1 meaning of the sentence would be. (2.00 pm)2 O. Regardless of the audience, and it is aimed at 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Mr Jay, tomorrow morning we'll start 3 constituency MPs, we know that from other evidence it's 4 4 at 9.30. There's clearly a fair amount of ground to how that would chime with you, because you were already 5 cover and I don't want to run short of time. 5 in receipt of one piece of running commentary at 6 MR JAY: Indeed. Page 47 now, Mr Michel, 01688. There were 6 page 01687, and as we're about to see, you get a lot 7 a number of calls before this email, at least 15 7 more running commentary. Just whether that caused your 8 minutes' worth. Mr Smith disputes the request for help 8 antennae as it were to begin to flicker a bit? 9 to prepare him, so where did you get that from, may 9 A. I wouldn't qualify the discussion I was having with Adam 10 10 I ask you? as running commentary. They were back and forth 11 11 A. Probably from the call itself. I think we spoke for 15 discussions on the process as it was unfolding. 12 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Sorry, what's that, if it's not minutes beforehand. 13 Q. How did you interpret that, though, the request for your 13 a running commentary? 14 help to prepare him? It was almost as if the two of you 14 A. Well, I just think, sir, that running commentary is 15 would be working together with the same objective; is 15 a very broad definition. I think -- well you've seen 16 that right? 16 probably from those memos there were a lot of things on 17 17 A. I think it was a normal discussion around whether or not timing, process, decisions we needed to make internally, 18 I could provide some feedback or elements which would 18 redactions of documents, stages of discussions. I think 19 help Adam prepare the Secretary of State. I think it 19 it was much more precise than just a sort of broad 20 would just -- yes, the documentation on the technical 20 chit-chat commentary. 21 21 MR JAY: Let's look at another memorandum as you describe aspects or ... 22 Q. Okay. 01689, page 48. This is a very formal-looking 22 it, email, 01692, page 51. It was sent close on 23 23 email. We've worked out what it is. It was an email 9 o'clock on the Sunday morning, 23 January. 24 24 that the Secretary of State was going to send to various We know from the call data that at 17.59 the 25 constituency MPs setting out his formal position, and 25 previous afternoon you had a 17-minute conversation with Page 1 Page 3 1 you, as it were, were being given advance sight of it. 1 Mr Smith. Do you follow me? 2 Do you see that? 2 A. Yes, and I think I had also one after that email as 3 A. Yeah, I'm not sure if it's advance or it was when it was 3 well. 4 being sent. 4 Q. That's probably right, Mr Michel, but in terms of 5 Q. That may be right. I'll ask you a couple of points 5 what --6 arising out of this very properly worded document. Do 6 A. Sorry, yes. 7 you see in the second paragraph: 7 Q. -- went into the email, it was the 17-minute call. 8 "In taking this decision it is open to the Secretary 8 There's a lot of material here which was not in the 9 of State to take representations. However, it would 9 public domain. Do you see, for example, the timeline 10 detract from the decision-making process to provide 10 for the UILs, because they'd just been announced, hadn't 11 a running commentary on what, if any, discussions he is 11 they, I think three days before? Do you see the 12 having." 12 sentence "He predicts it should all be done by 13 Do you see that? 13 mid-February"? 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Did that begin to raise any alarm bells with you? 15 Q. That's something Mr Smith told you, wasn't it? 16 A. I think it's probably referring to not running a running 16 A. Yes. 17 commentary to the media, which is what Adam would always 17 Q. And it wasn't something you knew otherwise, was it? 18 say, that as a position they were very keen not to be in 18 A. No. 19 a position where they had to answer every request all 19 Q. What about the next sentence, which I should make it 20 20 the time. clear is hotly disputed by Mr Smith: 21 Q. It would certainly include a running commentary to the 21 "His view is that once he announces publicly he has 22 22 media, but it might include a running commentary to a strong UIL, it's almost game over for the opposition." 23 News Corp, would you accept that? 23 Can I be clear about this, Mr Michel? Whose 24 A. I don't know. I don't know if -- this is aimed at 24 phraseology is "it's almost game over for the 25 constituency MP. I don't know if that's what the 25 opposition"? Page 2 Page 4

- 1 A. I think at the meeting on 20 January, the minuted formal
- 2 meeting, the Secretary of State had already used the
- 3 word "game changer" for the UIL and the fact that
- 4 News Corp was providing that remedy was a game changer,
- 5 so it doesn't surprise me to see that phraseology here.
- 6 Q. Bit of a difference between game changer and game over,
- 7 isn't there?
- 8 A. Probably. I think -- sorry.
- 9 Q. The question was whose phrase was that? There are only
- 10 two possibilities here. It's either Mr Smith's phrase,
- which you're putting down verbatim, or it's your gloss
- on whatever Mr Smith said, or I suppose it's an
- 13 invention or exaggeration. We just need to know which
- 14 it is.
- 15 A. I wouldn't say it's an exaggeration. I'm sure it comes
- 16 from a discussion with Adam. I'm not surprised to read
- it, given that's what the remedy was providing.
- 18 Q. But the remedy itself was, as it were, hotly disputed
- and it was going to be considered by Ofcom and OFT and
- 20 people were saying that notwithstanding the UILs, the
- 21 matter should still be referred to the Competition
- 22 Commission, weren't they?
- 23 A. Yeah, that was the advice afterwards, subsequently.
- 24 Q. That's right. So there were clearly two rooms or two
- 25 opinions here, and someone was expressing the opinion

- that with the strong UILs, it's almost game over for the 1 **Sta**
- 2 opposition.
- 3 **A. Yes.**
- 4 Q. And you think that's Mr Smith?
- $5\,$ $\,$ A. Yes. If I put it in the memo, I think it reflected our
- 6 conversation.
- 7 Q. Then the next sentence:
- 8 "He understands fully our concerns/fears regarding
- 9 the publication of the report and the consultation of
- 10 Ofcom in the process; but he wants us to take the heat,
- 11 with him, in the next 2 weeks."
- 12 Again, where did you get that from?
- 13 A. From the conversation. It's probably me reflecting the
- 14 fact that there was a need for everyone, ie DCMS and
- 15 News Corp, whilst this remedy is being presented, to
- 16 make sure we put as strong arguments as we can, because
- 17 the Secretary of State was deciding not to refer and to
- look at the UIL and accept it.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Are all these "he"s the same person?
- 20 "He" announces he is minded to refer, "he" predicts it
- should be done by mid-February, "his" view, "he"
- 22 understands. Is all that the same person?
- 23 A. Yes, I assume that was -- the way I was writing those is
- that I assume it was the position of the Secretary of
- 25 State, so I used the "he" as a -- as one for Jeremy Hunt

Page 6

- 1 through his adviser.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So is this the case, Mr Michel: you
- 3 believe that actually this is a communication with the
- 4 Secretary of State through the mouth of Mr Smith?
- 5 A. I believe that whatever Mr Smith tells me represents the
- 6 view of the Secretary of State, yes.
- 7 MR JAY: Although, strictly speaking, the pronoun "he" is
- 8 Mr Smith. Whether Mr Smith is speaking for the
- 9 Secretary of State is your inference or your deduction,
- 10 is that --
- 11 A. No, it's the way I process -- it's how I should -- I was
- 12 to work.
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm not so sure, actually, Mr Jay.
- 14 Because "he wants us to take heat with him" is hardly
- 15 a reference to Mr Smith.
- 16 **A. Yes.**
- 17 MR JAY: Although it's -- yes.
- 18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, that's why I raised the
- 19 question.
- 20 MR JAY: Maybe I should ask the question in this way:
- 21 obviously it's Mr Smith talking to you on the phone,
- 22 it's not Mr Hunt talking to you. But when Mr Smith is
- 23 talking to you, what is he doing? Is he communicating
- 24 his own view or is he referring to someone else's view?
- 25 A. He's communicating the view of him and the Secretary of Page 7
- 1 State. You know, that's why -- as we discussed earlier.
- 2 Q. Mm. Okay.
- 3 A. I was representing News Corp, he was representing the
- 4 Secretary of State in those discussions.
- 5 Q. It continues:
- 6 "He very specifically said that he was keen to get
- 7 to the same outcome and wanted JRM to understand he
- 8 needs to build some political cover on the process."
- 9 So again you are setting out Mr Hunt's view as
- articulated by Mr Smith, are you?
- 11 A. Mm-hm. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you find any of this surprising, that this is what
- you were being told?
- 14 A. I think we were at a stage in the process where the
- decision not to refer was going to create, as the
- 16 narrative goes, some heat politically for the Secretary
- of State, and although we believed the remedy was
- structured -- was very strong and said all the plurality
- concerns, the Secretary of State, in presenting that
 - remedy, was going to take a lot of criticism. So, no,
- 21 I was not surprised to --
- 22 Q. But it isn't just a criticism which would be to, as it
 - were, deal with the political and legal ramifications of
- this. It is more:
- 25 "He very specifically said that he was keen to get Page 8

20

- 1 to the same outcome ..."
- 2 And a bit later on:
- 3 "He said we would get there in the end and he shared
- 4 our objectives."
- 5 How did you interpret that, Mr Michel?
- 6 A. I think I interpreted it as he wanted the UIL to be well
- 7 presented and he wanted the UIL to be properly debated,
- 8 because he believed, as I mention, that it was a game
- 9 changer in the entire bid process.
- 10 Q. Mr Michel, with respect, I'm not satisfied with that
- answer. If you take the three things together, "it's
- 12 almost game over for the opposition", "he very
- specifically said that he was keen to get to the same
- outcome", "he said we would get there at the end and he
- shared our objectives", that means only one thing, that
- he shared the ultimate objective of News Corp, which was
- to secure the remaining shares in BSkyB. That's the
- only reasonable interpretation which can be borne on
- this and it's the one you took away at the time, isn't
- 20 it?
- $21\,$ $\,$ A. Although if I can put a caveat on the narrative that was
- being given on an ongoing basis at every stage, the
- 23 Secretary of State followed the advice of regulators
- 24 and --

25 Q. It's not my question --

Page 9

- 1 Q. -- before then.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm sorry, did you say what time the
- 3 telephone call was before the 9 o'clock am Sunday
- 4 morning?
- 5 Q. Yes, it was the previous afternoon at 17.59.
- 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you.
- 7 MR JAY: 17 minutes and zero seconds.
- 8 The one at 8.39 in the evening, the call was 18
- 9 minutes, 50 seconds at 18.21 hours.
- 10 Mr Smith accepts the general content of this, but he
- disputes the tone, Mr Michel, so we're clear about this
- email. You agree that he was giving you the impression
- 13 that DCMS were minded to accept the UIL but would say
- 14 otherwise publicly?
- 15 A. Sorry, did you say -- about the tone, what do you mean?
- 16 The tone, sort of the language used or the --
 - Q. Yes. Mr Smith disputes the tone, because the tone is --
- well, people can draw their own conclusions from the
- 19 tone.

17

- 20 **A. Okay.**
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. But he will say that he didn't speak to you in quite
- 22 this -- I'm not going to put an adjective around --
- 23 I think you get the point I'm making. We'll hear from
- 24 him in due course but I'm indicating where the dispute
- 25 is.

Page 11

A. No but I just want to --

- 2 Q. Whether or not he did, it is what you took away from
- 3 this from what you were being told by Mr Smith. Now
- 4 you're agreeing with me that what you were being given
- 5 was immense reassurance that we would succumb all the
- 6 political and legal obstacles, we would avoid judicial
- 7 review and in the end we would get what we both want,
- 8 which is News Corp acquiring the remaining shares in
- $9\,$ $\,$ this company. That's what Mr Smith rightly or wrongly
- was telling you, wasn't it?
- 11 A. No, with due respect, I wouldn't take that leap in terms
- of interpreting it. I would take definitely comfort
- 13 that we had a strong chance to see it through in terms
- of our addressing plurality concerns, but I wouldn't
- 15 have that broad approach.
- 16 Q. What broad approach?
- 17 A. Sorry, of your -- I wouldn't take that leap in terms of
- 18 **how you --**
- 19 Q. He wasn't giving you a guarantee, but he was giving you
- $20 \qquad \text{a very strong indication where departmental thinking was} \\$
- 21 lying at that point, wasn't he?
- 22 A. Yes, it's encouraging.
- 23 Q. At the next page, 01693, page 52. This is Sunday
- evening now. There had been another telephone call --
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 10

- 1 The question was: do you think he was giving you the
- 2 impression that DCMS were minded to accept the UIL but
- 3 would say otherwise publicly?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. 01695. Page 54. That first email is timed at 15.21,
- 6 you to Mr Murdoch. Do you see that? This is the one in
 - bold:

7

- 8 "Managed to get some infos on the plans for tomorrow
- 9 (although absolutely illegal)."
- And then there's a couple of squiggles there. Why
- did you say "although absolutely illegal"?
- 12 A. It's a very bad joke, which shouldn't have been made.
- 13 I think it was out of my surprise to get a briefing on
- 14 the content of the statement at such an early stage. In
- 15 hindsight, which is always a good thing, I wouldn't have
- put such words. I have since learned that it's not
- 17 unusual to get pre-notification for a statement in
- Parliament to be given to some of the parties to the
- 19 transaction, so -- but I was -- it's just an expression
 - of surprise from me.
- 21 Q. Your thinking at the time is that you were being given
- advance notice of something you ought not to be,
 - otherwise you wouldn't have put that in brackets, would
- 24 you?

20

23

25 A. Yeah, I think less on the content, more the timing.

- 1 I think it was very early before the statement was made.
- 2 I would have expected it to be probably later in the
- 3 evening. But that's why probably I wrote that.
- 4 Q. There's evidence of an 18-second call -- yes, it's 18
- 5 seconds before this email, and then there are various
- 6 text messages which you actually collect up in the
- 7 exhibit to your first statement at 03256. You probably
- 8 remember those.
- 9 A. I have a call -- I don't know if it's -- it might have
- been a call just 10 minutes before that email was
- 11 written. Oh no, it's 15, sorry, that's before the other
- one. On that one I don't know, sorry. I refer to the
- one above, sorry.
- 14 Q. The text message relates to the timing. There are some
- disputes about this which I'll put to you quickly.
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. Do you see, level with the lower hole punch:
- "Lots of legal issues around the statement so he has
- 19 tried to get a version which helps us by qualifying the
- 20 threats identified by Ofcom."
- Well, the "helps us" is denied by Mr Smith, probably
- for obvious reasons, but what is your position on that,
- 23 Mr Michel? Are you sure that's what he said?
- 24 A. Yes, I think the "helps us" means help presenting the
- 25 UIL in an adequate way. I don't read any more than
 - Page 13

- 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Mr Michel, you've mentioned the team
- 2 several times. I wonder if you could help me --
- 3 A. Sorry.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- what they all do. You mentioned
- 5 Matthew Anderson. What does he do?
- 6 A. He was the person I was reporting to until my new role.
- 7 He was director of corporate affairs and strategy.
- 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right, so he's director of
- 9 corporate affairs. And who is Andrea Appella?
- 10 A. He's our regulatory lawyer. He's deputy general counsel
- in charge of regulatory competition issues.
- 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And Palker, Jeff?
- 13 A. He's our general counsel for Europe and Asia.
- 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And Alice Macandrew?
- 15 A. She was the director of communication.
- 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Did any of these emails or any of the
- 17 contents get discussed with those lawyers who were
- advising News Corp on the bid? Who are they?
- 19 A. Outside counsel?
- 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Outside.
- 21 A. Yes, they were Allen & Overy.
- 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And were they discussed with Allen
- 23 & Overy, these emails?
- 24 A. Yes, I'm sure the content of some of those emails would
- 25 have been, yes.

