraph 9. The recent</li></ul>
19 20 21 22	<ul><li>some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?</li><li>A. I can only provide commentary on the way that I have approached it, and certainly it's worked from my perspective.</li></ul>	18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>there was an expectation that may happen.</li><li>Q. I just want to understand, if I can, a little bit more what you're saying in paragraph 9. The recent difficulty you're referring to is maybe the difficulties</li></ul>
19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?</li> <li>A. I can only provide commentary on the way that I have approached it, and certainly it's worked from my perspective.</li> <li>LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But I'd like to know what you mean by</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul><li>there was an expectation that may happen.</li><li>Q. I just want to understand, if I can, a little bit more what you're saying in paragraph 9. The recent difficulty you're referring to is maybe the difficulties Lord Blair had and we'll probably hear about them</li></ul>
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?</li> <li>A. I can only provide commentary on the way that I have approached it, and certainly it's worked from my perspective.</li> <li>LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But I'd like to know what you mean by this, if you don't mind, Chief Constable:</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul><li>there was an expectation that may happen.</li><li>Q. I just want to understand, if I can, a little bit more what you're saying in paragraph 9. The recent difficulty you're referring to is maybe the difficulties Lord Blair had and we'll probably hear about them tomorrow with negative reporting in the press. Was</li></ul>
19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>some of your senior colleagues have enjoyed with the media over the years; is that right?</li> <li>A. I can only provide commentary on the way that I have approached it, and certainly it's worked from my perspective.</li> <li>LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But I'd like to know what you mean by</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul><li>there was an expectation that may happen.</li><li>Q. I just want to understand, if I can, a little bit more what you're saying in paragraph 9. The recent difficulty you're referring to is maybe the difficulties Lord Blair had and we'll probably hear about them</li></ul>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

1	style which he operated and if so, one could	1	went off the record?
2	understand why you would want to cultivate a different	2	A. I did have some off-the-record conversations in relation
3	style or was it a function of something else	3	to specific events, notably around the royal wedding,
4	altogether?	4	but generally that was in a CRA environment, Crime
5	A. I obviously wasn't in the Metropolitan Police Service	5	Reporters Association environment.
6	when Lord Blair was Commissioner, so it's quite	6	Q. Different people use "off the record" in different ways,
7	difficult for me to comment on the style that he	7	so to be clear about it, what do you mean by "off the
8	personally had.	8	record"?
9	Q. Okay. The meetings you had, though and you refer to	9	A. Generally, it was when I was clarifying a fact that
10	them or begin to refer to them in paragraph 10 of your	10	wasn't reportable at that time because it would either
11	statement, but you give other details elsewhere. In the	11	disrupt a criminal case at court or would be otherwise
12	first meeting, when you were appointed as an Assistant	12	disruptive to a policing operation, but it was when
13	Commissioner, you met with a number of journalists. Can	13	I was trying to clarify a point or a fact.
14	we understand, please, where those meetings took place?	14	Q. So would this follow: that in due course, after the
15	A. I believe they all took place in New Scotland Yard and	15	relevant event, there would be no objection to
16	I think all of them were in my office, but I'm pretty	16	publication, and your name being mentioned, but it was
17	certain they were all in the Yard.	17	to ensure that there would be no publication until the
18	Q. So it follows that by definition no hospitality was	18	relevant event occurred? Have I correctly understood
19	offered by the journalists to you because of the terrain	19	it?
20	which you were occupying, and doubtless all they got was	20	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	a cup of tea or cup of coffee as appropriate?	20	Q. Are these the sort of standards which you were hoping
21	A. That's correct.	$ ^{21}_{22}$	to well, obviously they're the sort of standards
22	Q. That really sets the scene, does it not, for all the	22	you're going to apply to yourself as Chief Constable of
23	other meetings you had with the press. Were they always	23	Surrey, but are they the sort of standards you're hoping
24	at New Scotland Yard or did sometimes you go to	24	that those under you will also be applying?
25	Page 21		Page 23
		-	
1	newspaper offices?	1	A. I think the topic is a difficult one, because I do think
1 2	* *	1 2	A. I think the topic is a difficult one, because I do think there has to be different standards for constables who
	newspaper offices? A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at		-
2	A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an	2	there has to be different standards for constables who
2 3	A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at	2 3	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who
2 3 4	A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists	2 3 4	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the
2 3 4 5	A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.	2 3 4 5	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who</li> <li>operate on the front line through to those of us who</li> <li>occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the</li> <li>world of public order, we were trying very hard to</li> <li>encourage officers to explain what they were doing to</li> <li>people they were encountering, including journalists.</li> <li>So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level</li> <li>of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation,</li> <li>the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists.</li> <li>So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who</li> <li>operate on the front line through to those of us who</li> <li>occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the</li> <li>world of public order, we were trying very hard to</li> <li>encourage officers to explain what they were doing to</li> <li>people they were encountering, including journalists.</li> <li>So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level</li> <li>of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation,</li> <li>the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should</li> <li>be, and therefore these are the standards that I would</li> <li>be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> <li>Q. Because everything is entirely above board. Were all</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or the slant on a story.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> <li>Q. Because everything is entirely above board. Were all the meetings noted as well, in terms of the fact that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or the slant on a story.</li> <li>Q. The implication is that in your case they would always</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> <li>Q. Because everything is entirely above board. Were all the meetings noted as well, in terms of the fact that they took place rather than necessarily what was said</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or the slant on a story.</li> <li>Q. The implication is that in your case they would always have failed; is that fair?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> <li>Q. Because everything is entirely above board. Were all the meetings noted as well, in terms of the fact that they took place rather than necessarily what was said during the course of those meetings?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or the slant on a story.</li> <li>Q. The implication is that in your case they would always have failed; is that fair?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> <li>Q. Because everything is entirely above board. Were all the meetings noted as well, in terms of the fact that they took place rather than necessarily what was said during the course of those meetings?</li> <li>A. All the meetings were recorded in my diary, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or the slant on a story.</li> <li>Q. The implication is that in your case they would always have failed; is that fair?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's the job.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I sometimes had to go to a studio to give an interview the Radio 4 studio, the studios at Milbank but face-to-face meetings with journalists took place at New Scotland Yard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this right: it's not really a question of you never going to a restaurant; you never went to a newspaper office? Is that correct?</li> <li>A. Not for the print media, no.</li> <li>Q. Yes. For technical reasons, for broadcast media, you had to go to them.</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Some may say and therefore I put it to you gently that this is an extremely austere approach. Would you agree with that or would you say, rather: no, it's an entirely appropriate approach?</li> <li>A. From my perspective, I thought it was an entirely appropriate approach.</li> <li>Q. Because everything is entirely above board. Were all the meetings noted as well, in terms of the fact that they took place rather than necessarily what was said during the course of those meetings?</li> <li>A. All the meetings were recorded in my diary, yes.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever have off-the-record meetings or were there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>there has to be different standards for constables who operate on the front line through to those of us who occupy the most senior positions. As an example, in the world of public order, we were trying very hard to encourage officers to explain what they were doing to people they were encountering, including journalists. So I wouldn't want them to have to operate to this level of standards, but the higher you are in an organisation, the more open to scrutiny and accountability you should be, and therefore these are the standards that I would be expecting for senior leaders in Surrey Police.</li> <li>Q. In your interactions with the press, which are fully recorded in your statement, did you ever feel there were occasions when they were trying to get you to say more than you wanted to say?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. That's I think that's a journalist's function, is to try and get the scoop or the slant on a story.</li> <li>Q. The implication is that in your case they would always have failed; is that fair?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's the job.</li> <li>MR JAY: The job on both sides, maybe.</li> </ul>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