- 1 this. So I would -- yes.
- 2 Q. And the reference to "very fast" on the next page, level
- 3 with the upper hole punch.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That's denied and that isn't borne out by any of the
- 6 text messages, to be fair, so where do you feel that
- 7 might have come from, if anywhere?
- 8 A. I think this memo must have been borne out of a call.
- 9 I don't think -- I mean, I don't believe that kind of
- detailed conversation would have been given by text.
- 11 The idea that it's wanted to be done very fast I think
- is something that I would have been told on an ongoing
- basis, that of course we want a timing to be done
- 14 quickly. That's probably a way to appease my -- our
- sort of fears that this could be a process that could
- 16 drag on.
- 17 Q. On any view, you're being given information here which
- is not in the public domain and which, I suppose, is
- inside information for News Corp. Would you agree with
- 20 that?
- 21 A. Well, I think it was given to the team that was
- interacting with DCMS on the transaction, it's probably
- an assumption which was right, that this wouldn't go
- 24 anywhere else and stay in the bound of confidentiality
- as we always did.

Page 14

- 1 MR JAY: I think right in saying we don't see any evidence
- of them being forwarded to Allen & Overy, but your
- 3 evidence is that the gist or content was discussed with
- 4 them --
- 5 A. Do you mean that specific one?
- 6 Q. Yes, or any of them, actually.
- 7 A. No, I wouldn't be liaising with Allen & Overy. That
- 8 wouldn't be my role.
- 9 Q. No. I move on to 01704. Your page 63. This relates to
- Mr Hunt's statement to Parliament, doesn't it?
- 11 A. Yes.

15

- 12 Q. And the remedy is the reference to the UILs, obviously.
- 13 And what the email says is:
- "He can't say they are too brilliant [that's the
 - UILs] otherwise people will call for them to be
- 16 published."
- 17 A. Yes, I think it's an extract of text with Adam.
- 18 Q. Yes, the relevant text is at page 02345 in your exhibit.
- 19 Mr Smith's text to you is:
- 20 "There's plenty potential to mitigate problems. We
- can't say they're too brilliant otherwise people will
- call for them to be published. Will check on meeting."
- 23 So you've set it out more or less verbatim there,
- 24 haven't you?
- 25 A. Yeah, except for "will check on meeting."

- Q. I suppose that was another indication that the wind was
- 2 blowing hard in your direction, wasn't it?
- 3 A. I think it was an indication that the problems attached
- 4 to plurality were being mitigated by our UIL, which was
- 5 a very strong structural and financial concession from
- 6 the company.
- 7 Q. I think that's just a different way of putting the same
- 8 thing, isn't it?
- 9 A. Yeah, yeah. I mean, this was a discussion around the
- 10 UIL. As you said, it was around the statement on the
- 11 UIL, so I would agree that it's a supportive comment
- 12 on -- on that.
- 13 Q. If you look at the next page, 01705, your page 64,
- 14 another chat. The chat, I think, is probably
- 15 a reference to a text message exchange, isn't it, rather
- 16 than a conversation?
- 17 A. Probably.
- 18 Q. Probably nothing to --
- 19 A. Yes, I think this was from a text from Adam.
- 20 Q. Yes, our page 03245. The email says:
- 21 "He said he had no legal wriggle room in a statement
- 22 to Parliament."
- 23 The text says:
- 24 "Other than what Jeremy and I have told you, we have
- 25 no legal wriggle room in a statement to Parliament."

- 1 I haven't spotted, you've got your evidence for that
- 2 part of the email. It's in that schedule, is it?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. So you are basing that on something Mr Smith
- 5

12

17

- 6 A. Yes, I think 85 per cent of the memo is verbatim. My 7
 - only, let's say, colourful addition is "to prevent any
- 8 accusation of deal-making at this stage".
- 9 Q. Okay, thank you.
- 10 The next one is 01707. Your page 66. There are
- 11 some relevant texts which precede it.
 - "We've had an update on today's events ... weaken
- 13 most of the opponent's arguments", I'm paraphrasing.
- 14 "JL believes we are in a good place tonight. Let's
- 15 see what the morning's coverage brings."
- 16 And the texts say -- your text:
 - "Today went well. Look at the Coalition's campaign
- 18 statement, so weak."
- 19 Do you see that one?
- 20 Four hours later:
- 21 "I think we're in a good place tonight, no?"
- 22 Mr Smith says:
- 23 "I agree, coverage looks okay. Let's look again in
- 24 the morning though!"
- 25 A. Yes.

6

- So you've set that bit out entirely faithfully. 1
- 2 It's the next bit, though, Mr Michel, which I'm sure
- 3 Mr Smith will say is extraneous gloss:
- 4 "And he only needs some space to prevent any
 - accusation of deal-making at this stage."
- 6 That isn't reflected by an antecedent text and
- 7 you've elaborated there.

5

- 8 A. That's probably me adding something else as well, yes.
- 9 Q. So it was the inference you drew rather than anything --
- 10 A. Yes. I think so. If it's not backed up by text, sorry,
- 11 I can't remember every text, and I have the schedule
- 12 here which doesn't show any of the -- it's probably
- 13 something I drew myself.
- 14 Q. If you were attributing rather this phrase, this clause,
- 15 to Mr Smith, it would be quite damaging because it
- 16 wouldn't be quite an allegation of bad faith, but it
- 17 would be suggesting that they were acting in a less than
- 18 wholly open and transparent manner, but I think your
- 19 evidence is that you're not attributing that to him?
- 20 A. No, there is a text at 9.40 from Adam to me saying: 21 "It's all exactly as we said, we just need space."
- 22 Q. Can you just tell me where that one is, Mr Michel?
- 23 A. On 25 January at 9.40. So an hour and a half after the
- 24
- 25 Q. It's not in your list. If there's a further text which Page 18

1 Q. So he agrees that "we're in a good place", but I suppose

Page 19

- 2 the argument might surround who the "we" in that
- 3 sentence is a reference to and what "good place" might
- 4 mean, but how did you interpret that?
- 5 A. That the process of presenting the UIL had gone well and
 - that we were in a good place compared to where we were
- 7 a few days before, when everyone was stressing about the
- 8 presentation of it. I don't know if it related to the
- 9 media specifically, but ...
- 10 Q. The argument was moving your way, wasn't it?
- 11 A. Well, the presentation of the UIL had gone well, and
- 12 most commentators were agreeing that plurality concerns
- 13 had been met.
- 14 Q. There isn't going to be time to go through each and
- 15 every one of these, otherwise we really will be here for
- 16 a very long time. I need to identify those which are
- 17 more important than others.
- 18 If you look at 01708, page 67, that one is borne out
- 19 by some antecedent texts. The next one we're going to
 - look at is 01711, page 70. That one again is borne out
- 21 by an antecedent text.
- 22 Page 71, I think this one is more controversial,
- 23 01712. It's on Friday, 4 February in the afternoon.
- 24 The call data shows a 23-minute, 15-second call at 09.24
- 25 in the morning, and there was a text at our page 03247,

Page 20

1 two texts. One from you to Mr Smith and one from he to A. No. It doesn't seem that way. 2 you, not long before the email was sent. Your text to 2 Q. Apart from the texts we've seen and the earlier 3 3 him: telephone conversation, do you know where the other 4 4 information we see in this email is derived from? In "Are you able to send me the Enders and Slaughter 5 documents. Would help me prepare for the public debate. 5 particular, the reference to the "very quick public 6 Enjoy golf." 6 consultation process" and the heat being "absolutely 7 7 massive from the Coalition", that's on the next page. Were those confidential documents at that stage? 8 A. "Enders and Slaughter documents"? 8 A. I might have had a discussion that day. 9 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: As you pass from that email, there's 10 A. I think they were the submission made to the department 10 a new name that we haven't identified, Anil Jhingan. 11 from the other side, as it were. I think they had been 11 A. He's another deputy general counsel. 12 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Another lawyer? leaked quite extensively. A. Yes. 13 Q. Apart from the fact that they had been leaked quite 13 14 extensively, they were confidential documents, weren't 14 MR JAY: If you take this email as a whole, including the 15 15 reference to he completely agreeing with our fears, they? 16 A. I can't assess whether or not they were confidential. 16 given the toxic relationship and mistrust of Ofcom, the 17 I actually didn't see them at this stage. 17 clear impression we get is that Mr Smith, either 18 Q. What Mr Smith replies to you at 17.30 hours: 18 speaking for himself or for someone else, was on your 19 19 side. Would you agree with that? "I haven't actually got them at the moment. 20 Officials just told me about them. Don't mention them 20 A. On the issues letter? 21 to anyone like oft, et cetera. If we need them I'll 21 O. Yes. 22 show you." 22 A. I think he was probably agreeing with the fears I was 23 So he's indicating that he shouldn't really be 23 expressing, which is something he would do very often, 24 24 providing them to you, isn't he? and he probably would refer to the toxic relationship 25 25 A. I think he had a discussion with officials about it and and mistrust of Ofcom, between Ofcom and News Corp, Page 21 Page 23 1 that -- yes, he's not willing to give them to me, 1 which was -- the ongoing feature I had to deal with. 2 2 I think that's why -- so he would probably agree with probably. I will agree. 3 Q. But it's the line "Don't mention them to anyone like 3 that sort of climate. If that appears. 4 oft, et cetera." It's what obvious inference you might 4 Q. By this stage in your relationship with Mr Smith, you 5 deduce from that, Mr Michel. 5 were getting on well with him, weren't you? 6 A. At the time? 6 A. At every stage until even after the bid. 7 O. Yes. 7 Q. And maybe you felt that you'd drawn him into your 8 A. That anything the DCMS would receive as documents 8 confidence; is that right? 9 shouldn't be shared with the OFT. 9 A. No, I think he was my interlocutor in that process and we were both representing him the department and me News 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Because you shouldn't have got them? 10 A. Probably why I didn't get them. 11 Corporation. I don't think I had drawn him in -- in --11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Maybe. 12 12 no. If anything, actually, some of his response to my 13 MR JAY: Well, the email says: 13 requests are pretty firm and pretty strong. 14 "I'm trying to get the documents, but it might be 14 Q. Okay. There's a dispute about 01714, your page 73. You 15 15 difficult." refer to "insightful feedback from JH on OFT/Ofcom 16 A. Yes. 16 views." Q. I think at the end of the day you didn't get the 17 17 Mr Smith's statement makes it clear he didn't know 18 documents; is that right? 18 OFT/Ofcom views at that point and the only evidence is 19 A. No. I know other people had managed to, but although we 19 a telephone call which lasted 10 seconds at 10.10 in the 20 were party to the transaction, we didn't have access to 20 morning. The question is: where did you get that 21 21 information from? 22 Q. Although Mr Smith was apparently -- indeed he was, it 22 A. Those call records are from my mobile and I also call 23 23 wasn't just apparently, the text speaks for itself -often from my office, so -- we don't have those. So it 24 indicating that if you need them he will provide them to 24 might have been from a call in -- I know there's a text 25 25 saying "Let's speak" the evening before, so -you, so it's clear you didn't ask him for them, did you? Page 22 Page 24

9

- I wouldn't have triggered myself to write something like
- 2 this if I hadn't had a feedback or a reason to write it.
- 3 Q. If you look at the next email at 01715 at page 74, it's
- 4 clear that Mr Smith doesn't know the OFT view at that
- 5 stage, which is consistent with what I put to you in
- 6 relation to the previous email, that he didn't know the
- 7 view, therefore you can't have had any insightful
- 8 feedback from him about it. Do you see that issue?
- 9 A. I do, and I would love to understand the reason why it's 10 as it is, but this is nearly a year and a half ago.
- 11 I can't remember every --
- 12 Q. One possible explanation, I gently suggest to you, that
- 13 there may be elements of exaggeration in what we're 14 seeing here.
- 15 A. I wouldn't think that's the case, given that that email
- 16 says I would feed back to my colleague. If there was
- 17 exaggeration, I wouldn't be able to feed back anything
- 18
- to my colleague.
- 19 Q. Okay. We're moving forward now to 9 February,
- 20 page 01717, page 76. This is an email sent at 7.24 in
- 21 the evening, do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.

1

- 23 Q. Before that email, there were four telephone calls which
- 24 lasted, in all, about half an hour, and they ran from
- 25 17.30 in the evening to 18.42. There was then the email Page 25

ability to implement, unfortunately it would be possible

- 2 as you could just include in the Articles of Association 3
 - ... [I'm missing the relevant words out]. Let's discuss
- 4 in the morning. It will be a very personal decision for
- 5 James. Let's see how we get through this final hurdle."
- 6 That's all the material we got. Can I ask you this,
- 7 the reference to Swan Lake there, where did you get that
- 8 from?
 - A. From my conversation with Adam.
- 10 Q. And who is the "he" in that sentence who is going in to 11 see Swan Lake?
- 12 A. Jeremy Hunt. I think Adam said, "I've just managed to 13 get him before he went in".
- 14 Q. So that is the call at 19.03 hours, which I suppose fits
- 15 in with when Swan Lake might have started, although our
- 16 researches reveal that Covent Garden wasn't showing Swan
- 17 Lake that evening, it was on 10 and 14 February. It was
- 18 Die Zauberflote at Covent Garden, but maybe it was
- 19 something else altogether. But anyway you can't assist
- 20 on that?
- 21 A. I'm afraid I can't.
- 22 O. But the content, though, we can look six lines down:
- 23 "He understands this is a deal stopper for us and
- 24 shares our frustration."
- 25 And then what you put in quotes, "We all know what Page 27
- 1 and there was another call at 20.01 hours, which lasted
- 2 six minutes and 14 seconds.
- 3 A. I think -- sorry. I have three calls before that email.
- 4 Q. You have three, I have four. I don't think it's going
- 5 to matter too much. There was also a call out by
- 6 Mr Smith to Mr Hunt at one stage, and there were some
- 7 earlier text messages, which aren't particularly
- 8 revealing. So whatever we see in this email is really 9
 - derived from the content of the telephone calls you had.
- A. I was not aware of the -- of course, of the Adam 10 11 Smith/Jeremy Hunt conversation.
- 12 Q. Yes, I've noted that down here actually. He called
- 13 Mr Hunt at 19.03 hours and the call lasted three minutes
- 14 and 23 seconds. Actually, having said that there aren't
- 15 relevant texts, there are relevant texts somewhere else.
- 16 I'll dig them out. It's pages 12780 and 12781. There's
- 17 a lot of material here one has to cross-reference,
- 18 Mr Michel. You're just going to have to bear with me.
- 19 A. Sure.
- 20 Q. 12780 says:
- 21 "Let me know if you get feedback from JH tonight.
- 22 We are working throughout."
- 23 And that was sent at 19.30 hours. And then there's
- 24 a later one just before 9 o'clock:
- 25 "Agree it is ridiculous and duplicative. As for Page 26

- 1 Ofcom's intentions are and have been from the start on
- 2 this", where does that quote come from?
- 3 A. Probably from my conversation with Adam.
- 4 Q. Do you think you're quoting him verbatim there or not?
- 5 A. I can't say. I can't remember the ...
- 6 Q. If you look a bit later on, or indeed following:
- 7 "He said that in truth this is a very bureaucratic
- 8 layer to be added, that even though on principles we
- 9 were not at all on board with it, it might be a price
- 10 worth paying for the deal to get a green light in 2
- 11 weeks."
- 12 This is part of the same point: we have to play the
- 13 long game because it's the sure way of landing the
- 14 desired outcome. Do you agree?
- 15 A. I think it's part of News Corp being told that although
- 16 we have worries, and that evening we had very big
- 17 worries as to the concessions we were asked to make, and
- 18 although we had those worries, we were asked to take
- 19 a long-term view and again accept those concessions
- 20 despite the strong remedy we had put forward.
- 21 Q. But you're putting as light or as neutral a gloss on
- 22 this as you possibly can. What it says is: 23 "It might be a price worth paying for the deal to
- 25 I mean, the green light is the deal going ahead,

get a green light in 2 weeks."

Page 28

1 isn't it?

- $2\,$ $\,$ A. Yes, it would be that agreeing to those concessions that
- 3 are being asked from Ofcom would probably assuage
- 4 everyone's concerns. Sorry, I'm not trying to put any
- 5 gloss on it.
- 6 Q. It continues:
- 7 "He can't instruct his officials to get back to
- 8 Of com as he is not supposed to be aware that we have
- 9 received the letter and its content ... so we have to be
- 10 very careful on this."
- So they're private exchanges of information which
- appear to be inappropriate, would you agree with that?
- A. I think he had been made aware of the letter, yes, and probably, as he says, the officials were not aware.
- 15 Q. At the end:
- 16 "I told him he had to stand for something ultimately
- and this was his chance to dismiss Ofcom's views and
- show he had some backbone. He said he couldn't ignore
- 19 Ofcom, he had brought them into this OFT process to get
- some cover and in public debate he would get absolutely
- 21 killed if he did such a thing."
- Are you sure that he said that?
- 23 A. Yes, I mean it's my English. I might use words in
- 24 a more melodramatic way than probably they're intended
- 25 to be, but yes, I had -- we had a strong conversation
 - Page 29
- around the fact that we believed -- I was speaking for
- 2 News Corp -- that those were last-minute concessions
- 3 being asked and we thought that it was going a bit too
- 4 far.
- 5 Q. You wouldn't have used words like "he had some backbone"
- 6 to the Secretary of State personally, would you?
- 7 A. Me?
- 8 Q. No.
- 9 A. If I had been in that situation? No.
- 10 Q. This last sentence is recording what you told him, and
- some would say you're being a little bit peremptory, if
- not cheeky, with Mr Smith. Don't you agree?
- 13 A. I think I reflect the frustration that we were
- discussing internally and I think I probably reflect
- some of the language we use in our team and hoping that
- our frustration could be relayed.
- 17 Q. Then the next email, 01718, page 77, you say:
- 18 "Just had strong and long exchange with him again
- 19 now."
- The call data shows three minutes and 57 seconds
- worth of call, so the gentle suggestion to you,
- 22 Mr Michel, is that that's an exaggeration. Would you
- 23 accept that?
- 24 A. As long or --
- 25 Q. I suppose it could have been strong in the three minutes

Page 30

- 1 57 seconds but not the long. Is that fair or not?
- 2 A. If -- now that I know how long the call was, yes,
- 3 probably, I would ...
- 4 Q. Okay. Page 01720, page 79. We're now on 11 February in
- 5 the evening. The call data show 18 minutes' worth of
- 6 calls, two calls. The second one was only about half an
- 7 hour before you sent the email. Are you with me,
- 8 Mr Michel?
- 9 A. Yes.

17

20

- 10 Q. Again, you didn't, I suppose, keep a note of what was
- being discussed, but are you confident that you've set
- out the contents of the conversation accurately?
- 13 A. Yes, I would. I would stand by my content.
- 14 Q. Including the sentence five lines down:
- 15 "JH doesn't want this to go to the CC."
- 16 Is that what he said, or rather what Mr Smith said
 - on behalf of Mr Hunt?
- 18 A. I believe it's written here, yes.
- 19 Q. "He also said his officials don't want this to go
 - further as JH believes it would kill the deal."
- Are you absolutely sure that that's what he said?
- 22 A. I mean, I have no reason to believe that I would have
- written something that wouldn't reflect my conversation.
- 24 Q. "He also knows that Ofcom is taking a very subjective
- and non-legal approach on the chairman issue and
 - Page 31
 - understands the very serious/personal nature of it for
- 2 us."

1

- 3 **A. Yes.**
- 4 Q. Again, are you wholly confident that is what was said?
- 5 A. Yeah, and it reflects -- it's a strong issue at the
- 6 time, which was that there was an ask from Ofcom that
- 7 Mr Murdoch couldn't become the chairman of the new
- 8 organisation Sky News that was going to be hived off
- 9 from BSkyB and they were not -- it was not really
- a rationale for such a demand other than a judgment on
- 11 the independence of Mr Murdoch and his ability to be
- 12 chairman of that new outfit. So yes, it chimes with
- what the debate was at the time completely.
- Q. Weren't you beginning to get the impression now thatDCMS were parti pris?
- 16 A. I think I had the impression that they wanted us to
- follow every advice they were getting from the
- 18 regulators, and that's what happened all the way. So
- 19 every time we had -- we were trying to make sure that we
- 20 didn't have to concede anything more, I think they were
- 21 trying to get us, as we -- you used the sort of -- you
- 22 made reference to that narrative earlier, the long-term
- 23 **view.**
- 24 Q. But there were two things going on here. They'd made it
- absolutely clear to you through Mr Smith they had no

- 1 choice politically and legally but to follow the expert
- 2 advice, but nonetheless, look at the long game. The
- 3 long game would be that the deal was more likely to be
- 4 secured, and if you look at all the commentary, the
- 5 chit-chat around this, this email and all the others,
- 6 the impression one might be said to be getting is of an
- 7 approach which was favourable to you. If we're not
- 8 going to use the term parti pris, we'll use that
- 9 formulation. Would you at least accept that?
- 10 A. I think in the conversations they were having with us,
- 11 they was definitely sort of trying to encourage us to
- 12 stay in the game, but no, I wouldn't use the word parti
- 13 pris.
- 14 Q. Okay. Okay. If I can move on now to 01732, Mr Smith
- 15 doesn't recognise this email at all. It's your page 91.
- 16 A. Thank you.
- 17 Q. There's evidence of four conversations by phone, which
- 18 lasted between seven or eight minutes in all. But there
- 19 aren't any surrounding texts. So is there any further
- 20 illumination you could provide to us on this, apart from
- 21 I suppose you would say that whatever was discussed in
- 22 the call we see written down here?
- 23 A. Yes. I think I'd just had the call, as you said.
- 24 Q. Okay. I move on now to the night of 2/3 March, which
- 25 starts at 01742, your page 101.

Page 33

- 2 final redactions that had to be done that night.
- 3 MR JAY: Yes, and it was important to get the statement out

press at 7 o'clock. So we were -- there was a lot of

- 4 before the markets opened at 8.30 in the morning --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- because this had been rumoured; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the share price was going to rise, presumably?
- 9 A. Yes, there had been an article I think in the FT or --
- 10 and so it was very dangerous not to go very quickly
- 11 because you could assume that by publishing an article,
- 12 the consultation was starting, and the public debate had
- 13 been triggered.
- 14 Q. And what is also relevant is that OFT were themselves up
- 15 all night as well negotiating or discussing with
- 16 News Corp's lawyers about the UILs; is that right?
- 17

20

- 18 Q. So that's the picture. There are three emails. The one
- 19 we're going to look at most closely is 01744, page 103.
 - This is at 25 past three in the morning, and you are
- 21 being told that Mr Hunt is mind to accept the
- 22 undertakings in lieu and will release around 7.30 am to
- 23 the market. So the statement to Parliament I think was
- 24 going to be in the afternoon, but he was going to brief
- 25 the media in advance of the markets opening, is that it?
 - Page 35

A. Thank you. 1

- Q. So we understand the context, this was an important
- 3 event in the evolution of the bid, because the morning
- 4 of 3 March Mr Hunt gave an announcement to Parliament
- 5 that he was minded to accept the UILs, and that
- 6 triggered the first consultation process.
- 7 A. Yes, it did.
- 8 Q. Are you with me?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. But during the course of the early hours of the morning
- 11 there was a tremendous amount of activity both by text
- 12 and by telephone. In relation to telephone calls, at
- 13 nine minutes past one in the morning, a call for 12
- 14 minutes, 18 minutes. One minute to three in the
- 15 morning, one minute and 33 seconds, and at five minutes
- 16 past three, 15 minutes and five seconds, and at the same
- 17 time, as we'll see with Mr Smith, we're not going to
- 18 look at them now, there was a flurry of text messages.
- 19 You probably recall those.
- 20 A. It was a busy night.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: This is in the middle of the night? 21
- 22 A. Completely, yes.
- 23 MR JAY: Yes.
- 24 A. It was to prepare the -- the statement was to be ready
- 25 for 7.30 in the morning, and to be circulated to the

Page 34

- 1 A. I think the statement might have been in the morning,
- 2 I think Adam will be able to help on that. I can't
- 3 remember, sorry.
- 4 Q. You were given by Mr Smith, if this is accurate,
- 5 a preview of what the statement was going to contain
- 6 both in terms of the consultation period, which was only
- 7 15 days, and that Mr -- there's a quote from Mr Hunt:
- 8 "I am consulting. It addresses all media plurality
- 9 concerns."
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11
- 12 Q. Were Allen & Overy copied in to any of these emails, do
- 13 you know?
- 14 A. That night?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. That was not my key focus at 3 o'clock.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. But I would assume, because I have copied the legal --
- 19 oh no, they were, actually, sorry, yes. The Allen &
- 20 Overy person is on that email.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is that --
- 23 A. Antonio Bavasso.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's an acquisitions lawyer, is it? 24
- 25 A. Yeah, he's a great anti-trust lawyer.

- 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 2 MR JAY: At 01745 there's a further email you send, which is
- 3 an update. Mr Smith doesn't accept he's the source of
- 4 much of that information. Are you able to assist us on
- 5 that?
- 6 A. So the email says "JH and co", probably because there's
- 7 different elements of sourcing. Probably two or three
- 8 things come from the conversation with Adam, others from
- 9 other sources, I can't -- it looks to me like it's an
- 10 update email from me at 10 o'clock just to give some
- sense as to where the debate is going in Westminster.
- 12 Q. Okay. To be fair, everybody was probably a bit tired by
- then. Can we move on to 01748 at 107, Mr Michel. We're
- 14 now Thursday, 10 March. The one-hour catch-up you refer
- to is the 34-minute telephone call, so it's just a bit
- of poetic licence there.
- 17 A. It's French time.
- 18 Q. It's not going to change the meaning of the world. But
- 19 Mr Smith does dispute one important sentence. Four
- 20 lines into it, do you see:
- 21 "He called all the key editors last Thursday."
- That must be a reference to Mr Hunt although the
- 23 message is coming to you from Mr Smith.
- 24 A. Yes.

15

Q. "And Paul Dacre was clear that their campaign was purely Page 37

motivated for commercial reasons."

- 2 Mr Smith's position is that he wouldn't have known
- 3 that, therefore he doesn't know how you would have put
- 4 this in the email. Can you comment on that, please?
- 5 A. I think if I've put it in the email, it's because we
- 6 discussed it. I can't see how else I would have been
- 7 able to know that.
- 8 Q. So your point is, well, unless he told you that, it's
- 9 not something you could have invented?
- 10 A. I have no reason to know whether the Secretary of State
- 11 has met editors or not. Probably those editors were
- 12 part of the media coalition or it was a briefing to
- 13 editors. I have no idea.
- 14 Q. Can I ask you about the reference to judicial review:
 - "He doesn't see any rationale for a successful one."
- To some public lawyers there is a possible irony in
- that sentence, but never mind.
- 18 "And it won't affect the consultation process. He
- does not see how they can attack the process as he's
- 20 been so painfully rigid and careful."
- 21 Can we be clear, where does that come from?
- $22\,$ $\,$ A. I think there were discussions whether or not there was
- 23 going to be a JR launched by the media coalition.
- I think it was an item that was ongoing. I probably
- queried his views as to whether or not a JR could be

Page 38

- 1 successful at any stage.
- 2 If I remember, there were a lot of items raised by
- 3 the media coalition in terms of the process,
- 4 representations made, whether the Secretary of State
- 5 exercised his powers to look at the UIL and not refer
- 6 it, you know.
- 7 Q. Mm. I mean, do you see the possible irony in that
- 8 sentence, looking back on it, or not?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You don't?
- 11 A. What do you mean explicitly?
- 12 Q. Well, that -- to be absolutely blunt, that if this
- material were evidence in a judicial review application
- 14 and --
- 15 A. Oh, sorry, yes.
- 16 O. -- and the coalition had adduced it because they got
- hold of it in some way, which perhaps would have been
- 18 a bit surprising --
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think you mean the alliance.
- 20 MR JAY: The alliance, yes.
- 21 A. No, I see your point.
- 22 Q. You see the point?
- 23 A. Completely. And I also see your point if you refer to
- 24 in the case that the media coalition didn't have as much
- 25 representations as we had to DCMS as well.