		1	
1	Q. If it's correctly played out, as it were. I'm not going	1	public, such as a meal or attendance at a function and
2	to go through each and every meeting because I don't	2	it would be in the interests of the force to attend,
3	think there's any need to. I would like, if I may, to	3	a divisional commander, departmental head or ACPO will
4	address paragraph 37 of your statement. I hope we can	4	be notified and authority sought to attend. This is to
5	do it quite generally. I haven't been given the pages	5	protect staff from any subsequent suggestion of
6	numbers. This is 07224.	6	impropriety."
7	This is a leak issue involving the activities of	7	The gist or purpose of this is to deal with
8	a crime squad in London, and I understand that although	8	perceptions of impropriety as much as the fact of
9	that investigation is outside the reach of Operation	9	impropriety; is that right?
10	Elveden, the investigation is ongoing; is that right?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. That's correct. There has been one misconduct hearing	11	Q. "Before accepting such an offer, the following should be
12	and there are further to follow.	12	considered: is it a duty participation? Is it a duty
13	Q. The essence of the allegation, without going into it, is	13	attendance? Is it a charity? Is it plainly for
14	that some material we can put it quite generically	14	pleasure? In this case, attendance should never be
15	found its way to a particular newspaper?	15	free."
16	A. That's correct.	16	In other words, you can attend, but you must pay
17	Q. And the suspicion is that someone within the crime squad	17	your own way, effectively?
18	may have leaked that material?	18	A. That's correct.
19	A. I wouldn't go quite that far. We don't know how it got	19	Q. Is this policy under revision as a result of the Filkin
20	to be with the newspaper. We didn't know whether it	20	and O'Connor reports?
21	came from the offices, one of the other organisations	21	A. Yes. As you say, I have been in the force for five
22	that may have had access to it or indeed from anywhere	22	weeks. In the context of the Filkin and O'Connor
23	else within the Metropolitan Police Service.	23	reports, I have asked for it to be reviewed and
24	Q. This is one example out of possibly a few examples of	24	revisited and I'm expecting a report back to my chief
25	stories in the media which have caused you to question	25	officer group.
_	Page 25		Page 27
1	their origin. So is this the implication: that leaks,	1	Q. Is it your preliminary view that this policy is not
1 2	their origin. So is this the implication: that leaks, to your experience, have not been widespread?	1 2	Q. Is it your preliminary view that this policy is not austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it
		1	
2	to your experience, have not been widespread?	2	austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it
2 3	to your experience, have not been widespread? A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in	2 3	austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?
2 3 4	<ul><li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li><li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li><li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li><li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li><li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	to your experience, have not been widespread? A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police. Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police. Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about this award at all until I was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This is quite an austere policy. For example, in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about this award at all until I was constructing this statement and included it for the sake</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This is quite an austere policy. For example, in the second paragraph:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at. <ul> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. I didn't know about this award at all until I was constructing this statement and included it for the sake of completeness.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This is quite an austere policy. For example, in the second paragraph: "Where an unsolicited offer of a personal gift or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about this award at all until I was constructing this statement and included it for the sake of completeness.</li> <li>Q. The other aspect of Surrey's policy which is relevant is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This is quite an austere policy. For example, in the second paragraph: "Where an unsolicited offer of a personal gift or gratuity is made, the assumption should be that it will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about this award at all until I was constructing this statement and included it for the sake of completeness.</li> <li>Q. The other aspect of Surrey's policy which is relevant is that all pre-arranged meetings with the media are logged</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to your experience, have not been widespread?</li> <li>A. No, this asked specifically about my experiences in London and there were only a handful of instants where I personally questioned the stories that I saw being reported.</li> <li>Q. So it's limited to your own experience; it's not a general commentary</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q of what may or may not be going on in the Metropolitan Police.</li> <li>Can I go back to Surrey Police, when you were there before you went back to London, I think, in 2009.</li> <li>Paragraph 42 of your statement. The policy itself is exhibit LO9, which is page 07209. Do you have that to hand? It's possibly under tab 10 of the bundle which has been prepared for you.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. This is quite an austere policy. For example, in the second paragraph: "Where an unsolicited offer of a personal gift or gratuity is made, the assumption should be that it will be politely declined."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>austere enough, or is it your preliminary view that it may be too rigid?</li> <li>A. My preliminary view is that generally it's in the right space, but I'm not sure it makes sufficient distinction between front-line staff and senior officers in its current form.</li> <li>Q. Current hospitality guidance LO10 is not in fact for some reason on the Lextranet system, but you've set out the relevant paragraphs in paragraphs 48 and 49 of your statement, 07229. It's very similar to the policy we've been looking at.</li> <li>I've been asked to ask you this in relation to paragraph 50: in 2002, the Surrey Police media relations office won an award for excellence from the Association of Police Press Relations Officers. For what was that award, can you recall?</li> <li>A. I didn't know about this award at all until I was constructing this statement and included it for the sake of completeness.</li> <li>Q. The other aspect of Surrey's policy which is relevant is that all pre-arranged meetings with the media are logged on to a database which is called Solcara, and this</li> </ul>

1	A. One of the questions we're currently asking ourselves is	1	levels of the organisation, there are some rules and
2	whether that system is capturing everything. I know	2	regulations, because it's clear that public confidence
3	that one of the things the Inquiry is looking at is the	3	has been significantly impacted on by this episode and
4	bureaucracy surrounding potential processes that can be	4	we need to make sure that doesn't happen again.
5	put in place and there may be an argument that trying to	5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So what should I be doing?
6	record everything is too bureaucratic and therefore that	6	A. I think that's a tricky question, sir. As this hearing
7	leads to things getting missed, which in itself could	7	is demonstrating, I think there is a balance that needs
8	cause a confidence issue.	8	to be struck, because it clearly is very important that
9	Q. Another point which might be made is that if an officer	9	the media have access to accurate, timely information,
10	has an inappropriate contact with the media,	10	because that does inform the public, and therefore it
11	particularly one which is off the record, he or she	11	goes to the heart of public confidence and therefore
12	won't record it anyway, so there's little point in all	12	police legitimacy. So I think we need to balance the
13	of this. I mean, what you'll see is the good and not	13	need to give them accurate and timely information with
14	the bad. Is there any validity in that?	14	the need to audit the way in which that is happening,
15	A. I think there is a risk that if anybody chose to engage	15	and I think there is a distinction to be drawn between
16	in a corrupt relationship, they wouldn't record it.	16	fact and opinion.
17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, but if somebody had a meeting	17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I take that point. Is there an
18	and hadn't recorded it, and it was seen, they'd have no	18	argument for putting more data out on your own websites?
19	answer. That would be bang to rights, wouldn't it?	19	I know that there's a move to publish a lot more data
20	A. (Nods head)	20	about crime, but is there an argument for putting out
21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But the problem is to try and find	21	much more that would not, in any sense, compromise your
22	the right calibration.	22	operational capabilities because you wouldn't really
23	A. Absolutely.	23	be alerting the villains to what you were doing but
24	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So in other words, Mr Jay, I'm really	24	to make what you're doing that much more open and
25	saying there may be something in it, because the problem	25	transparent so that it can be found without the
	Page 29		Page 31
1	is trying to discern what is appropriate and what's	1	necessity of trying to buy a pint for a young detective?
2	inappropriate. So if you catch somebody doing something	2	A. I think we've been trying to do that with freedom of
3	which they've not recorded, then the inference that it	3	information requests. So as we've been getting volumes
4	is inappropriate is pretty strong.	4	of information into the policing or requests into the
5	MR JAY: I was just bouncing an idea, rather than	5	policing service, we've tried much more to put it on the
6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I'm not criticising you.	6	front foot: this is what our plans are for the event.
7	MR JAY: We've covered your evidence quite shortly,	7	It's definitely what we plan in the world of public
8	Ms Owens. There's one other point, though, before we	8	order policing. I think that works for items of policy
9	conclude. Your reaction, please, to the reports by	9	and strategy. I think where that becomes difficult is
10	Elizabeth Filkin and Sir Dennis O'Connor. Could you	10	for specific policing operations, particularly if there
11	help us with that, please? First of all, have you had	11	are court case implications.
12	the chance to read those reports?	12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. You couldn't put anything out
13	A. I have had the chance to read them and I contributed to	13	that prejudiced a prosecution or indeed a civil case
14	the Filkin report personally.	14	that you were involved in, but presumably once a case
15	Q. Do you think those reports are, generally speaking, in	15	has concluded, it's possible to be very much more open
16	the right space, to use your term?	16	with the public, which might solve some of the problems.
17	A. I think broadly they're in the right space. I think the	17	You may have heard me say to Lord Stevens the concern
18	challenge is in implementation.	18	that I have about maximising public confidence in
19	Q. Possibly in relation to the issue of bureaucracy and	19	criminal justice in order to encourage participation
20	overrecording; is that a concern of yours?	20	within the system, because without it we're in real
21	A. Yes. I think we have to get the balance right between	21	difficulty.
22	giving officers on the front line that do a very	22	A. Yes. I think that's right. I think it's an issue of
23	difficult job the capacity to explain to the public and	23	balance and how you create confidence in the system by
24	the media what they're doing, at the same time as making	24	being open post-event. I think one of the challenges
25	sure, at the top level of the organisation, at senior	25	that we have is that it's quite difficult to get balance
1	Page 30		Page 32

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

1	and managing a page within the matic. I think the
1	and measured responses within the media. I think the
2	sensational and the exciting are the stories that tend
3	to hit the headlines.
4	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: What's your view about I don't say
5	the inevitability, but the very frequent occurrence that
6	anybody who is in the public eye coming to a police
7	station, there are always people there to record it and
8	photograph it, or at their homes or if they have
9	accidents? That sort of scenario has been common for
10	a very long time.
11	A. Yes, it has been common for a very long time, but
12	personally I find it abhorrent that any police officer
13	could release those details to the media and I make that
14	position widely known in any of my interactions with my
15	staff. One of the privileges of being a police officer
16	is that we come across people in their lives when
17	they're at their most difficult times, and the fact that
18	people would be prepared to release that data for the
19	sake of whether it's for making money or just for
20	gossip reasons is frankly beyond me, and I don't think
21	people who behave like that should be in the Police
22	Service.
23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think that's an extremely useful
24	point on which to finish. Thank you very much indeed,
25	Chief Constable. Thank you very much for coming and for
	Page 33
1	
1	the work you obviously put into your statement.
2	A. Thank you, sir.
3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You've failed me, Mr Jay.
4	MR JAY: Sir.
5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. It has to happen
6	occasionally. Monday morning, 10 o'clock no,
7	tomorrow. I'm ahead of myself. It's only Tuesday.
8	Tomorrow morning, 10 o'clock. Thank you very much.
9	(2.51 pm)
10	(The hearing adjourned until 10 o'clock the following day)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 34