Page 39

- Q. The other point on this email, two-thirds of the way
- down:

1

9

15

- 3 "On 21 March, his team will look at all the
- 4 submissions. It should take 3/4 days."
- 5 Again I suppose logically you've either made that up
- 6 or Mr Smith told you that. One can't see any other
- 7 possible option.
- 8 "Lots will be pure anti-Murdoch ones and he doesn't
 - expect any groundbreaking issue."
- 10 Are you sure that's what Mr Smith said?
- 11 A. Yes, it's the only reason why I would have written that.
- 12 Q. It might have represented your thinking, as it were, and
- 13 you therefore glossed it --
- 14 A. I think we had discussions about the number of
 - submissions that were put to DCMS and that most of them,
- if I remember, were just iterative petitions that came
- into the department, and most of them were written very
- explicitly on the rationale that this should be a --
- 19 this shouldn't be allowed to proceed because of the
- 20 Murdoch element.
- 21 Q. But it's almost as if he were siding with you against
- the anti-Murdoch thesis. Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes, I think he was giving me some colour as to the type
- 24 of submissions they were getting.
- 25 Q. Okay. Page 01751, 110 on the internal numbering. You

9

12

17

1 say:

6

- 2 "JH would welcome our critical views on the
- 3 Slaughter & May submission to help him forge his
- 4 arguments."
- 5 Is that what Mr Smith said or is that an inference
 - which you draw from other evidence?
- 7 A. I don't know. Was there a call at the time? I think
- $8 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{I might draw it from other conversation I had with him.}$
- 9 Q. But again the clear implication is -- maybe you might be
- beginning to agree with this now -- that if this is
- 11 accurate, Mr Smith is on your side because he is wanting
- vour assistance to assail the arguments being put up by
- the other side. You must see that, Mr Michel?
- 14 A. I see your point and I can see why you would infer that
- 15 from those conversations. I could also see from the way
- I was looking at it that he wanted probably to hear the
- arguments from all the different corners, all the
- different sort of parties to the transaction. So if he
- 19 had the submission from the media coalition, probably he
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} 20 & \begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll}$
- 21 Q. Yes, but he wasn't going to share News Corp's views
- given to him privately with the media coalition, was he?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 Q. But you couldn't reasonably have thought he was going
- 25 to, could you?

Page 41

- helpful for the team.
- 2 Q. Okay. 01781, page 140. I'm making it clear I'm not
- 3 covering everything because we can't. I think this one
- 4 is possibly a bit of a highlight.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Neither is it actually necessary to
- 6 for the purpose of the exercise.
- 7 MR JAY: No. Thank you.
- 8 The call data demonstrate, Mr Michel, that there was
 - a relevant call which lasted 19 minutes and 20 seconds.
- 10 A. Just before the email was written?
- 11 Q. Yes. No, actually we think that one was sent -- the
 - call was after the email was written, but there may
- 13 be --
- 14 A. I think it's because there's issues of summer time on my
- mobile phone, as I've been told.
- 16 Q. I'm not sure I'm going to be able to work out -- we're
 - certainly in summer time, because we're now 3 June, but
- 18 I'm not sure it's critical. There were earlier calls
- that morning, two short calls, but what the email refers
- 20 to is "a clear blame game going on regarding the delay
- 21 between lawyers, us and Ofcom" which Mr Smith denies
- strongly. Is this something which you possibly have
- 23 overextrapolated from what he said?
- 24 A. No, I think we had some debates, by texts and also on
- 25 calls, about why there was a delay and -- we definitely

Page 43

- 1 A. I have no reason to believe one way or another.
- 2 Q. Okay. 10763. This isn't a big point, but Mr Smith
- draws it out. If you look at the email at the top of
- 4 01764, page 123 --
- 5 **A. Yes.**

9

- 6 Q. -- because there's an issue with British standard -- GMT
- 7 and British summer time on the timings of these emails,
- 8 we know that 01764 was sent by Mr Smith before you sent
 - 01763. Do you follow me?
- 10 A. Yes. I think I was abroad at the time as well, so that
- 11 might not help either.
- 12 Q. Okay. I think Mr Smith's point is that if you look at
- the top of your 123, our 01764, you haven't correctly
- reflected what he said in that email in your email to
- 15 Mr Murdoch and others. Might you agree with that?
- 16 A. Sorry, I can't find the other one.
- 17 Q. Pages 122 and 123.
- 18 **A. Oh yes.**
- 19 Q. Look at 123 first and then 122.
- 20~ A. Yes, I think I've put some of my thoughts as well on
- 21 this in order to --
- 22 Q. Okay. So if we accept that some of it is your thinking,
- which isn't gathered from Mr Smith, we're in agreement,
- 24 are we?
- 25 A. Yes. Sometimes I would add some elements that can be

Page 42

- 1 had discussions to -- the sort of level of relationship
- 2 between the different legal teams. I think everyone was
- a bit impatient and frustrated by the process and we
- 4 definitely had the discussion on this.
- 5 Q. Item 5 is disputed, as is item 12. Although item 12 is
- 6 arguably consistent with many things we've read in
- 7 earlier emails?
- 8 A. Yes, I think item 5 would have been something I would
 - have been told. There's no reason why I would have
- 10 written it.

9

- 11 Q. About this time, though, the atmosphere begins to
- 12 change. A couple of reasons, I think. First of all, if
- you look at 01783, page 142, you were obviously very
- frustrated by the delay, and as you say in that email,
- you floated the threat that if this were to go on for
- more weeks, "we could decide at any moment to withdraw."
- Was that a genuine threat, Mr Michel?
- 18 A. I think there was a -- I was reflecting the internal
- 19 frustrations from high up.
- 20 Q. But was it a genuine threat or was it just --
- 21 A. From my part?
- 22 Q. Mm.
- 23 A. No, no, I was reflecting -- I was definitely
- communicating a position, which was that at the time we
 - were even thinking why didn't we go to Competition

Page 44

1 Commission? It would have taken less time, you know.

- 2 Q. And then you tried at 01784, page 143, at another bash
- at a meeting, this time with the Minister of State?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Which was if I may say so somewhat bold after all the
- 6 rebuffs you had earlier received and to be fair to
- 7 Mr Smith he pointed that out. He said:
- 8 "You cannot seriously think that Ed meeting with
- 9 News Corp whilst the deal is going on wouldn't be an
- 10 issue."
- 11 A. So I had instigated that demand in order to discuss
- other issues than the BSkyB deal with the minister. It
- was very clear from every correspondence I made to the
- minister that there were a whole bunch of issues that
- 15 were happening in our industry which I wanted -- we
- needed to make those representations at the time.
- 17 Q. But you characterised that as "a very punitive decision"
- at the top of the page. You felt "victimised",
- 19 Mr Michel.
- 20 A. It was a very cheeky way -- I didn't feel victimised too
- 21 much.
- 22 Q. The atmosphere is, I think, beginning to chill for
- perhaps a number of reasons, some of which are
- supervening events. Can I look at the email of 7 July,
- 25 page 01799, page 158.

Page 45

- 1 got the information.
- 2 MR JAY: The other possibility is that Mr Smith's evidence
 - is incorrect and he did know. We will just have to wait
- 4 and see. But all I can do at this stage is put to you
- 5 what I understand Mr Smith's evidence is going to be.
- 6 Do you understand?
- 7 As a matter of fact, I think it's correct, actually,
- 8 that that was government thinking as at 7 July 2011,
- 9 which is an interesting --
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm not sure that it wasn't initially
- announced as such, but that might have been later.
- 12 MR JAY: It was later. It's a sneak preview into what was
- then government thinking.
- 14 A. Sir, I can completely imagine -- I mean, I have called
- 15 the latest on Rubicon. Whether or not the first item
- 16 was from Adam or not I can't confirm or ...
- 17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Does that mean you might be talking
- to somebody else as well?
- 19 A. No, I don't think so. That's why I said straight away
- 20 that it was from this.
- 21 MR JAY: It matches up with the call you had within 30
- 22 minutes of writing this email.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And we haven't seen evidence of any other call.
- 25 The email which Rebekah Brooks provided us with, you

Page 47

1 **A. Yes.**

- 2 O. We know from call data that there was an 11 minute, 8
- 3 second conversation at 17.35 hours, which was therefore
- 4 nearly half an hour before you sent this email. Are we
- 5 in agreement with that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. Some of the information in this email was not known to
- 8 Mr Smith, he will say, in particular the reference to
- 9 the two possible public inquiries. Do you see that?
- 10 The question is: where did you get that information
- 11 from, Mr Michel?
- 12 A. Sorry, I'm trying to find -- oh, the first item, sorry,
- 13 **yes.**
- 14 Q. Indeed.
- 15 A. From the conversation.
- 16 Q. So you're clear that that's what he said, are you?
- 17 A. Well, I think I would have drawn this from our
- 18 conversation, yes.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You might have been talking to
- somebody else, I don't know, but if Mr Smith said he
- 21 didn't know how these inquiries were going to be
- organised, it's quite difficult to see how he could have
- 23 told you.
- 24 A. I can completely accept the benefit of the doubt on
- 25 this. All I can think is that that's where I would have

Page 46

- 1 remember that one?
- 2 **A. Yes.**

9

12

- 3 Q. It is MOD300002606. Do you have that to hand?
- 4 A. Yes, I've just had it here.
- 5 Q. Mr Smith is clear about this one, that he had had no
- 6 conversation with you about these matters at all,
- 7 particularly the sentence:
- 8 "JA is now starting to look into phone hacking
 - practices more thoroughly and has asked me to advise him
- privately in the coming weeks and guide his and
- 11 Number 10's position."
 - So the -- I think this is something which I have to
- put to you starkly that you're simply wrong about,
- 14 Mr Michel. Can I ask you to comment on that?
- 15 A. Sure. I think we had a discussion that day for 24
- 16 minutes?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. A few hours before? And I can completely vouch for the
- 19 fact that we discussed those issues with Adam.
- 20 Regarding the last sentence I think has triggered a lot
- 21 of comments. You probably put it on my sort of English
- 22 way of -- sorry, way of writing English. "Guide" might
- be too strong a word, probably, but I think that Adam
 will probably recognise that there was always from me an
- offer to brief the department and departments on the

1	ongoing issues at News International, and that it was	1	A. It was social. The idea was to introduce Will to Craig,
2	something that was welcomed at that time.	2	actually.
3	MR JAY: There's some limited further material, but shall we	3	Q. So nothing more than that; is that right?
4	break?	4	A. Yes.
5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think we ought to just have a break	5	Q. At 13478, message timed on 6 July at 17.29.
6	for the shorthand writer.	6	A. Yes.
7	(3.18 pm)	7	Q. You sent a text to Gabby Bertin was she at Number 10
8	(A short break)	8	as well?
9	(3.27 pm)	9	A. Yes, she's spokeswoman to the Prime Minister. And
10	MR JAY: One catch-up point, Mr Michel, then some text	10	number 2.
11	messages. I said that on 24 March 2011 you provided	11	Q. And the message says:
12	material for Mr Smith which was rebuttal, as it were,	12	"And thank you for your messages to Rebekah last
13	for the Slaughter & May material. We actually have the	13	night."
14	submission which you provided Mr Smith at 11955 and	14	So these may be or might be the indirect
15	following, which was a table containing on the left-hand	15	communication that we heard Mrs Brooks talk about when
16	side the Slaughter & May arguments, on the right-hand	16	she gave evidence. It matches in with that. Is that
17	side your rebuttal. And you said to Mr Smith:	17	what you understood that to be?
18	"Hope it's helpful for this afternoon's meeting."	18	A. I don't know what the message contained. I only knew
19	This was a meeting that you knew the department were	19	that Rebekah told me she had got messages from Gabby.
20	having with the Slaughter & May team; is that right?	20	Q. Then there's finally some communications with
21	A. Yes, with the media coalition.	21	Mr Harrison, who is Mr Osborne's special adviser; is
22	Q. Yes. And then some text messages. This is with other	22	that right?
23	individuals. I'm going to run through these quite	23	A. Yes, Chief of Staff.
24	quickly, giving the page numbers at each point. First	24	Q. The first is at 13537, 9 November 2010. You say to him:
25	is 13441. On 13 May 2011, you sent a text to Craig	25	"Rupert [that's his first name], just spoke with
	Page 49		Page 51
1	Oliver, who was of course at Number 10, stating	1	James It would be helpful if George [that's
1	Oliver, who was of course at Number 10, stating:	1 2	James. It would be helpful if George [that's
2	"Phone hacking case to be launched against	2	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky
2 3	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday."	2 3	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the
2 3 4	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that?	2 3 4	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can
2 3 4 5	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told.	2 3 4 5	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred."
2 3 4 5 6	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that?	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea was to there were two locations floated and we ended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537. "Quick question for your advice. Do you think it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea was to there were two locations floated and we ended up in a location which was not discreet at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537. "Quick question for your advice. Do you think it would be possible/helpful to get a senior government
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea was to there were two locations floated and we ended up in a location which was not discreet at all. Q. The dinner in fact was no wives, according to 13453.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537. "Quick question for your advice. Do you think it would be possible/helpful to get a senior government person to come out condemning strongly phone hacking,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea was to there were two locations floated and we ended up in a location which was not discreet at all. Q. The dinner in fact was no wives, according to 13453. A. Yes, because one of them was unable to come.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537. "Quick question for your advice. Do you think it would be possible/helpful to get a senior government person to come out condemning strongly phone hacking, ask for a thorough police investigation but insisting on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea was to there were two locations floated and we ended up in a location which was not discreet at all. Q. The dinner in fact was no wives, according to 13453. A. Yes, because one of them was unable to come. Q. Was this a social occasion or were you going to discuss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537. "Quick question for your advice. Do you think it would be possible/helpful to get a senior government person to come out condemning strongly phone hacking, ask for a thorough police investigation but insisting on the need for the legal process to be followed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Phone hacking case to be launched against Daily Mail on Monday." Do you know anything about that? A. Yes, there was a rumour I had been told. Q. No more than that? A. No, I don't think itwell, it never happened. Q. Okay. At 13453, you were trying to arrange a dinner with someone called Will. Was that Will Lewis? A. Yes. Q. Who then was of course News International, and Craig Oliver; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you A. Which didn't take place. Q. You wanted to find a discreet location, you found one, but I think only two out of the three of you made it but not Mr Oliver; is that right? A. The dinner was going to be with the wives and the idea was to there were two locations floated and we ended up in a location which was not discreet at all. Q. The dinner in fact was no wives, according to 13453. A. Yes, because one of them was unable to come.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Osborne] were to send a letter to Vince on our Sky merger and its economic importance separate from the Ofcom process. Do you think it's a possibility? I can of course help with the content. Best, Fred." You were trying to enlist the Chancellor of the Exchequer's support for the bid, weren't you? A. Yes, I was trying to get to see whether or not the Treasury would make representation to Vince Cable and suggested they could provide information on the arguments we had on plurality. This was never followed through, from my knowledge. Q. Because Mr Osborne was in China and his special adviser said that he would raise it with him on his return and I've seen no evidence that that was followed through; is that correct? A. No, I don't think it was followed through. Q. And then finally a message you sent again to Mr Harrison, 10 July, 13537. "Quick question for your advice. Do you think it would be possible/helpful to get a senior government person to come out condemning strongly phone hacking, ask for a thorough police investigation but insisting on