### 6 March 2012

2			•			
						Page 3
	22.14.14.10			20.20.22.17		1.001 1.1 1.6 0.1
A	22:14,16,18	behaviour 1:11	cent 7:3	30:20 32:17	<b>create</b> 7:4,6	difficulties 16:21
abhorrent 33:12	approached	2:1,3	<b>central</b> 15:13	concerned 12:1	32:23	20:22
able 1:8	18:21	Beijing 13:9	certain 8:17	concerning 1:20	credibility 9:25	difficulty 16:15
absolutely 5:5,13	appropriate 3:13	<b>believe</b> 12:12,23	21:17	2:1	crime 2:19 6:16	20:10,22 32:21
24:17 29:23	12:3 21:21	14:13 17:17	certainly 4:15	concerns 1:5	6:17,17 23:4	diffidence 11:10
accept 18:5	22:16,18 30:1	21:15	18:21 19:6	conclude 30:9	25:8,17 31:20	dinners 6:12
accepting 27:11	April 1:21	believed 19:3	chairman 5:6	concluded 32:15	Crimewatch	director 16:2
access 25:22 31:9	argument 29:5	believes 14:4	challenge 16:24	<b>concur</b> 16:11	10:2,3,9	discern 30:1
accidents 33:9	31:18,20	<b>benefit</b> 11:25	30:18	Condon 7:5	criminal 12:7	discuss 2:16
accountability	arranged 3:6	12:7 13:1,4	challenges 17:22	11:21 13:5	23:11 32:19	disrupt 23:11
4:16,22,24 5:7	arrival 19:6	Bentley 2:8,13	32:24	14:12	criticising 30:6	disruptive 23:12
24:10	arrived 19:16	best 12:21	<b>chance</b> 30:12,13	<b>Condon's</b> 11:24	<b>CSI</b> 12:11	distinction 28:5
accountable 4:7	article 1:20,21	<b>beyond</b> 4:17 5:16	changed 4:9	confidence 29:8	cultivate 21:2	31:15
accurate 3:20	articles 1:12,15	33:20	19:15	31:2,11 32:18	culture 20:15,18	distinguish 5:18
31:9,13	articulating 12:5	<b>bit</b> 13:14 20:20	<b>charity</b> 27:13	32:23	<b>cup</b> 21:21,21	divisional 27:3
achieved 12:21	asked 12:20 18:4	Blair 20:23 21:6	<b>chief</b> 4:24 6:10	consider 13:2	current 28:7,8	<b>doing</b> 6:3,14,22
14:7	26:3 27:23	Blair's 19:7	6:16 7:1 15:4	16:14	currently 29:1	6:22 7:3 24:6
ACPO 27:3	28:13	blush 12:4	17:10 18:24	considerable	cycle 11:24	30:2,24 31:5
act 14:10	asking 29:1	board 19:25	23:23 27:24	10:7		31:23,24
acting 2:9	aspect 4:6,11	22:19	33:25	considered 27:12	<u>D</u>	<b>doping</b> 13:9
activities 8:25	28:21	<b>book</b> 8:2,5,22 9:9	<b>chose</b> 29:15	consisted 4:7,25	data 31:18,19	doubt 5:5 10:25
25:7	assist 12:16	bottom 7:2	circumstances	constable 4:24	33:18	17:21 20:3
additionally 17:4	Assistant 15:3,11	bouncing 30:5	7:9	6:10 7:1 15:4	database 28:23	doubtless 21:20
address 25:4	15:13,17 21:12	briefing 2:15	<b>civil</b> 32:13	17:10 18:24	date 15:2	<b>DPA</b> 2:10 3:6,10
adjourned 34:10	Association 23:5	3:10	clarify 23:13	23:23 33:25	dated 14:23	drawn 31:15
admission 11:14	28:15	briefly 4:1	clarifying 23:9	constables 24:2	<b>day</b> 34:10	drinking 16:25
advance 16:5	assumption	broadcast 22:10	clarity 18:3	constructing	day-to-day 2:13	<b>drugs</b> 13:9
18:3	26:22	broadly 30:17	<b>clear</b> 18:8 23:7	28:19	DCS 10:3	<b>due</b> 23:14
advice 16:1,7,8	attempt 19:12	brought 1:16,18	31:2	contact 17:7 18:1	deal 4:1 12:15	<b>duty</b> 27:12,12
17:9,22	attend 27:2,4,16	<b>bundle</b> 26:16	<b>clearly</b> 13:19	29:10	14:1 27:7	DuVall 4:9
advised 17:5	attendance 27:1	<b>bungs</b> 13:7	31:8	contacts 28:24	dealt 2:13,24	<b>Dyke</b> 3:22
affairs 16:2	27:13,14	bureaucracy	closely 13:12	context 27:22	<b>debate</b> 4:7 11:20	E
agency 8:7 9:1	attention 1:16,18	29:4 30:19	<b>coffee</b> 21:21	contract 1:22	December 15:9	
agree 11:23,25	10:16	bureaucratic	colleague 17:5	contributed	15:12	early 17:4
14:11 22:15	audit 31:14	29:6	colleagues 18:18	30:13	decided 5:12	editorial 3:22
agreement 18:16	August 15:8	<b>business</b> 4:14 9:3	column 10:25	conversations	decision 19:13	editors 3:1,3
<b>Ah</b> 1:21	austere 22:14	13:6	11:3	8:24 23:2	declared 6:25	effect 12:11
ahead 34:7	26:19 28:2	businessmen	come 7:20 10:16	convictions	declined 26:23	effectively 27:17
alcohol 16:25	authorised 8:15	5:24	12:17 13:17,19	11:12	definitely 32:7	effectiveness
alerting 31:23	authority 4:25	<b>buy</b> 32:1	14:8 20:11	convince 6:2	definition 21:18	18:13 19:1
allegation 25:13	5:3 27:4	C	33:16	Cook 10:3,12	degree 4:21	effort 8:16
allow 6:2	avoidance 10:25		coming 1:9 8:8	correct 3:7 12:19	delighted 13:5	<b>either</b> 23:10
altogether 21:4	award 28:15,17	calibrated 12:4	33:6,25	15:6,20 16:3	13:13	Elizabeth 30:10
amount 10:7	28:18	calibration	commander 27:3	17:13 21:22	deliver 3:12	Elveden 25:10
amounts 9:3	aware 7:15,20,22	29:22	comment 21:7	22:8,12 23:20	demonstrating	eminent 5:23
Andy 11:15	8:10 9:6,12,16	called 7:18 8:7	commentary	24:22 25:11,16	31:7	encountering
and/or 7:16	9:19 10:9,12	12:11 28:23	18:20 19:7,24	27:18	Dennis 30:10	24:7
answer 1:4,10	10:19 18:10	camera 17:21	26:8	correctly 5:18	departmental	encourage 12:16
18:4 29:19	В	cameras 4:12	commissioner	23:18 25:1	27:3	24:6 32:19
anti-corruption		capabilities	3:23 7:1,16,16	corrupt 9:1 29:16	Deputy 7:15 8:11	engage 19:12
8:9	back 1:3 11:5	31:22	8:11 15:3,12		15:11	29:15
anybody 16:21	15:10 19:13	capacity 12:10	15:13,17 19:18 21:6,13	corruption 13:11 Coulson 11:15	desk 7:2	engaged 20:7
17.11.20.15	76.10 12 07 04	20.02		COUISOII 11:13	details 21:11	engaging 19:22
17:11 29:15	26:12,13 27:24	30:23	,		22.12	
33:6	<b>bad</b> 29:14	capital 6:16	commissioners	councils 5:10	33:13	enjoyed 18:18
33:6 anyway 29:12	<b>bad</b> 29:14 <b>balance</b> 12:3	<b>capital</b> 6:16 12:24	commissioners 19:7	<b>councils</b> 5:10 <b>course</b> 3:4,25	detective 8:6	<b>enjoyed</b> 18:18 <b>ensure</b> 6:20
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20	<b>bad</b> 29:14 <b>balance</b> 12:3 30:21 31:7,12	<b>capital</b> 6:16 12:24 <b>capturing</b> 29:2	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's	<b>councils</b> 5:10 <b>course</b> 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10	<b>detective</b> 8:6 17:4 32:1	<b>enjoyed</b> 18:18 <b>ensure</b> 6:20 23:17