1 takeover could be left to Parliament to 1 of it is not arguably material. It has been read, it 2 oppose/influence, no? Hope all is well. Fred." 2 has been analysed, it's been noted, but I just haven't 3 3 So what were you trying to do, Mr Michel? drawn attention to it with a particular witness. 4 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. I'm perfectly A. Sorry I can't find the text in front of me -- oh, I have 5 it here, sorry. I think that was at the time when 5 content about that, I just want to make the point that 6 Parliament was about to take a vote on whether the BSkyB 6 I would be very keen that pressure wasn't put on the 7 7 team to prioritise what other people want to go on the bid should proceed or not based on the very sad events 8 8 website. of Milly Dowler and the sort of ensuing events, and 9 there were different conversations happening there, MR JAY: Certainly. 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: As opposed to what I feel is the most which was everyone so far had indicated that those two 10 11 11 issues were separate, and I think I was voicing our view important material that ought to go into the public 12 12 domain quickly. It will all be there eventually. that a thorough police investigation should take place 13 MR JAY: It certainly will. and should be seen through. The takeover side of things 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The other thing I ought to do is to 14 might not be right to be voted upon by Parliament, given 14 express my gratitude to Linklaters for the obvious work 15 that it was a business transaction, and it was a view 15 16 internally that was basically that Parliament might not 16 they've put in to putting all this material together in 17 17 be the right place to opine on a commercial transaction. a very short order, and I do so. 18 Q. Well, wasn't it slightly more devious than that, 18 MR JAY: Thank you, Mr Michel. 19 19 Mr Michel? That you were hoping that the government The next witness is Mr Smith, please. 20 spokesman would make a strong statement on phone 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. 21 21 MR ADAM JOHN SMITH (affirmed) hacking, which would draw fire away from the opposition 22 to the BSkyB bid, and therefore possibly facilitate its 22 Questions by MR JAY 23 23 passage through Parliament, and that in a roundabout way MR JAY: Your full name, please. 24 24 was what you were trying to persuade someone to do on A. Adam John Smith. 25 behalf of News Corp? 25 Q. You've provided us with a witness statement which is Page 53 Page 55 A. No, I wouldn't agree with that interpretation. 1 dated 18 May and also with a mass of materials which we 1 2 2 Q. Okay. I've been asked to put to you this question. Had have put together in bundles and disclosed. Are you 3 3 content to confirm the truth of your statement in line the bid gone through, as a consequence of your efforts 4 or otherwise, would have you expected to have obtained 4 with your signature and the statement of truth which we 5 a substantial bonus? 5 see? 6 A. Myself? 6 A. There's one small correction, if I may, Mr Jay. 7 Q. Mm. 7 O. Certainly. 8 A. Related to the Sky bid? 8 A. Paragraph 48, I reference meetings that I had, and I say 9 9 that they were between June and July 2011. That should Q. Yes. 10 have been January. The meetings themselves are then 10 A. No, it was never put to me that if the Sky bid was going 11 11 to get through, I would get a substantial bonus. from January. MR JAY: Thank you. 12 I think bonuses in our company are annually reviewed and 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Not surprisingly, considering the 13 13 based on a whole bunch of different things. I was --14 14 that was never put to me, no. first meeting you identify was in January. 15 15 A. Yes. MR JAY: Okay. Thank you, Mr Michel. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Mr Smith, this can't be an easy time 17 17 Mr Jay, you've put a body of material to Mr Michel. for you. I'm very grateful to you for the obvious time 18 What is it intended should form part of the record? I'm 18 and trouble you've taken putting this statement 19 not saying when it enters the public domain because 19 together. 20 there's clearly an enormous amount of work involved in 20 A. Thank you. 21 21 doing that, but --MR JAY: Mr Smith, we're going to start with your career 22 22 MR JAY: All the disclosed material should form part of the history, which you cover on page 09027. Can I check, 23 23 record. The fact that I've only referred to about please, that you're working from the same numbers on the 24 a tenth of it and will continue to do so by the time we 24 bottom right? If you're not, it's page 2 on --25 conclude Mr Smith's evidence doesn't mean that the rest 25 A. Page 2, yes.

Page 54

- 1 Q. You were at Durham University between 2000 and 2003 and
- 2 studied history. You then went to a public affairs
- 3 agency. You were appointed Parliamentary Researcher to
- 4 Mr Hunt when he was in opposition in June 2006. You
- 5 then became his Chief of Staff in July 2007 when he was
- 6 appointed Shadow Secretary for Culture, et cetera. And
- 7 then at the General Election you became his special
- 8 adviser; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Mr Smith, do you mind if I ask
- 11 a somewhat impertinent question? How old are you?
- 12 A. I'm 30.
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you.
- 14 MR JAY: In terms of the team with whom you've been working,
- 15 this is paragraph 12 of your statement, the team you say
- 16 is quite small, 15 or so people. You've all worked
- 17 incredibly closely together, and the key members of the
- 18 team remained in their positions for nearly three years;
- 19 is that right?
- 20 A. Yes. That's right, yes.
- 21 Q. Given the experience you accumulated, you were of some
- 22 assistance in drafting the culture, media and support
- 23 sections of the Conservative Party Manifesto in 2010,
- 24 the sort of role someone like you would be expected to
- 25 undertake; is that fair?

Page 57

wanted to focus on during his time at the DCMS and knew

- 2 what he thought about each of those areas.
- 3 Q. Thank you. Now, special advisers, please. This is
- 4 page 4, our page 09029. There were only two special
- 5 advisers within the department, because DCMS is quite
- 6 a small government department, isn't it?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And your colleague was concerned primarily with liaising 8
- 9 with the press and you were concerned with policies; is
- 10 that right?
- 11 A. Yes. When we'd worked together in opposition, we had
- 12 divided the roles that way and they just naturally
- 13 continued when we were in government.
- 14 Q. When you were appointed a special adviser, you were
- provided with relevant contractual documents, including 15
- 16 the special adviser's code of conduct, and you refer to
- 17 that at paragraph 23 of your statement, page 5, our
- 18 page 09039. We note from clause 3 of the code that
- 19 there's absolutely nothing there about advising
- 20 ministers in a quasi-judicial role. Do you agree with
- 21 that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Am I also right in saying that the concept of
- 24 a quasi-judicial role or function was not one which was
- 25 well familiar either to this department or to you in

Page 59

- A. Yes, because I'd been working on those policies with 1
- 2 Mr Hunt in the run-up to that period.
- 3 Q. Can I ask you to elaborate on paragraph 17 where you
- 4 refer to a very close working relationship with Mr Hunt,
- 5 in your own words. How did that develop and what are
- 6 the manifestations of that relationship?
- 7 A. Well, we'd obviously by that stage worked together for
- 8 quite a number of years, and as I said, the teams that
- 9 we worked in were very small, and I sort of reported
- 10 directly in to Mr Hunt and spent quite a lot of time
- 11 with him and co-wrote quite a few documents and speeches
- 12 that sort of thing. So over the time that we worked
- 13 together, we got to know how each other worked, I got to
- 14 know what he liked and expected from his members of
- 15 staff, and likewise he got to know how I worked and how
- 16 he could use me and how I could be of assistance to him.
- 17 Q. Over the course of time, did you get to know his
- 18 thinking on relevant issues of policy?
- 19 A. Yes, mainly through, you know, discussing them with him,
- 20 but yes, I did.
- 21 Q. And so by the time we reach the relevant period for us,
- 22 which is June 2010, were you extremely well acquainted
- 23 with his philosophy, his policy, viewpoint, his
- 24 political standpoints generally?
- 25 A. Yes, and sort of in particular I knew very much what he

Page 58

- 1 particular until you were bequeathed it on 21 December
- 2 2010?

9

- 3 A. That would be right, yes.
- 4 Q. Because, for obvious reasons, DCMS did not have
- 5 regulatory functions, contrast BIS?
- 6 A. Exactly, yes.
- 7 Q. Clause 5 of the model contract you refer to,
- 8 paragraph 24, our page 09031, there is a general
 - obligation there that:
- 10 "You shall not without authority disclose official 11 information which has been communicated in confidence in
- 12 government or received in confidence from others."
- 13 Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- 15 Q. And the responsibility for discipline, paragraph 25,
- 16 resides with the minister who made the appointment, so
- 17 it's personal to him, but can I understand, please, what
- 18 if any did you see the role of the Permanent Secretary
- 19 within the department? Did he have a supervisory role
- 20 over you, in your opinion?
- 21 A. Well, the Permanent Secretary is responsible for all
- 22 officials in the department, so my understanding was
- 23 that included special advisers, who were albeit only
- 24 temporary civil servants, but the difference was that 25 special advisers are appointed personally by the

1 Secretary of State rather than recruited in the normal 1 special adviser had split the duties between press 2 way that civil servants would be. 2 handling and policy work, so that's how we continued it 3 3 Q. So in terms of your line manager, if that's a correct in government. He gave me specific instructions on 4 concept vis-a-vis someone like you, who was your line 4 occasions, as I detail later, which we may come onto. 5 manager? 5 Q. Yes. So a specific job or task would obviously require 6 A. I didn't really have a line manager, if you like. 6 a specific instruction, but in terms of how generally 7 I reported in to Mr Hunt and would sort of meet with and 7 you should comport yourself, that was something for you 8 talk with the senior officials, including the Permanent 8 to work out with reference to the documents you were 9 Secretary, but there was no sort of manager in that sort 9 provided and your own common sense; is that right? 10 10 A. Yes, and my understanding of what Mr Hunt expected of of strictest sense of the word, no. 11 Q. Who then carried out your performance appraisal, which 11 me. 12 you set out in paragraph 32 of your statement? Was that 12 O. Thank you. In terms of the way the department worked as 13 Mr Hunt or was it someone else? 13 regards its meetings, this is paragraph 29, Mr Smith, 14 A. We were asked by Number 10 to provide five or six 14 our page 09032, there's a weekly policy meeting, which 15 individuals. One of them had to be our Secretary of 15 is obviously quite high level. There's then 16 State, another one the Permanent Secretary, and then 16 a communication meeting, which is more informal. Then 17 other than that we could ask either other officials or 17 there's a political meeting, Permanent Secretary's 18 Coalition colleagues or other special advisers and they 18 meeting, and then much more informal interactions, which 19 would then fill in a form that was then sent in to 19 is paragraph 29.5. Did you see that? 20 Number 10. 20 A. Yes. I should clarify the policy meetings weren't one 21 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: This is known as a 360-degree 21 policy meeting. There would be a policy meeting on each 22 appraisal? 22 of these topics that I've listed, but yes, other than 23 A. Yes. 23 that, that's correct. 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Round the people working around you? 24 Q. So whilst the BSkyB bid was going on, there was, is that A. Yes. So it would be people senior to me, obviously, 25 right, at least one meeting a week specifically devoted Page 61 Page 63 like Mr Hunt, also other special advisers who would be 1 1 2 colleagues. 2 A. I don't know whether it worked out like that, because 3 that was a sort of a project, if you like, that came up 3 MR JAY: But the Permanent Secretary was involved in that 4 4 rather than one of Mr Hunt's priorities. He would have process, was he? 5 A. Yes, he filled in one of my forms, yes. 5 meetings as and when he required them, but the bid would 6 6 Q. Thank you. So it would be fair to say that you occupy certainly have been discussed at some of the 7 a somewhat hybrid position vis-a-vis the Civil Service? 7 communications meetings or Permanent Secretary meetings, You're temporary civil servants, you have special 8 8 yes, but I don't know whether it was as frequent as once 9 9 obligations under a code, you are responsible to your 10 10 Q. Okay. In terms of informal interactions, this is minister, but you're in part a civil servant but in part 11 paragraph 29.5 and paragraph 40. We can read those two 11 under the wing of your minister. Is that a fair way --12 12 A. That is a fair way, yes. Not just myself, obviously, paragraphs together, I think. Paragraph 40 is at 13 page 09036, your page 11. 13 but all special advisers. 14 14 Q. Indeed. In paragraph 26 you say: A. Yes. 15 15 "Although Mr Hunt never gave me precise instructions Q. You're giving the impression here of frequent informal 16 as to what he perceived my role as special adviser to 16 regular contact, which I suppose would be typical for 17 17 be, this was, I believe, generally understood between that which obtains between special advisers and 18 us." 18 ministers who get on well? 19 19 A. Yes. Is it fair to say that he therefore gave you no instructions as to what you should do, but you, because 20 Q. Is that correct? 20 21 A. Yes. 21 you knew him so well, would work it out? 22 22 A. No. This section is about whether he gave me Q. And that would entail telephone meetings by mobile 23 23 instructions sort of at the beginning of my tenure as phone, email contact, possibly text messages and 24 a special adviser as to what he wanted me to do. As 24 face-to-face contact?

I explained earlier, in opposition myself and the other Page 62

25

25

A. Yes.

1 Q. In terms of the frequency of that contact, of course it Q. But there are a number of -- I won't say key events, but 2 will wax and wane, depending on what's going on in the 2 significant events in the history, maybe half a dozen 3 3 office, but can you give us a flavour; how often, for significant events, and we can deduce those by looking 4 4 example, would you speak by telephone? at the relevant emails. Are we to infer that in 5 A. Well, only if he and I weren't in the same building, 5 relation to each of those events, there must have been 6 which did happen obviously quite regularly but I'd 6 a conversation, at least one, between you and Mr Hunt 7 7 probably speak to him two or three times a day. If he about them? Is that a fair deduction? 8 was out and about or in Parliament and I was in the 8 A. I would think only if they were leading up to him 9 department, maybe a bit more, more like three or four 9 announcing something. He would often have meetings with 10 10 times. me and the officials about what he wanted to say in 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Could you help us a bit with the 11 a statement and the officials would advise on whether 12 geography? Where did he sit, where did you sit, where 12 that was appropriate or not, but I don't think if there 13 13 was everybody? was what I agree may appear to be quite a significant 14 14 A. So the second floor of DCMS is the ministerial floor, so moment, if it wasn't in the run-up to an announcement it 15 each minister has their office and their private office 15 would have been unlikely. Not impossible but unlikely. 16 adjoining it, and the special adviser's office was in 16 Q. We may come back to that. Paragraph 30, 09033. You use 17 17 one corner of that floor, sort of down the corridor from a number of metaphors to describe what you're doing, 18 Mr Hunt's --18 you're eyes and ears, an early warning system and you're 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You're simply down a corridor? 19 a buffer. In relation to the buffer, when you refer to 20 A. Yes. 20 outside organisations and maybe News Corp fits into that LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The private office, of course, 21 21 category, how would you be buffering Mr Hunt, if that's 22 consists of his civil servants? 22 the right way of describing what's going on? 23 A. His private secretaries, yes. So you had to go 23 A. Well, obviously a lot of outside organisations want to 24 essentially through their office to go into --24 meet with secretaries of state. They don't have the 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: He will have had a number of them, 25 time to meet with everybody that may want to meet with Page 65 Page 67 1 1 will he? them, so I would often have contacts with them first to 2 2 A. Yes, there were three or four most of the time, yes. see whether what they had to say was of interest or of 3 3 note, and then I would either feed that back to Mr Hunt Each minister had private secretaries. 4 MR JAY: But when the BSkyB bid is going on, we're probably 4 or, if I thought it was particularly interesting, 5 now in January 2011, could you give us a flavour, 5 perhaps suggest he meet them as well, but it was to help 6 6 Mr Smith, in your own words, how frequent is your him so that he could focus on what he wanted to do, 7 contact with Mr Hunt about the bid? Whether it be by 7 rather than deal with the sort of --8 text message, mobile phone, face-to-face discussion or 8 Q. So would you use your discretion then as to whether to 9 9 feed back information to Mr Hunt or not? whatever. 10 10 A. Yes, yes. I would often have meetings where I thought A. Not as frequent as it -- as you might have thought, 11 11 they were a waste of my time and therefore would almost I suppose. I mean there was the meetings which I've 12 12 listed there, but I would -- I wouldn't go and speak to certainly be a waste of his time. 13 13 Q. We're just dealing with general points now. You draw him about it on anything like a sort of daily basis or 14 14 attention to your performance appraisal, December 2011, even -- it would only be if he was preparing for a major 15 15 at paragraph 32. It's highly laudatory. Speaks for statement or if there were the odd occasion where an 16 16 itself. This will obviously be put online with the rest issue that I judged to be of significant interest to 17 of the evidence. I'm not going to read it out, if you 17 him, that I would go and speak to him about it, but 18 18 he -- the whole point of having the department, the don't mind. 19 officials and myself, I suppose, was so that we could 19 I'm going to move forward to paragraph 35 --20 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: What you have to appreciate from this kind of carry on with the work and not need to go 21 is that this is different people talking. 21 running to him every day. 22 A. Yes. 22 Q. So you presumably would have spoken to him in and around 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: These paragraphs come out of 23 the publication of the UILs on 3 March 2011, is that 24 24 a correct deduction? different responses. So, for example, the second 25 25

A. Yes.

Page 66

paragraph is clearly the Secretary of State, the "eyes

- 1 and ears at meetings" comment. But then the top of the
- 2 next page, "he provides SOS [Secretary of State] with
- 3 really excellent support", that's clearly not the
- 4 Secretary of State because he would have said "me".
- 5 Equally the next one, "I don't think we could ask for
- 6 a SpAd who is more dedicated to his ministerial
- 7 department", again that can't be the Secretary of State
- 8 but it could be any one of the others.
- 9
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: We just need to understand how this
- 11 fits together.
- 12 MR JAY: Can we move now to Mr Hunt's thinking on the BSkyB
- 13 bid pre-21 December 2010. Was it your assessment that
- 14 Mr Hunt was close to News Corp and/or News
- 15 International?
- 16 A. No, not particularly.
- 17 Q. Why do you say that?
- 18 A. He didn't really have much of a relationship with either
- 19 of the Murdochs or the chief executive of News
- 20 International. He tended to deal, as I think the
- 21 Inquiry has seen, mainly with Mr Michel, but I wouldn't
- 22 have said he was particularly close to News Corporation,
- 23 no. He met with them in the same way that he met with
- 24 other media organisations, but actually nowhere near as
- 25 frequently, I do not think.

Page 69

- A. I can't remember doing so, no. I know that he made some
- 2 public comments to the Financial Times around that time,
 - so I would have obviously seen those and he may have
- 4 mentioned those to me, but I don't remember him
- 5 specifically talking about it.
- 6 Q. Did you believe him to be supportive of the bid or not?
- 7 A. No, I think his public comments were obviously
- 8 well-known. He said something along the lines of he
- 9 couldn't see a problem with it but he didn't want to
- 10 second-guess the regulators and not second-guessing the
- 11 regulators was something that he consistently stuck to 12
 - throughout the process.
- 13 Q. Let's have a look at some documents. In your four
- 14 bundles you've produced it's volume 3, page 09798.
- 15 I know you were here this morning. We're on to
- 16 7 October 2010 and Mr Michel had sent you two briefing
- 17 documents relating to the bid, one of them covered
- 18 competition issues and the other plurality issues.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And the briefing document itself has been redacted, as
- 21 I pointed out to Mr Michel, but it's in our bundle. We
- 22 can see your email to Mr Hunt was:
- 23 "Obviously strictly commercially confidential but
- 24 very interesting."
- 25 And his reply was:

Page 71

- 1 Q. Were you aware of what was on his personal website about
- 2 being a cheerleader for News International in the
- 3 context, I think, of television?
- 4 A. I did see that, and actually I think that that was not
- 5 what Mr Hunt himself had said. If you look, that was
- 6 actually an extract from an interview that he gave to
- 7 Broadcast magazine and the Broadcast magazine journalist
- 8 had written -- I think it was "Like all good
- 9 Conservatives, he's a cheerleader", and then -- so the
- entirety of the interview had been put up on Mr Hunt's 10
- 11 website, which was often the case, just to show what
- 12 he'd been up to, but cheerleader for BSkyB wasn't -- it
- 13 may well be what the journalist inferred -- I saw it was
- 14 reported as this is what Mr Hunt describes himself as.
- 15 Q. I don't think it was ever said he was a cheerleader for
- the BSkyB bid specifically. The point was he was 16
- 17 a cheerleader for News Corp or more particularly News
- 18 International. Do you see that distinction?
- 19 A. Oh yes, I do, but I mean even that point I think was
- 20 what the journalist wrote and the whole article then
- 21 appears on Mr Hunt's website. It wasn't what Mr Hunt
- 22 wrote about himself, if you see what I mean.
- 23 Q. When the bid was announced, which was on or about
- 24 15 June 2010, and subsequently, did you have informal
- 25 discussions with Mr Hunt about his attitude to the bid?

Page 70

- "Very powerful actually." 1
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes. I think that challenges what he had said publicly 4
 - before, actually.
- 5 O. There was another document which we saw this morning,
 - indeed I think I referred to it this afternoon as well,
- 7 where his comment was "persuasive". Do you recall that?
- 8 A. Yes, I recall that's what I said he had said. I don't
 - actually recall him saying as much to me, but I can
- 9
- 10 understand that it was probably something along those 11 lines, ves.
- 12 Q. I think actually it was a text message, but in any
- 13 event, it's just the one word "persuasive".
- 14

6

- 15 Q. Which you were either transmitting because that's what
- 16 Mr Hunt has told you or it's directly from him, I can't
- 17 remember which. But aren't these pretty clear
- 18 indicators of what Mr Hunt's view was, at least on the
- 19 materials which were being provided as to the quality of
 - the bid and its desirability?
- 21 A. Well, I think it chimes with what he said about he
- 22 didn't think there was a particular problem but he
 - wouldn't second-guess the regulators. I don't think
- 24 that is any different meaning.
- 25 Q. Another document which may be more revealing then --Page 72

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

20

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

1

9

10

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Just before we pass from these two 2 documents. Mr Smith, forgive me. What has this got to 3 do with you? I mean, I'm just intrigued to know why you 4 should be involved in this material. This was being 5 dealt with by another department. I can't believe you 6 didn't have more than enough to do. So what has this to 7 do with you? 8 A. Well, it was a big issue in the media sector and I think 9 Mr Michel had offered to send something through to me 10 and I would always receive anything that anybody wanted 11 to send through to me. 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So just for information? A. Yes. 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: As opposed to anything else? 14 15 A. Yes. As you say, we weren't involved in the decision. 16 And I think they wanted to make sure everybody knew what 17 they thought. 18 MR JAY: You were involved in the formulation of 19 a fortnightly update in November 2010, which was,

Mr Hunt, subsequently. Didn't go quite in this form. 1

I think, intended to be sent to the Prime Minister but

19 November 2010. Mr Hunt sends it to you. It's from

a memo which did go to the Prime Minister, according to

Page 73

you can help us on that. It's page 09802. It's dated

his personal account to your personal account but

nothing much may turn on that. This is a draft of

2 A. Correct.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 Q. There are two drafts in the bundle and this is one of
- 4 the drafts. It says -- first of all, did you draft this
- 5 or did Mr Hunt draft this?
- 6 A. I can't actually remember. We used to do those on
- 7 a fortnightly basis and sometimes I would draft the
- 8 first version and then Mr Hunt would redraft it and
- 9 other times he would just write it and send it to me for
- 10 fact checking. In this particular instance, I can't
- 11 remember. I'm afraid.
- 12 Q. I think it's the former, he's drafting it for you and
- 13 you're being asked to look for typos and play around 14 with formatting.
- 15 A. That's certainly what the email suggests but it's only 16 from looking at that I would guess that. I can't 17 actually remember.
- 18 Q. What it says is:
- 19 "James Murdoch is pretty furious at Vince's referral
- 20 to Ofcom."
- 21 That had occurred a few days beforehand, hadn't it?
- 22 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 23 Q. "He doesn't think he will get a fair hearing from Ofcom.
- 24 I am privately concerned about this because News Corp
- 25 are very litigious and we could end up in the wrong

Page 74

- place in terms of media policy. Essentially what
- 2 James Murdoch wants to do is to repeat what his father
 - did with the move to Wapping and create the world's
- 4 first multi-platform media operator, available from
- 5 paper to web to TV to iPhone to iPad. Isn't this what
- 6 all media companies have to do ultimately? And if so,
- 7 we must be very careful that any attempt to block it is
- 8 done on genuine plurality grounds and not as a result of 9
 - lobbying by competitors.

"The UK has the chance to lead the way ... but if we block it our media sector will suffer for years. In the end I am sure sensible controls can be put into any merger to ensure there is plurality, but I think it would be totally wrong to cave in to the Mark Thomson/ Channel 4/Guardian line that this represents

a substantial change of control given that we all know 16 17 Sky is controlled by News Corp now anyway.

18 "What next? Ofcom will issue their report saying 19 whether it needs to go to the Competition Commission by 20 31 December. It would be totally wrong for the

21 government to get involved in a competition issue which

22 has to be decided at arm's length. However I do think 23 you, I, Vince and the DPM should meet to discuss the

24 policy issues that are thrown up as a result."

What did you gather from this memorandum?

Page 75

- A. I think again it's very similar to what he had said
- 2 previously, that he didn't see any particular problem 3

with it, but, as he mentions in the last paragraph, it

- 4 would be wrong for government to get involved in
- 5 a competition issue, which has to be decided at arm's
- 6 length, and it would have to be considered on plurality
- 7 grounds. I think this is a sort of obviously slightly
- 8 longer version of that because it was only a one-line
 - sentence to a journalist back in June, but I think that
 - chimes with what he said back then.
- 11 Q. It's a bit more positive than that, isn't it? He's
- 12 saying that if the bid is blocked or rather if the UK
- 13 doesn't lead the way, it will block our media sector and
- 14 it will "suffer for years. In the end I am sure
- 15 sensible controls can be put into any merger to ensure 16 there is plurality."
- 17 So in other words he's effectively saying that there 18 aren't any real impediments in the way of this bid,
- 19 isn't that fair?
- 20 A. Yes, possibly, but by this stage obviously the 21 regulators haven't looked at it, and so they are the 22 experts in deciding what plurality issue would --
- 23 whether there would be a plurality issue or not.
- 24 Q. Yes, that was their public duty to perform, but in terms 25 of someone expressing a political view, you could either Page 76

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

- 1 express a political view which was hostile to the bid or
- 2 one which was friendly to the bid. This is a view which
- 3 falls into the second category rather than the first,
- 4 doesn't it?
- 5 A. Yes, I certainly think Mr Hunt never said that he was
- 6 hostile to the bid before.
- 7 Q. No, but he always said he was in a favour of the bid
- 8 really, didn't he?
- 9 A. I think he always said he didn't see any problems with 10 it but didn't want to second-judge the process.
- 11 Q. He had to be loyal to the process because the process
- had to be undertaken in any event but in terms of his
- 13 political judgment and preference he was favourable to
- the bid, wasn't he?
- 15 A. Well, yes, I suppose his personal view there was, yes.
- 16 Q. But this personal view wasn't, as it were, a revelation.
- 17 It's true it's been communicated privately to the
- Prime Minister, but it's a view which you knew anyway,
- 19 wasn't it?
- 20 A. And had been publicly stated.
- 21 Q. Yes, but I asked you about quarter of an hour ago was he
- supportive of the bid and you gave me a non-committal
- answer, but now we're reaching a point where I think you
- 24 might be agreeing with me that he was supportive of the
- 25 bid but recognising throughout that there was a process
 - Page 77
 - which needed to be fulfilled. Can we agree about that?
- 2 A. Well, I think what I said was that he had always said
- 3 there wasn't a problem with the bid and that was
- 4 certainly the case, and he's pointing out what he
- 5 thought some of the positives may have been in this
- 6 note, yes.

1

- 7 Q. The other point which may well be significant, although
- 8 we think it came to nothing, is the last sentence,
- 9 a meeting at a high level in government "to discuss the
- policy issues that are thrown up as a result." Do you
- 11 see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Mr Hunt's witness statement makes it clear that his
- memorandum or the final version of it was sent to the
- 15 Prime Minister but do you happen to know whether there
- was a meeting to discuss these policy issues or not?
- A. I don't know, but I don't believe there were. To the best of my knowledge, there wasn't.
- 19 Q. We've seen no evidence of such a meeting, but had there
- been, you would have known about it, wouldn't you?
- 21 A. Possibly, yes. I mean -- yes.
- 22 Q. What did you understand the point of there being such
- 23 a meeting -- I'm sure it didn't take place -- to discuss
- 24 the policy issues that are thrown up as a result?
- $25\,$ $\,$ A. I understood and understand that to be the -- not the

Page 78

- 1 merits of the particular bid, but whether the policies
- 2 around competition law and media plurality were sort of
 - fit for purpose, which was something that I know that
- 4 the DCMS was looking at more generally and is looking at
- 5 more generally as part of its work on the communication
- 6 side.
- 7 Q. Were you aware of legal advice that was circulating
- 8 around the department on 19 November -- although I see
- 9 you weren't copied in to the relevant email -- which
- made it clear that the decision resided wholly and
- 11 completely with the BIS Secretary of State and that
- 12 Mr Hunt could have no involvement in it?
- 13 A. I don't remember seeing it at the time. Is that the
- 14 advice that said something about whether he can offer an
- opinion or not?
- 16 Q. It's an advice from the legal director of DCMS, which --
- 17 A. I understood that it was a Secretary of State for BIS
- decision. I don't know whether I definitely saw that
- 19 actual piece of advice.
- 20 Q. The advice was -- the conclusion -- this is actually our
- 21 page 04256, this comes out of material we're going to be
- looking at in more detail next week, possibly:
- 23 "Whilst there is nothing legally which formally
- 24 precludes the Secretary of State CMS from making
- 25 representations to the Secretary of State BIS to inform
 - Page 79
 - the latter's decision as to whether to refer the public
- 2 interest considerations in this merger to the
- 3 Competition Commission, it would be unwise to do so."
- 4 Were you aware of that at the time?
- 5 A. I don't remember seeing that particular piece of advice,
- 6 no. I remember reading it, I think, as part of the
- 7 bundle for this Inquiry, but I do remember that I was
- 8 definitely aware that the decision was very much
- 9 Secretary of State for Business.
- 10 Q. Was your Secretary of State keen, in your view, to speak
- to Dr Cable and at least get his opinion across to him?
- 12 A. To get Mr Hunt's opinion across to him?
- 13 Q. Yes.