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10	<b>bad</b> 29:14 <b>balance</b> 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25	<b>capital</b> 6:16 12:24 <b>capturing</b> 29:2 <b>car</b> 6:16,17	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16	<b>councils</b> 5:10 <b>course</b> 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14	<b>detective</b> 8:6 17:4 32:1 <b>diary</b> 22:23	<b>enjoyed</b> 18:18 <b>ensure</b> 6:20 23:17 <b>entirely</b> 12:19
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18	<b>bad</b> 29:14 <b>balance</b> 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 <b>bang</b> 29:19	<b>capital</b> 6:16 12:24 <b>capturing</b> 29:2 <b>car</b> 6:16,17 <b>career</b> 15:7 17:5	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11	<b>councils</b> 5:10 <b>course</b> 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21	<b>enjoyed</b> 18:18 <b>ensure</b> 6:20 23:17 <b>entirely</b> 12:19 14:11 15:21
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24	<b>capital</b> 6:16 12:24 <b>capturing</b> 29:2 <b>car</b> 6:16,17 <b>career</b> 15:7 17:5 17:19	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18	<b>councils</b> 5:10 <b>course</b> 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9 apply 23:23	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24 basis 2:13 3:1	<b>capital</b> 6:16 12:24 <b>capturing</b> 29:2 <b>car</b> 6:16,17 <b>career</b> 15:7 17:5 17:19 <b>case</b> 4:15 13:7	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18 completeness	councils 5:10 course 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14 court 12:17	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17 6:4 7:5 12:10	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19 environment
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9 apply 23:23 applying 23:25	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24 basis 2:13 3:1 6:5,15 19:13	capital 6:16 12:24 capturing 29:2 car 6:16,17 career 15:7 17:5 17:19 case 4:15 13:7 23:11 24:20	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18 completeness 28:20	councils 5:10 course 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14 court 12:17 23:11 32:11	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17 6:4 7:5 12:10 18:10 21:2	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19 environment 16:25 23:4,5
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9 apply 23:23 applying 23:25 appointed 15:13	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24 basis 2:13 3:1 6:5,15 19:13 BBC 2:14	capital 6:16 12:24 capturing 29:2 car 6:16,17 career 15:7 17:5 17:19 case 4:15 13:7 23:11 24:20 27:14 32:11,13	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18 completeness 28:20 comport 17:20	councils 5:10 course 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14 court 12:17 23:11 32:11 cover 15:21,24	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17 6:4 7:5 12:10 18:10 21:2 23:6,6 24:2	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19 environment 16:25 23:4,5 episode 31:3
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9 apply 23:23 applying 23:25 appointed 15:13 21:12	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24 basis 2:13 3:1 6:5,15 19:13 BBC 2:14 bear 12:5	capital 6:16 12:24 capturing 29:2 car 6:16,17 career 15:7 17:5 17:19 case 4:15 13:7 23:11 24:20 27:14 32:11,13 32:14	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18 completeness 28:20 comport 17:20 composition 4:9	councils 5:10 course 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14 court 12:17 23:11 32:11 cover 15:21,24 covered 15:17	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17 6:4 7:5 12:10 18:10 21:2 23:6,6 24:2 difficult 7:9	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19 environment 16:25 23:4,5 episode 31:3 equality 3:1
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9 apply 23:23 applying 23:25 appointed 15:13 21:12 appreciate 12:22	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24 basis 2:13 3:1 6:5,15 19:13 BBC 2:14 bear 12:5 becoming 19:18	capital 6:16 12:24 capturing 29:2 car 6:16,17 career 15:7 17:5 17:19 case 4:15 13:7 23:11 24:20 27:14 32:11,13 32:14 catch 30:2	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18 completeness 28:20 comport 17:20 composition 4:9 compromise	councils 5:10 course 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14 court 12:17 23:11 32:11 cover 15:21,24 covered 15:17 30:7	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17 6:4 7:5 12:10 18:10 21:2 23:6,6 24:2 difficult 7:9 18:12,25 21:7	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19 environment 16:25 23:4,5 episode 31:3 equality 3:1 equally 2:24 3:3
33:6 anyway 29:12 Apart 17:20 appeal 10:2,4,10 applied 2:18 applies 17:9 apply 23:23 applying 23:25 appointed 15:13 21:12	bad 29:14 balance 12:3 30:21 31:7,12 32:23,25 bang 29:19 based 8:7 19:24 basis 2:13 3:1 6:5,15 19:13 BBC 2:14 bear 12:5	capital 6:16 12:24 capturing 29:2 car 6:16,17 career 15:7 17:5 17:19 case 4:15 13:7 23:11 24:20 27:14 32:11,13 32:14	commissioners 19:7 Commissioner's 4:16 common 33:9,11 company 7:18 completeness 28:20 comport 17:20 composition 4:9	councils 5:10 course 3:4,25 4:18 6:4,7 9:10 10:13 11:14 13:8 16:2 22:22 23:14 court 12:17 23:11 32:11 cover 15:21,24 covered 15:17	detective 8:6 17:4 32:1 diary 22:23 Dick 2:8,21 different 3:17 6:4 7:5 12:10 18:10 21:2 23:6,6 24:2 difficult 7:9	enjoyed 18:18 ensure 6:20 23:17 entirely 12:19 14:11 15:21 22:16,17,19 environment 16:25 23:4,5 episode 31:3

Merrill Corporation (+44) 207 404 1400 www.merrillcorp/mls.com

## 6 March 2012

			•			Page 3
ethical 16:14	Filkin 27:19,22	getting 29:7 32:3	12:9 24:9	intelligence 8:25	25:19,20 28:18	17:15 18:23
Europe 6:17	30:10,14	gift 26:21	highest 6:17	interactions 2:11	29:2 31:19	19:7,11 20:1
event 16:5 23:15	final 10:24	Gillian 14:21	history 18:13	24:13 33:14	knowledge 1:9	20:10,13,23
23:18 32:6	find 8:16 12:19	gist 27:7	19:1	interest 12:23	known 6:16	21:6 24:23
events 23:3	20:12 29:21	give 2:15 13:14	hit 33:3	interested 13:3	33:14	29:17,21,24
Eventually 8:23	33:12	17:6 21:11	Home 4:17 6:6	interesting 4:14		30:6 31:5,17
evidence 9:19	finish 33:24	22:2 31:13	homes 33:8	interests 27:2	L	32:12,17 33:4
10:20,21 11:6	first 1:14 11:23	given 7:11 12:14	honest 5:17 6:25	interview 22:3	largest 7:7	33:23 34:3,5
12:14 14:25	16:1 18:1 19:3	17:22 25:5	honestly 18:5	interviews 2:14	leaders 18:14	lost 12:23
19:17 30:7	21:12 30:11	giving 30:22	hope 25:4	investigated 13:8	19:2,4 24:12	lot 31:19
J	fitted 8:14	glass 20:16	hoping 23:21,24	investigation	leadership 19:8	LO10 28:8
	five 15:23 27:21	<b>go</b> 3:15 11:5 14:6	horse 13:9	7:18 25:9,10	leads 29:7	LO9 26:15
,	fix 12:2	21:25 22:2,11	hospitality 21:18	investigations	League 13:7	lunch 11:6 20:8
1	flow 12:6	25:2,19 26:12	28:8	7:18,24 8:7,12	leak 20:1 25:7	lunches 6:12
	follow 7:13,22	goes 8:22 13:10		9:2,10 10:20	leaked 25:18	Lynne 14:17,18
examples 25:24	19:3 23:14	31:11	I	involved 9:14	leaks 26:1	14:21
excellence 28:15	25:12	going 2:16,18	idea 30:5	13:6,12 32:14	leaving 11:7	M
	followed 17:8	4:20 7:10 8:25	illegally 7:24	<b>involving</b> 25:7	led 5:10 8:16	
exercise 5:11 exhibit 26:15	<b>following</b> 27:11 34:10	13:16 15:21 18:4 19:14,15	immediately	issue 2:3 5:7 9:18 12:5 25:7 29:8	legal 8:14	magistrates 5:4
	54:10 follows 21:18	22:7 23:23	13:18	30:19 32:22	legally 8:15	<b>major</b> 4:16 5:9
	foot 32:6	25:1,13 26:10	impact 19:8	issues 3:21,24	legitimacy 31:12 Len 4:9	making 30:24 33:19
-	force 7:7 27:2,21	<b>good</b> 4:2 12:4	impacted 31:3	items 32:8	Len 4:9 lesser 2:8	
	forensically	29:13	implementation 30:18	iums 52.0	lesser 2:8 level 2:21 12:3	management 19:25
12:8	12:13	<b>Goodman</b> 11:12	implication	J	24:8 30:25	<b>March</b> 15:15,16
	forgotten 8:3	gossip 10:1 19:25	24:20 26:1	<b>Jacqui</b> 10:13	levels 6:17 17:18	material 25:14
	form 14:24 28:7	33:20	implications	January 14:23	31:1	25:18
	formal 14:25	gratuity 26:22	32:11	Jay 1:3 6:9 11:18	LEVESON 4:21	matter 5:25 6:1
experience 26:2	formalised 17:2	26:25	implied 18:15	14:17,19,20	5:3,18 11:20	8:17 15:24
	<b>formed</b> 19:10	greater 4:21	important 12:15	20:14 24:24	11:23 13:1,16	16:16
experienced 4:22	forward 6:23	19:12	13:17 20:2	29:24 30:5,7	13:23 14:1,11	matters 1:16
4:23 5:10,16	10:2	Greg 3:22	31:8	34:3,4	14:15 18:23	15:17 17:20
	found 25:15	ground 19:24	impropriety	job 2:25 6:2	19:11 20:1,10	Max 1:20
experiences 26:3	31:25	group 27:25	27:6,8,9	14:13 24:23,24	20:13 24:23	maximising
explain 24:6	fourth 7:7,8	Guardian 9:22	inappropriate	30:23	29:17,21,24	32:18
30:23	frankly 18:5	guidance 28:8	17:7 29:10	journalists 1:17	30:6 31:5,17	Mayor 4:18 6:5
explaining 11:10	33:20		30:2,4	6:13 16:4,9,15	32:12 33:4,23	meal 27:1
	free 12:6 27:15	H	included 20:6	17:7,11 20:12	34:3,5	Meals 26:24
•	freedom 14:5	hacking 2:3 11:9	28:19	20:16 21:13,19	Lextranet 28:9	mean 3:22 5:8
extent 2:8	32:2	Hames 10:13	includes 28:24	22:4 24:7	liaison 2:9	18:23 19:12
	frequent 6:14	hand 12:3 26:16	including 10:12	journalist's	<b>life</b> 16:13	23:7 29:13
8:13	33:5	handed 17:10	24:7	24:18	limited 26:7	measured 33:1
	frequently 8:8	handful 26:4	independent	<b>Joy</b> 2:8,13	line 6:21 24:3	media 2:9 4:12
	front 2:18 6:21	handsome 9:3	5:23 8:6	judged 18:14	30:22	5:13 17:15,17
<b>eye</b> 33:6	17:21 24:3	happen 20:19	individual 9:13	19:2,5	little 9:24 20:20	17:18,23,23
	30:22 32:6	31:4 34:5	9:17,23	judgment 18:15	29:12	18:2,14,19
	<b>front-line</b> 28:6	happening 14:3	inevitability 33:5	justice 4:21 5:3	lives 10:22 33:16	19:2,5,8,10,22
Lace to Lace 22.	<b>full</b> 14:20 <b>fully</b> 24:13	31:14	inference 30:3	5:18 11:20,23	Livingstone 4:19	20:8,25 22:9
	function 20:25	hard 24:5	influence 19:14	12:8 13:1,16	6:6	22:10 25:25
, .	21:3 24:18	Haringey 5:12	inform 31:10	13:23 14:1,11	local 5:3	28:14,22 29:10 30:24 31:0
27:8 28:8	21:3 24:18 27:1	Harris 4:8 5:11	information 1:9	14:15 18:23	logged 28:22	30:24 31:9
31:16 33:17 failed 24:21 34:3	<b>further</b> 13:2 14:6	head 27:3 29:20 headed 4:8	3:20 7:24 9:14 9:17 11:3,8	19:11 20:1,10 20:13 24:23	London 4:10 5:9 6:4,24 7:5	33:1,13 most 3:3 16:8 10
failing 6:1	25:12	headlines 1:13	9:17 11:3,8 12:7 31:9,13	20:13 24:23 29:17,21,24	6:4,24 7:5 15:19 16:9	meet 3:3 16:8,19 16:21 20:11,15
faint 8:4	23.12	1:15 33:3	32:3,4	30:6 31:5,17	17:17 19:6	meeting 2:19 3:9
fair 24:21	G	hear 20:23	inherited 6:15	32:12,19 33:4	25:8 26:4,13	16:15 21:12
fairly 3:3	gathering 10:20	heard 11:2 32:17	initially 4:8	33:23 34:3,5	long 8:13 16:10	22:25 25:2
	general 2:1,3 3:2	hearing 2:2	inquiries 17:8	55.25 57.3,5	33:10,11	22:25 25:2
•	Beneral 2.1,3 3.2	25:11 31:6	inquiry 10:5	K	looking 28:12	meetings 3:6 4:5
family 10:12	26:8			keenly 18:10	29:3	5:12 20:6 21:9
<b>family</b> 10:12 <b>far</b> 4:23,23 7:13	26:8 generally 2:9.20		12:1 14:25			
<b>family</b> 10:12 <b>far</b> 4:23,23 7:13 25:19	generally 2:9,20	34:10	12:1 14:25 29:3			
family 10:12 far 4:23,23 7:13 25:19 February 15:4			29:3	keep 16:12	Lord 1:3 4:8,21 5:3,11,18 6:13	21:14,24 22:4
family 10:12 far 4:23,23 7:13 25:19 February 15:4	<b>generally</b> 2:9,20 4:2 23:4,9 25:5 28:4 30:15	34:10 heart 31:11 hearted 8:4		keep 16:12 Ken 4:19 6:6	Lord 1:3 4:8,21	
family 10:12 far 4:23,23 7:13 25:19 February 15:4 Fedorcio 2:8,21 11:3 16:3	<b>generally</b> 2:9,20 4:2 23:4,9 25:5	34:10 <b>heart</b> 31:11	29:3 inside 8:25	<b>keep</b> 16:12 <b>Ken</b> 4:19 6:6 <b>kind</b> 14:9	Lord 1:3 4:8,21 5:3,11,18 6:13	21:14,24 22:4 22:20,22,23,24
family 10:12 far 4:23,23 7:13 25:19 February 15:4 Fedorcio 2:8,21	<b>generally</b> 2:9,20 4:2 23:4,9 25:5 28:4 30:15 <b>generic</b> 9:11,13	34:10 heart 31:11 hearted 8:4 help 30:11	29:3 inside 8:25 insofar 2:12	keep 16:12 Ken 4:19 6:6	Lord 1:3 4:8,21 5:3,11,18 6:13 10:24 11:5,18	21:14,24 22:4 22:20,22,23,24 28:22