- 14 A. I don't recall talking to him about that, no. He may
- well have been, but not to my memory.
- 16 Q. The other issue, on 21 December, when quite rapidly,
- 17 I think, Mr Hunt was acquiring responsibility now for
- these regulatory functions, did you have a conversation
- 19 with Mr Stephens about Mr Hunt's position vis-a-vis the
- 20 BSkyB bid?
- 21 A. I had a conversation -- Mr Stephens came to ask me
- 22 whether I knew of any other comments that Mr Hunt had
- made about the -- any previous public comments he may have made about the bid, and I think I referred him to
- 25 the comments he made to the Financial Times, which
 - Page 80

1 I think Mr Stephens had already remembered, but he was 1 was he present at all significant meetings? Can you 2 coming to check if I knew whether he'd said similar 2 give us the flavour of that? 3 3 things elsewhere. A. I can't remember whether he was present at all of the 4 4 meetings. Possibly the minutes maybe for the ones I had Q. Was Mr Stephens' request of you limited to public 5 comments Mr Hunt might have made or did he broaden his 5 with News Corp and Ofcom and the opponents might shed 6 request of you to include anything that Mr Hunt might 6 some light on that but I'm afraid I can't remember, but 7 7 have told you privately? he would have been at quite a few of the internal 8 8 A. I believe it was public comments. meetings when the issues were being discussed, but 9 Q. Can we move forward then to 21 December. We're going to 9 again, very often when they were leading towards Mr Hunt 10 look at the detail of the KRM 18 emails probably now 10 either making a decision or making an announcement, he 11 11 certainly wouldn't I don't think have been -- I don't tomorrow, but I'm dealing with the generalities, as it 12 12 were, first. You explain what happened when Mr Hunt remember him being at every single meeting that was 13 assumed responsibility at paragraph 41, page 09038. Do 13 held, no. 14 you see that? 14 Q. Did you feel that he was allowing the lead policy 15 A. Yes. 15 official to get on with it or do you feel that he was 16 Q. You say a team of individuals was established. 16 taking a keen interest in what was going on or would you 17 17 Secretary of State, Permanent Secretary. The lead characterise what he was doing differently from both of 18 official was Mr Zeff. There was a more junior official, 18 my characterisations? 19 19 A. I don't really know what sort of contact he had with the couple of legal advisers and a special adviser. So it's 20 quite a small team, is that fair? 20 lead officials on it. I know it was mentioned at the 21 21 A. Yes, there may well have been a couple of other more Permanent Secretary meetings that we discussed earlier 22 junior policy officials but those were certainly the 22 on a couple of occasions so he was certainly checking in 23 23 main -- the key people, yes, it was quite small. with both myself and the lead official but I wouldn't 24 24 Q. And when this bid was acquired as coming within the have been aware of what he was discussing with the other 25 realm, as it were, of DCMS, did it become the most 25 officials, I'm afraid. Page 81 Page 83 1 important single task, as it were, or were there other 1 Q. Okay. Can we move forward to the meeting on 22 December 2 matters which were even more important? 2 2010, page 09039, paragraph 43. It was really a joint 3 3 A. I don't know that it necessarily became the most departmental meeting where the BIS officials were 4 important. At certain sort of key moments it would be 4 handing over the bid to the DCMS officials; is that 5 the most important agenda on the department -- item on 5 correct? 6 the department's agenda, but there were equally quite 6 A. They were there to brief Mr Hunt on where the sort of 7 long periods of time where there was a lot of sort of 7 bid had got to thus far, and I suppose there it was 8 detailed work going on, but it wasn't as high up --8 a sort of a handover meeting, yes, because I don't 9 9 remember too many meetings after that where there were certainly Mr Hunt's agenda. He would remain focused on 10 10 any of these officials. his other priorities, but, you know, it certainly got 11 right up there, as you could rather obviously imagine. 11 Q. You say in paragraph 43: 12 12 Q. Was it understood -- this is probably obvious, really --"They [that's the BIS officials] may also have 13 that there were potential legal pitfalls because you had 13 mentioned that Mr Hunt was to act in a quasi-judicial 14 quite litigious or potentially litigious people at 14 capacity." 15 15 either end of the pincer, as it were, in the shape of I think the note of the meeting is likely to say --16 16 I haven't in fact seen it yet -- that quasi-judicial was News Corp on the one hand and the coalition on the 17 17 other, and there were also rather large political mentioned specifically. Are you able to assist with 18 pitfalls which needed to be carefully negotiated, would 18 your recollection on that? 19 you agree with that summary? 19 A. I think my paragraph 44, the minutes that I've seen, it 20 20 A. Yes. says the process and the various legal considerations 21 Q. That required input presumably from the Secretary of 21 were discussed. So that sort of jogged my memory to 22 22 State and the Permanent Secretary, did it? suggest that quasi official may well have been

A. Yes.

Q. Can you assist with how much input the Permanent

Secretary made in relation to this bid? In other words,

Page 82

23

24

25

23

24

25

discussed. I can't remember whether it definitively

was, but we certainly did discuss quasi-judicial on

Page 84

other occasions if not that one.

6

14

17

1

9

- 1 Q. Taking it in stages, is this the first time you've heard
- 2 the use of that term?
- 3 A. No, I would have heard it in the previous few months in
- 4 relation to the Secretary of State for Business.
- 5 Q. What did you understand by the term?
- A. My understanding was that it meant that the decision had
- 7 to be made only after considering certain issues, in
- 8 this case namely media plurality; the sort of wider
- 9 political or other policy issues couldn't be taken into
- 10 account. And then sort of uniquely within government
- 11 that this was a personal decision for whoever the
- 12 Secretary of State was rather than a collective
- 13 government decision. So a normal policy decision, if
- 14 you like, even though it may well have been Mr Hunt
- 15 making it. Collective government would have meant that
- 16 they were all essentially making that same decision.
- 17 Q. What about any process requirements built into the
- 18 concept? Were you aware of those?
- 19 A. Of the quasi-judicial concepts?
- 20 Q. Yes.

1

9

12

- 21 A. Not -- well, the process that we were following was in
- 22 the Enterprise Act, but I didn't necessarily link
- 23 quasi-judicial to --
- 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Let's just think about the word
- 25 judicial a bit, because there are lots of things I don't

- - Page 85
- know much about but I know a bit about that. I'm sure
- 2 you would agree with me that if a judge is trying
- 3 a case, then he can't speak to the parties outside the
- 4 case and go and chat to them in the evening as the case
- 5 is going on, one side as opposed to the other. You
- 6 don't have to be a lawyer to appreciate that wouldn't be
- 7 right. I mean, would you agree with that?
- 8 A. I would. I think in this particular instance the
 - quasi-judicial process and the fact that you're dealing
- 10 with two interested parties, you obviously do need to
- 11 discuss lots of different things with those interested
 - parties. In fact, you need to, to get certain things to
- 13 happen.
- 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But in a way that's open and
- 15 transparent to everybody. Don't you think? Or not?
- 16 A. Um ...
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It might be that I shouldn't be 17
- 18 questioning you about what you viscerally understand
- 19 about the phrase, but what you were told about the
- 20 phrase. What you were told it meant you could do or
- 21 what you were told it meant you couldn't do.
- 22 A. I wasn't told I couldn't do anything in particular. It
- 23 was more about -- because it was Mr Hunt's decision, the
- 24 discussion was mainly about what he could or couldn't
- 25 do. I don't remember being told about myself.

Page 86

- 1 MR JAY: So paragraph 43 relates specifically to Mr Hunt
- 2 having to act or it may also be mentioned that he had to
 - act in a quasi-judicial capacity. It said nothing about
- 4 what you could and couldn't do. Is that right?
- 5 A. Yes. I mean, my understanding was always that it was
 - Mr Hunt that was acting in the quasi-judicial way, and
- 7 the department, which obviously included myself. Again,
- 8 it wasn't our, obviously, decision; it was Mr Hunt's
- 9
- 10 Q. In terms of your role in relation to this somewhat
- 11 unusual function that Mr Hunt was discharging, what
- 12 value were you providing? What was your role?
- 13 A. To be one of the points of contact for News Corporation.
 - To act as a buffer and as a channel of communications.
- 15 O. So buffer and channel of communication, they might be
- 16 slightly different, but you could be a conduit pipe,
 - material which was provided to you by a third party
- 18 could be passed on to the department, but you'd also be
- 19 able to, as buffer, protect Mr Hunt, I suppose, from
- 20 unwelcome or irrelevant intrusion, is that it?
- 21 A. Yes. And if they -- if someone wanted to phone up and
- 22 have a grumble about a process or something, then
- 23 I would be the one that was on the receiving end of
- 24 that, rather than Mr Hunt.
- 25 Q. Was any of this made explicit to you or is this just

Page 87

- something you carried out because you felt that that's
- 2 what special advisers did in this particular context?
- 3 A. Well, I had previously carried out that role for other
- 4 work that the department had done and, as we sort of
- 5 discussed earlier, for Mr Hunt in opposition. It was
- 6 never, to my memory, sort of directly said to me, but it
- 7 was just sort of inferred by me and I think as we go
- 8 through, we'll see the department sort of assumed that
 - that would be the case. But there was no sort of direct
- 10 instruction, if you like, no.
- 11 Q. Is this clear from your evidence: no one told you
- 12 explicitly what you could or you could not do, but you
- 13 extrapolated, as it were, from your previous experience
- 14 of working as a special adviser as to what it might be
- 15 appropriate for you to do in this particular case. Is
- 16 that fair?
- 17 A. Yes, that would be a fair summary.
- 18 Q. We will come back to that.
- 19 Still on paragraph 43, Mr Hunt, you say, made it
- 20 clear he wanted to do things in a different way to --21
 - it's Dr Cable.
- 22 "He said he wanted to make himself available for
- 23 meetings and wanted to be more open."
- 24 Availability for meetings one understands, but what 25 do you mean by -- or what do you think he meant by

17

1 wanted to be more open?

- 2 A. Well, I think he recognised that the Department for
- 3 Business had not had any meetings or representations had
- 4 been made, and obviously with the remarks that Dr Cable
- 5 made that were caught on tape, there was a sort of
- 6 feeling that the media plurality concerns weren't the
- 7 main driver, so I think Mr Hunt was quite keen that that
- 8 issue would be what drove him and he would be quite open
- 9 to hearing representations on those issues.
- 10 Q. So he would be receiving representations either by
- 11 a face-to-face meeting or in writing, and he would be
- open to receive them. Is that what it amounts to?
- A. He and the department. I don't think he was necessarily
 being specific to himself. I think he just meant the
- process was going to be more that way.
- 16 Q. Would it amount to this, that the representation that
- one party might send in would be shared with the other
- party out of ordinary fairness, or not?
- 19 A. I don't know that anything like that -- some of the
- 20 representations were later shared with Ofcom and the OFT
- 21 to help them in their various considerations, but
- 22 I don't remember that happening, no.
- 23 Q. The last sentence of 43:
- "I also remember him saying that he wanted to be
- 25 fair to everybody, including News Corp."

Page 89

- $1 \qquad \ \ \, \text{the coalition or anti-alliance, however you want to put}$
- 2 it. Were they an interested party?
- 3 A. Well, they were obviously interested in the deal, but
- 4 I think the interested parties in terms of the merger
- 5 were BSkyB and News Corporation. I think that was the
- 6 technical -- under the Enterprise Act, but more broadly,
- 7 people who were interested in it, yes.
- 8 Q. Because we know that the Secretary of State did give the
 - coalition a meeting on 24 March 2011. We've seen
- 10 various documents which relate to that. Surely you
- regarded them as an interested party, didn't you?
- 12 A. Yes, possibly, but I don't really remember having any contact from them.
- 14 Q. Yes, well, that was the next question, because we see
- arguably a plethora but certainly a substantial quantity
- of contact between you and Mr Michel on behalf of
 - News Corp, don't we, without judging it in terms of its
- 18 quantity?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You can agree with that. If I were to ask you: where is
- 21 evidence of equivalent contact, any equivalent contact
- with another interested party, namely the anti-bid
- coalition, is there any or not?
- 24~ A. There wouldn't be, because from my memory I don't
- 25 remember them getting in touch with me, no.

Page 91

- 1 Was there a sense then that the previous incumbent,
- as it were, Dr Cable, had not been fair to News Corp?
- 3 A. I think that was the view, yes.
- 4 Q. And fairness to News Corp would, I suppose, include
- 5 being open to any representation that they might put
- 6 forward. Would you agree?
- 7 A. Yes. I think it more meant that he would consider the
- 8 bid on the grounds of media plurality rather than
- 9 anything else.
- 10 Q. Well, to be technical, that isn't a matter of fairness,
- that's a matter of statutory obligation because that's
- what the statute requires, doesn't it, to consider
- what's laid out in the Enterprise Act and nothing more?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. That's the truth?
- 16 A. That is the truth, yes.
- 17 Q. Can I ask you, please, about paragraph 45, which follows
- on really from what you've been telling us, that you
- assumed the role of managing the relationships with
- 20 interested parties. I think that happened
- semi-automatically given that you were special adviser,
- you naturally fitted into that position vis-a-vis this
- 23 admittedly rather unusual circumstance, would you agree?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And the interested parties, obviously News Corp and also Page 90

- 1 Q. Right. So your only contact was with Mr Michel because
- 2 you had no contact, did you, with BSkyB the company, did
- 3 you?
- 4 A. Not on this deal, no.
- 5 Q. And you didn't have contact with OFT and Ofcom because
- 6 they were the experts who were advising the -- well, you
- 7 did have one contact with Mr Richards, didn't you?
- 8 **A. Yes.**
- 9 Q. But save for that --
- 10 A. And the meetings that Mr Hunt had with Ofcom and the OFT, I was present at those, but other than that, no.
- 12 Q. So in terms of managing the relationship with interested
- parties, the only relationship we're talking about here
- is that with News Corp, aren't we?
- 15 **A. Yes.**
- Q. Did that even intuitively raise alarm bells with you,
- Mr Smith, in terms of what arguably is a lack of balance
- here, in terms as well of whatever quasi-judicial might
- 19 have meant to you?
- 20 A. No, not really, because I think the work that I would
- 21 have done with Mr Michel was often things that needed to
- 22 be sorted out, like redactions to documents or process
- points, and obviously you don't necessarily need to talk
 to other interested parties about documents because they
- would just sort of send them in anyway. So no, they

3

14

17

didn't particularly ring any alarm bells.