Merrill Corporation (+44) 207 404 1400

www.merrillcorp/mls.com

#### 6 March 2012

			-	-		
						Page 3
12:16	1:22 7:17,23	on-the-record	33:18,21	politicians 5:22	providing 19:24	referred 17:16
mentioned 23:16	9:12,20,21	28:24	perceive 14:2	position 17:11	public 12:12,16	referring 8:19
mentioning	10:14 11:1,7,9	open 4:15 5:13	perception 1:14	18:11 33:14	13:24 16:2	20:22
10:17		12:6 24:10	16:20		24:5 27:1	
	newspaper 22:1			positions 24:4		regards 2:11
met 16:4 21:13	22:7 25:15,20	31:24 32:15,24	perceptions 27:8	possible 8:23	30:23 31:2,10	register 12:2
Metropolitan	newspapers 2:24	operate 24:3,8	period 3:23 5:14	32:15	31:11 32:7,16	regular 6:5,15
4:6 6:19 10:4	9:2,10,12,15	operated 21:1	person 3:24	possibly 25:24	32:18 33:6	regulations 31:2
	9:25	-	-			0
15:3,11 19:4,9		operation 23:12	10:17	26:16 30:19	publication	reinforcement
19:20 21:5	Nods 29:20	25:9	personal 2:9	post-event 32:24	23:16,17	14:9
25:23 26:11	Northumberla	operational 5:25	16:13,16,18,18	potential 29:4	publish 31:19	related 10:21
microphone 8:19	5:2	6:1 31:22	26:21	prefer 16:12	purpose 27:7	11:9
microphones	Northumbria	operations 5:20	personally 21:8	preferred 16:18	put 1:7 4:6 10:7	relation 1:12 3:9
8:24	4:24 6:10,15	15:13 32:10	26:5 30:14	prejudiced 32:13	13:14 18:16	10:5 23:2
Milbank 22:4	6:16,20 7:7,7	opinion 20:25	33:12	preliminary 28:1	22:13 25:14	28:13 30:19
mind 12:5 13:4	notably 23:3	28:25 31:16	personnel 10:21	28:2,4	29:5 32:5,12	relations 4:2
	v			· ·		
18:24	<b>note</b> 3:10	order 24:5 32:8	perspective	Premier 13:7	34:1	28:14,16
Minister 6:7	noted 22:20	32:19	18:22 22:17	prepared 3:10	putting 31:18,20	relationship 19:9
misconduct	notice 7:11	organisation	persuading	12:17 26:17		29:16
25:11	notified 27:4	24:9 30:25	20:11	33:18	Q	relationships
missed 5:8 29:7	number 9:14	31:1	philosophy 2:11	presentation	question 1:7 22:6	16:11 18:17
<b>Mm</b> 7:14	12:10 17:6,11	organisations	<b>phone</b> 2:3 11:9	8:11	25:25 31:6	<b>release</b> 33:13,18
Mm-hm 24:25	21:13	25:21	photograph 33:8	President 15:18	questioned 26:5	relevant 23:15
<b>mobile</b> 17:6,10	numbers 25:6	origin 26:1	picked 8:24	press 2:12,19,24	questioned 20.5	23:18 28:10,21
	number 5 23.0	outlet 2:23	<b>piece</b> 16:7	3:3 14:5 20:24	-	
Monday 34:6			-		3:21 7:10,11	remains 20:4
money 9:3,18	0	outside 25:9	<b>pint</b> 32:1	21:24 24:13	11:19 14:19	remember 10:17
33:19	<b>Obama</b> 15:18	outstanding	place 9:7 12:19	28:16	18:4,5 29:1	reopening 10:5
monitor 8:23	objection 23:15	19:19	21:14,15 22:5	presumably	quite 4:1 6:8 9:2	report 27:24
	•			32:14		-
monitoring 14:6	obtain 7:24	overrecording	22:21 29:5		21:6 25:5,14	30:14
14:9	obvious 20:14	30:20	placed 10:13	pretty 21:16 30:4	25:19 26:19	reportable 23:10
monthly 4:5	obviously 3:7	oversight 5:19	plainly 27:13	prevented 17:7	30:7 32:25	reported 18:7
Morgan 10:6	11:15 21:5	<b>Owens</b> 14:17,18	plan 20:5 32:7	previously 4:22	0011 02120	26:6
			-			
morning 1:4	23:22 34:1	14:20,21 30:8	plans 7:2,3,4	18:11	<u> </u>	reporters 2:19
34:6,8	occasionally	o'clock 34:6,8,10	32:6	pre-arranged	radar 8:9	23:5
Mosley 1:20	34:6	O'Connor 27:20	played 25:1	28:22	Radio 22:3	reporting 18:14
move 31:19	occasions 6:7	27:22 30:10	players 5:9	pre-existing	raised 1:4 3:21	19:2,5 20:24
moving 15:8		27.22 30.10		16:10		
	12:11 22:25		please 8:2 14:17		range 9:17	reports 27:20,23
<b>MPA</b> 4:1,2,4,5,7	24:15	P	14:20 15:25	<b>Prime</b> 6:7	ranks 15:8	30:9,12,15
4:15 5:6,6,15	occupy 24:4	page 8:2 26:15	21:14 30:9,11	print 17:23 22:9	rarely 5:24	requests 32:3,4
6:5	occupying 21:20	26:24	pleasure 27:14	private 7:17	reach 7:2 25:9	resignation
MPS 10:20 15:7	occurred 23:18		pm 1:2 34:9	10:22		11:14
		pages 25:5			reaction 13:18	
15:22 17:14	occurrence 33:5	paid 9:2	point 1:3 10:24	privileges 33:15	30:9	resigned 1:6
18:12,13 19:1	October 1:23,25	paper 2:16	11:5,8 12:5	proactive 19:22	read 30:12,13	11:15
Mulcaire 11:12	11:2	paragraph 2:6	23:13 29:9,12	probably 20:23	real 5:7,11 14:2	resources 10:7
murder 10:5	offer 26:21,25	6:9 15:25 18:8	30:8 31:17	probe 8:12,13,19		response 15:19
mutuel 10.5				▲	32:20	-
	27:11	20:21 21:10	33:24	problem 13:9	really 2:2,5 6:23	16:7
<u> </u>	offered 21:19	25:4 26:14,20	<b>police</b> 4:6,25	14:4 29:21,25	16:22 21:23	responses 33:1
manua 14:20	office 3:7,18 18:2	28:14	6:19 7:25 10:4	problems 32:16	22:6 29:24	responsibilities
name 14:20						-
name 14:20			12:17 13:21	process 6.8 18.1		4:17 15:16
23:16	20:7 21:16	paragraphs	12:17 13:21	process 6:8 18:1	31:22	4:17 15:16
23:16 national 2:23	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15	<b>paragraphs</b> 28:10,10	14:10 15:3,5	processes 14:6	31:22 reason 28:9	restaurant 22:7
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily	20:7 21:16	paragraphs	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9	<b>processes</b> 14:6 29:4	31:22	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19
23:16 national 2:23	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15	<b>paragraphs</b> 28:10,10	14:10 15:3,5	processes 14:6	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17	restaurant 22:7
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 <b>officer</b> 27:25 29:9 33:12,15	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4	<b>processes</b> 14:6 29:4 <b>produce</b> 13:18	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1	<b>paragraphs</b> 28:10,10 <b>Pardon</b> 15:16 <b>part</b> 4:16 8:15 16:22	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20	<b>restaurant</b> 22:7 <b>result</b> 27:19 <b>reviewed</b> 27:23 <b>revision</b> 27:19
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22	<b>paragraphs</b> 28:10,10 <b>Pardon</b> 15:16 <b>part</b> 4:16 8:15 16:22 <b>participation</b> 27:12 32:19	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3	<b>restaurant</b> 22:7 <b>result</b> 27:19 <b>reviewed</b> 27:23 <b>revision</b> 27:19
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record	<b>paragraphs</b> 28:10,10 <b>Pardon</b> 15:16 <b>part</b> 4:16 8:15 16:22 <b>participation</b> 27:12 32:19 <b>particular</b> 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 <b>particularly</b> 3:20	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8 prosecution	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8 prosecution 32:13	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8 prosecution 32:13 prosecutions	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8 17:6 22:6,7	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24 Okay 11:18	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3 Paul 7:5 19:17	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10 <b>policy</b> 6:19,23	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programme 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8 prosecution 32:13 prosecutions 8:17,17 9:7	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16 33:7	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4 29:22 30:16,17
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8 17:6 22:6,7 27:14	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24 Okay 11:18 20:13 21:9	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3 Paul 7:5 19:17 pay 9:18 27:16	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10 <b>policy</b> 6:19,23 26:14,19 27:19	processes 14:6         29:4         produce 13:18         professional         16:12 18:2         programme 2:15         programmes         2:18         prosecuted 9:8         prosecution         32:13         prosecutions         8:17,17 9:7         protect 27:5	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16 33:7 recorded 22:23	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4 29:22 30:16,17 30:21 32:22
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8 17:6 22:6,7	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24 Okay 11:18	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3 Paul 7:5 19:17	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10 <b>policy</b> 6:19,23 26:14,19 27:19 28:1,11,21	processes 14:6         29:4         produce 13:18         professional         16:12 18:2         programme 2:15         programmes         2:18         prosecuted 9:8         prosecution         32:13         prosecutions         8:17,17 9:7         protect 27:5         provide 4:21	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16 33:7	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4 29:22 30:16,17 30:21 32:22 34:5
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8 17:6 22:6,7 27:14	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24 Okay 11:18 20:13 21:9	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3 Paul 7:5 19:17 pay 9:18 27:16 people 4:8 5:9,11	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10 <b>policy</b> 6:19,23 26:14,19 27:19	processes 14:6         29:4         produce 13:18         professional         16:12 18:2         programme 2:15         programmes         2:18         prosecuted 9:8         prosecution         32:13         prosecutions         8:17,17 9:7         protect 27:5	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16 33:7 recorded 22:23 24:14 29:18	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4 29:22 30:16,17 30:21 32:22 34:5
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8 17:6 22:6,7 27:14 new 20:5 21:15 21:25 22:5	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24 Okay 11:18 20:13 21:9 once 5:7 32:14 ones 4:2	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3 Paul 7:5 19:17 pay 9:18 27:16 people 4:8 5:9,11 5:22 6:21 9:7	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10 <b>policy</b> 6:19,23 26:14,19 27:19 28:1,11,21 32:8	processes 14:6 29:4 produce 13:18 professional 16:12 18:2 programmes 2:15 programmes 2:18 prosecuted 9:8 prosecution 32:13 prosecutions 8:17,17 9:7 protect 27:5 provide 4:21 18:20	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16 33:7 recorded 22:23 24:14 29:18 30:3	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4 29:22 30:16,17 30:21 32:22 34:5 rights 29:19
23:16 national 2:23 necessarily 22:21 necessary 3:15 necessity 32:1 need 4:4 12:14 25:3 31:4,12 31:13,14 needed 19:21 needs 14:5 31:7 negative 20:24 never 6:25 13:8 17:6 22:6,7 27:14 new 20:5 21:15	20:7 21:16 22:8 28:15 officer 27:25 29:9 33:12,15 officers 7:25 9:1 19:20,23 24:6 28:6,16 30:22 offices 8:13 22:1 25:21 off-piste 3:15 off-the-record 18:6 22:24 23:2 28:24 Okay 11:18 20:13 21:9 once 5:7 32:14	paragraphs 28:10,10 Pardon 15:16 part 4:16 8:15 16:22 participation 27:12 32:19 particular 1:17 17:24 20:25 25:15 particularly 3:20 29:11 32:10 partly 5:3,3 Paul 7:5 19:17 pay 9:18 27:16 people 4:8 5:9,11	14:10 15:3,5 15:11 19:4,9 19:20 20:3,4 21:5 24:12 25:23 26:11,12 28:14,16 31:12 33:6,12,15,21 <b>policeman</b> 13:19 13:20 <b>policing</b> 4:11 12:23 23:12 32:4,5,8,10 <b>policy</b> 6:19,23 26:14,19 27:19 28:1,11,21	processes 14:6         29:4         produce 13:18         professional         16:12 18:2         programme 2:15         programmes         2:18         prosecuted 9:8         prosecution         32:13         prosecutions         8:17,17 9:7         protect 27:5         provide 4:21	31:22 reason 28:9 reasons 2:16,17 11:16 22:10 33:20 recall 28:17 receive 13:3 received 16:1 17:15,17,18 receiving 11:8 record 8:18 22:25 23:1,6,8 29:6,11,12,16 33:7 recorded 22:23 24:14 29:18	restaurant 22:7 result 27:19 reviewed 27:23 revision 27:19 revisited 27:24 revolved 1:11,20 right 1:24 3:19 5:5 6:3 8:20 11:16 15:14,19 18:19 20:13 22:6 25:10 27:9 28:4 29:22 30:16,17 30:21 32:22 34:5

Merrill Corporation (+44) 207 404 1400

www.merrillcorp/mls.com

			1	J		
						Page
<b>risk</b> 12:12 17:3	15:6,20 19:17	stress 4:5	13:11,12 17:2	understood	works 32:8	l —
29:15	30:10 31:6		29:3,7	23:18		5
		strong 30:4			world 1:6,13,15	<b>50</b> 28:14
role 20:5	34:2,4	struck 31:8	think 3:19 4:4,25	unethical 1:11	1:22 7:17,23	
room 14:4	<b>six</b> 3:23	structure 14:6	9:13,13,21	unsavoury 9:3	9:12,20,21	6
royal 15:18 23:3	slant 24:19	studio 22:2,3	10:3,24 11:1,5	unsolicited 26:21	10:14 11:1,7,9	<b>67</b> 7:3
rules 31:1	slightly 20:12	studios 22:3	12:4 14:10,12	<b>use</b> 7:23 23:6	12:8 24:5 32:7	01 1.5
	small 15:24	style 16:17 21:1	15:23 16:24	30:16	wouldn't 17:2	8
S	social 16:6,12,15	21:3,7	19:6,8,17,21	useful 33:23	24:8 25:19	
sake 28:19 33:19	16:22,24 18:17	subsequent 27:5	20:12 21:16	usually 2:17	29:16,19 31:22	<b>8</b> 15:25
Salacious 9:25	20:9,17	successfully 9:8	24:1,1,17 25:3		writing 1:5	
	Solcara 28:23	sufficient 28:5	26:13 29:15	V	10:25	9
saw 3:12,22,24					10.25	<b>9</b> 18:8 20:21
6:5 19:6 26:5	solve 12:13 32:16	suggest 12:2	30:15,17,17,21	valid 20:4	Y	<b>91</b> 2:6
saying 9:11	somebody 13:20	suggestion 16:6	31:6,7,12,15	validity 29:14		
13:19 20:21	29:17 30:2	27:5	32:2,8,9,22,22	valuable 28:25	Yard 21:15,17	
29:25	sort 17:15 18:3	suggests 20:14	32:24 33:1,20	various 17:18	21:25 22:5	
scenario 33:9	23:21,22,24	summarise 2:12	33:23	view 4:3 11:24	Yeah 6:11	
scenarios 18:6	33:9	Sunderland 5:1	thought 4:14	11:25 18:10	year 3:4	
scene 21:23	sought 27:4	superintendent	12:21 16:8	28:1,2,4 33:4	years 12:22	
	Southern 7:18	15:10	22:17		18:19	
scoop 24:18		sure 2:23 3:19	thoughts 11:13	views 13:2,4		
Scotland 21:15	7:23 8:7,12			<b>villains</b> 31:23	yesterday 19:17	
21:25 22:5	10:19	14:4 20:18	11:13	visit 15:18	young 32:1	
scrutiny 24:10	so-called 13:7	28:5 30:25	time 3:23 4:7,19	volumes 32:3		
second 26:20	space 28:5 30:16	31:4	5:14 7:15,20		0	
Secretary 4:17	30:17	Surrey 15:5,9,22	8:13 10:9 13:2	W	<b>07209</b> 26:15	
6:6	speaking 30:15	23:24 24:12	13:14,17 15:21	want 13:2 17:3	<b>07224</b> 25:6	
see 5:6 7:13	specific 2:17	26:12 28:14	15:22 17:14	20:20 21:2	07229 28:11	
12:18 29:13	23:3 32:10	Surrey's 28:21	23:10 30:24			
	specifically 26:3	surrounding	33:10,11	24:8	<b>09826</b> 2:7	
seeing 6:7		0		wanted 2:22 3:19	<b>09835</b> 6:9	
seen 29:18	spoken 12:10	9:23 29:4	timely 31:9,13	4:19 24:16		
selling 9:1,9,14	squad 25:8,17	surveillance	times 3:23 17:8	wasn't 21:5	1	
9:17,23	squad's 8:9	10:13	33:17	23:10	<b>1</b> 15:4	
send 13:13	staff 19:20,23	suspicion 25:17	title 8:3	way 12:4 18:20	<b>10</b> 21:10 26:16	
senior 10:20 17:5	27:5 28:6	sworn 14:18	titles 9:16	19:11 25:15	34:6,8,10	
18:11,14,18	33:15	Sydenham 8:8	<b>Today</b> 2:15	27:17 31:14		
19:2,4 24:4,12	standard 9:22	system 12:8 28:9	tomorrow 20:24	ways 23:6	<b>111</b> 6:9 <b>1989</b> 15:8	
, ,	14:24	29:2 32:20,23	34:7,8			
28:6 30:25		27.2 32.20,23	· ·	websites 31:18	<b>1990s</b> 8:6	
sensational 33:2	standards 23:21	T	top 30:25	wedding 15:18		
sense 19:21	23:22,24 24:2	T	topic 24:1	23:3	2	
31:21	24:9,11	tab 26:16	totality 19:23	week 5:7	2.00 1:2	
sensible 16:4,9	started 11:6 15:7	take 17:3 31:17	totally 7:5	weeks 15:23	<b>2.51</b> 34:9	
separate 16:13	22:25	taken 18:10	training 17:15	27:22		
separately 16:19	statement 2:7	talked 6:19 7:5	17:17,18		<b>2002</b> 10:2 15:9	
1 V	4:1 6:9 14:22	talking 6:21 11:7	transferred 15:9	went 2:14 4:11	28:14	
service 19:9,20			15:10	6:18 22:7 23:1	<b>2004</b> 10:19	
21:5 25:23	14:24 15:2	tea 21:21		26:13	<b>2005</b> 11:1	
32:5 33:22	16:1 18:8 20:7	team 3:22	transparent 12:7	weren't 7:22	2007 1:23,25	
set 28:9	21:11 24:14	technical 22:10	31:25	9:11,19	11:2	
sets 21:23	25:4 26:14	telephone 17:6	tricky 31:6	we'll 7:13 20:23	2008 1:21	
setting 16:6,12	28:11,19 34:1	television 4:12	tried 2:25 6:20	we're 15:21 29:1	<b>2009</b> 15:11,15,15	
16:16,22,24	station 33:7	17:21	32:5	32:20	15:16 26:13	
17:3 20:9,17	step 18:1 19:13	tell 1:8,19 20:3	true 3:8	we've 11:2 28:11		
ship 5:19,20	Stephenson	tend 33:2	truth 9:25 14:24		<b>2010</b> 15:12	
	19:17	term 30:16	try 8:12 12:2,18	30:7 32:2,3,5	<b>2012</b> 14:23	
shortly 30:7	Stevens 1:3 6:13		24:18 29:21	widely 2:4,5	<b>23</b> 4:8	
side 5:19,20		terminated 1:22		33:14	<b>26</b> 14:23	
12:18 13:11,11	10:24 11:5,18	terms 2:21,23	trying 19:14	widespread 26:2	<b>263</b> 8:2	
sides 24:24	17:15 32:17	4:10 8:14 14:8	23:13 24:5,15	wine 20:16	<b>28</b> 5:1	
signed 14:23	stories 9:1,9,24	15:7 18:17	29:5 30:1 32:1	wise 17:5		
15:2	25:25 26:5	22:20	32:2	witness 14:17,22	3	
	33:2	terrain 21:19	Tuesday 34:7	won 28:15		
Significant	story 12:18	test 12:4	two 1:12 9:7		<b>37</b> 25:4	
		ICSI 12.4	two 1.12 9.7 type 4:23	wonderful 14:12		
significantly		thank 0.6 11 10	1 1000 4:23	words 27:16	4	
significantly 31:3	19:18 20:3,4	thank 2:6 11:18	· <b>J</b> I · · · ·			
significantly 31:3 similar 7:6 17:11	19:18 20:3,4 24:19	14:15,16,22		29:24	4 22:3	
<b>significantly</b> 31:3 <b>similar</b> 7:6 17:11 28:11	19:18 20:3,4 24:19 straightforward	14:15,16,22 33:24,25 34:2		29:24 work 13:13,20	<b>4</b> 22:3 <b>42</b> 26:14	
<b>significantly</b> 31:3 <b>similar</b> 7:6 17:11 28:11	19:18 20:3,4 24:19	14:15,16,22		work 13:13,20	<b>42</b> 26:14	
significantly 31:3 similar 7:6 17:11 28:11 Singapore 13:8	19:18 20:3,4 24:19 straightforward	14:15,16,22 33:24,25 34:2 34:8	U UK 7:8	<b>work</b> 13:13,20 13:23 16:23	<b>42</b> 26:14 <b>48</b> 28:10	
significantly 31:3 similar 7:6 17:11 28:11 Singapore 13:8 sir 4:23 5:21	19:18 20:3,4 24:19 straightforward 12:20 strange 20:12	14:15,16,22 33:24,25 34:2 34:8 <b>they'd</b> 29:18	U UK 7:8 understand 3:2	<b>work</b> 13:13,20 13:23 16:23 19:19,23 34:1	<b>42</b> 26:14	
<b>similar</b> 7:6 17:11	19:18 20:3,4 24:19 <b>straightforward</b> 12:20	14:15,16,22 33:24,25 34:2 34:8	U UK 7:8	<b>work</b> 13:13,20 13:23 16:23	<b>42</b> 26:14 <b>48</b> 28:10	

Merrill Corporation (+44) 207 404 1400

www.merrillcorp/mls.com