- 2 Q. You refer to a particular email that Mr Michel sent to
- 3 James Murdoch on Christmas Eve 2010, we have looked at
- 4 that several times now, that you were going to be the
- 5 point of contact, but of course you didn't see that
- 6 email at the time, did you, Mr Smith?
- 7 A. Mr Michel's email?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did Mr Hunt explain to you that you were going to be the point of contact with Mr Michel or not?
- 12 A. Not to my memory, no.
- 13 Q. Did the Permanent Secretary explain that to you?
- 14 A. No.

1

- 15 Q. When it began to happen that there was contact between
- you and Mr Michel, is it your evidence, well, this was
- just natural evolution, as it were? There wasn't the
- instruction given by anybody within the department that
- it should happen, it just fell naturally into your
- 20 existing role as special adviser? Is that it?
- 21 A. Yes, exactly. And I think it would have not surprised
- 22 anybody in the department, and I think as the process
- went on with discussions I had with members of the
- department or emails I sent them, they would have very
- clearly been aware and knew that I was having those Page 93
 - discussions with Mr Michel, and nobody ever said, you
- 2 know, where did you hear this or you shouldn't be doing
- 3 that or -- it was -- I assumed that was the role I was
- 4 going to be taking, and then as it developed, I don't
- 5 think anybody was surprised that that was the role.
- 6 Q. Fair enough. We'll come back to that.
- You say in paragraph 46 -- this again is in the
- 8 context of the Enterprise Act:
- 9 "... it was not explained to me how this might
- impact upon my contact with News Corp or any other
- 11 interested party."
- So it would be fair to say then that you treated
- this particular function really in a similar way to any
- other policy decision, would you agree?
- 15 A. Yes, I think that would be a fair summary, yes.
- 16 Q. So whatever quasi-judicial might have meant in practice,
- it didn't really -- maybe I'm putting it slightly too
- high, but it didn't really bear on what you did or
- 19 didn't do because you just proceeded as you would
- 20 ordinarily have proceeded in any straightforward policy
- area, is that fair?
- 22 A. Yes, because, as I explained, my understanding of
- 23 quasi-judicial was that Mr Hunt had to decide on media
- 24 plurality issues and that Mr Hunt himself had to decide
- on the bid. Beyond that, there was no difference to the Page 94

- way I approached it, no.
- 2 Q. In a sense it is rather odd because Mr Hunt is looking
 - at a specific question or maybe two questions under the
- 4 Enterprise Act which were really questions of fact where
- 5 expert evidence might be relevant, namely plurality, and
- 6 the competition issues go off elsewhere. That's not
- 7 really a policy question or it's certainly not
- 8 a political question.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. It's really one for expert evidence. Did you see
- 11 a tension there?
- 12 A. Well, I was obviously never providing that expert
- evidence on the plurality issue. The work that I was
 - doing was more about the process and facilitating it, so
- 15 I don't think there was a difference in the way
- 16 I approached the project. Some projects are about
 - a policy decision. Others are about making a process
- 18 happen.
- 19 Q. As you said before, your role was to facilitate the
- 20 process to manage the relationship but inevitably
- I suppose you attended the meetings, you read some of
- the material, you had your own view on the substantive
- 23 issues, didn't you?
- 24 A. Yes. Yes.
- 25 Q. Those views might or might not have been confined to the Page 95
 - narrow question under the Enterprise Act, they may have
- 2 been more widely drawn based on your own political
- 3 experience and your own political judgment. Was that
- 4 fair

1

- 5 A. Well, I think my views on the plurality issues were
- 6 based on reading the expert evidence. I didn't think we
- 7 ever really particularly considered much more than that.
- 8 Q. Would you in essence describe your position on the
- 9 merits of the bid as broadly speaking the same as
- 10 Mr Hunt's position?
- 11 A. Very broadly. I actually don't think I was -- I didn't,
- 12 to be honest with you, particularly mind either way
- whether it happened or not. In a funny sort of way
- 14 I couldn't quite see what everyone was getting so worked
- 15 up about, but broadly speaking yes.
- 16 Q. Broadly speaking?
- 17 A. Yes, broadly.
- 18 Q. This is the sort of issue which, for whatever reason,
- 19 has the tendency to divide opinion, where people hold
- strong opinions on either side. You're aware of that.
- 21 It may be absurd that opinion is so polarised on this,

you certainly had a position, didn't you?

- so you may not have been on one end of an extreme, but
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 MR JAY: Sir, I don't know whether that's a convenient Page 96

1 moment? 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Certainly, Certainly, Mr Jay, it's been a longish day. Hope you're not inconvenienced too much, 9.30 tomorrow? 3 A. No, not at all. 4 (4.40 pm) (10 hearing adjointned until 9.30 am the following day) 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	,		1 7
2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Centainly, Mr Jay, it's been a longish day. I hope you're not inconvenienced ton much 5-30 ionnermos? 5 A. No, not at all. 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. 9-30 tonorrow. Thank you. (4.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you were much. 9-30 tonorrow. Thank you. (4.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. 9-30 tonorrow. Thank you. (4.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. 9-30 tonorrow. Thank you. (4.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. 9-30 tonorrow. Thank you. (4.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the follo			
3 been a longish day. I hope you're not inconvenienced 4 too much. 9.30 tomorrow? 5 A. No, not at all. 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. 9.50 tomorrow. Thank you (The hearing adjourned until 9.30 am the following day) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
4 to much. 9.30 tonoron? 5 A. No, not at all. 1 Thank you. 4 (4.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9.30 ann the following day) 11			
5 A. No, not at all. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. 9.30 tomorrow. Thank you. (1.40 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 9.30 am the following day) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
Thank you.			
7 Thank you. 8 (4.40 pm) 9 (The hearing adjourned until 9-30 am the following day) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
8 (4.40 pm) 9 (The hearing adjourned until 9.30 am the following duy) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
(The hearing adjourned until 9.30 am the following day) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
11		(The hearing adjourned until 9.30 and the following day)	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
13			
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
21 22 23 24 25 Page 97			
23 24 25 Page 97			
23 24 25 Page 97			
25 Page 97	23		
Page 97	24		
	25		
		Page 97	
25 (Dama 07)			

						rage 70
			1	00005	05.05.06.1	10041100
A	advice 5:23 9:23	altogether 27:19	55:1 91:15	39:8 67:16	85:25 86:1	10:24 11:3,8
ability 27:1	32:17 33:2	amount 1:4	92:17	68:3,9 76:9,10	blame 43:20	13:4,9,10 14:8
32:11	52:20 79:7,14	34:11 54:20	argument 20:2	88:18 94:6	block 75:7,11	16:15,22 20:24
able 21:4 25:17	79:16,19,20	89:16	20:10 41:20	backbone 29:18	76:13	20:24 24:19,22
36:2 37:4 38:7	80:5	amounts 89:12	arguments 6:16	30:5	blocked 76:12	24:22,24 26:1
43:16 84:17	advise 48:9	analysed 55:2	19:13 41:4,12	backed 18:10	blowing 17:2	26:5,13 27:14
87:19	67:11	Anderson 15:5	41:17 49:16	bad 12:12 18:16	blunt 39:12	30:20,21 31:2
abroad 42:10	adviser 7:1 51:21	Andrea 15:9	52:11	balance 92:17	board 28:9	31:5 33:22,23
absolutely 12:9	52:13 57:8	and/or 69:14	arising 2:6	based 53:7 54:13	body 54:17	34:13 37:15
12:11 23:6	59:14 62:16,24	Anil 23:10	arm's 75:22 76:5	96:2,6	bold 12:7 45:5	41:7 43:8,9,12
29:20 31:21	63:1 81:19	announced 4:10	arrange 50:8	bash 45:2	bonus 54:5,11	46:2 47:21,24
32:25 39:12	88:14 90:21	47:11 70:23	article 35:9,11	basically 53:16	bonuses 54:12	called 26:12
59:19	93:20	announcement	70:20	basing 19:4	borne 9:18 14:5	37:21 47:14
absurd 96:21	advisers 59:3,5	34:4 67:14	Articles 27:2	basis 9:22 14:13	14:8 20:18,20	50:9
accept 2:23 6:18	60:23,25 61:18	83:10	articulated 8:10	66:13 74:7	bottom 56:24	calls 1:7 25:23
11:13 12:2	62:1,13 64:17	announces 4:21	Asia 15:13	Bavasso 36:23	bound 14:24	26:3,9 31:6,6
28:19 30:23	81:19 88:2	6:20	asked 28:17,18	bear 26:18 94:18	brackets 12:23	34:12 43:18,19
33:9 34:5	adviser's 59:16	announcing 67:9	29:3 30:3 48:9	began 93:15	break 49:4,5,8	43:25
35:21 37:3	65:16	annually 54:12	54:2 61:14	beginning 32:14	brief 35:24 48:25	campaign 19:17
42:22 46:24	advising 15:18	answer 2:19 9:11	74:13 77:21	41:10 45:22	84:6	37:25
accepts 11:10	59:19 92:6	77:23	aspects 1:21	62:23	briefing 12:13	capacity 84:14
access 22:20	affairs 15:7,9	antecedent 18:6	assail 41:12	begins 44:11	38:12 71:16,20	87:3
account 73:23,23	57:2	20:19,21	assess 21:16	behalf 31:17	brilliant 16:14	career 56:21
85:10	affect 38:18	antennae 3:8	assessment	53:25 91:16	16:21	careful 29:10
accumulated	affirmed 55:21	anti-alliance	69:13	believe 7:3,5	brings 19:15	38:20 75:7
57:21	afraid 27:21	91:1	assist 27:19 37:4	14:9 31:18,22	British 42:6,7	carefully 82:18
accurate 36:4	74:11 83:6,25	anti-bid 91:22	82:24 84:17	42:1 62:17	broad 3:15,19	carried 61:11
41:11	afternoon 3:25	anti-Murdoch	assistance 41:12	71:6 73:5	10:15,16	88:1,3
accurately 31:12	11:5 20:23	40:8,22	57:22 58:16	74:22 78:17	Broadcast 70:7,7	carry 66:20
accusation 18:5	35:24 72:6	anti-trust 36:25	Association 27:2	81:8	broaden 81:5	case 7:2 25:15
19:8	afternoon's	Antonio 36:23	assuage 29:3	believed 8:17 9:8	broadly 91:6	39:24 50:2
acquainted	49:18	anybody 73:10	assume 6:23,24	30:1	96:9,11,15,16	70:11 78:4
58:22	agency 57:3	93:18,22 94:5	35:11 36:18	believes 19:14	96:17	85:8 86:3,4,4
acquired 81:24	agenda 82:5,6,9	anyway 27:19	assumed 81:13	31:20	Brooks 47:25	88:9,15
acquiring 10:8	ago 25:10 77:21	75:17 77:18	88:8 90:19	bells 2:15 92:16	51:15	catch-up 37:14
80:17	agree 11:12	92:25	94:3	93:1	brought 29:19	49:10
acquisitions	14:19 17:11	apart 21:13 23:2	assumption	benefit 46:24	BSkyB 9:17 32:9	category 67:21
36:24	19:23 22:2	33:20	14:23	bequeathed 60:1	45:12 53:6,22	77:3
act 84:13 85:22	23:19 24:2	apparently	atmosphere	Bertin 51:7	63:24 66:4	caught 89:5
87:2,3,14	26:25 28:14	22:22,23	44:11 45:22	best 52:5 78:18	69:12 70:12,16	caused 3:7
90:13 91:6	29:12 30:12	appear 29:12	attached 17:3	Beyond 94:25	80:20 91:5	cave 75:14
94:8 95:4 96:1	41:10 42:15	67:13	attack 38:19	bid 9:9 15:18	92:2	caveat 9:21
acting 18:17 87:6	54:1 59:20	appears 24:3	attempt 75:7	24:6 34:3 52:7	buffer 67:19,19	CC 31:15
activity 34:11	67:13 78:1	70:21	attended 95:21	53:7,22 54:3,8	87:14,15,19	cent 19:6
actual 79:19	82:19 86:2,7	appease 14:14	attention 55:3	54:10 63:24	buffering 67:21	certain 82:4 85:7
Adam 1:19 2:17	90:6,23 91:20	Appella 15:9	68:14	64:5 66:4,7	build 8:8	86:12
3:9 5:16 16:17	94:14	application	attitude 70:25	69:13 70:16,23	building 65:5	certainly 2:21
17:19 18:20	agreeing 10:4	39:13	attributing	70:25 71:6,17	built 85:17 bunch 45:14	43:17 55:9,13
26:10 27:9,12	20:12 23:15,22 29:2 77:24	appointed 57:3,6 59:14 60:25	18:14,19 audience 3:2	72:20 76:12,18	54:13	56:7 64:6 68:12 74:15
28:3 36:2 37:8	agreement 42:23	appointment	authority 60:10	77:1,2,6,7,14 77:22,25 78:3	54:13 bundle 71:21	77:5 78:4
47:16 48:19,23	46:5	60:16	Availability	79:1 80:20,24	74:3 80:7	81:22 82:9,10
55:21,24	agrees 20:1	appraisal 61:11	88:24	81:24 82:25	bundles 56:2	83:11,22 84:24
add 42:25	ahead 28:25	61:22 68:14	available 75:4	84:4,7 90:8	71:14	91:15 95:7
added 28:8	aimed 2:24 3:2	appreciate 68:20	88:22	94:25 96:9	bureaucratic	96:23 97:2,2
adding 18:8	alarm 2:15 92:16	86:6	avoid 10:6	big 28:16 42:2	28:7	cetera 21:21 22:4
addition 19:7	93:1	approach 10:15	aware 26:10	73:8	business 52:25	57:6
addresses 36:8	albeit 60:23	10:16 31:25	29:8,13,14	BIS 60:5 79:11	53:15 80:9	chairman 31:25
addressing 10:14	Alice 15:14	33:7	70:1 79:7 80:4	79:17,25 84:3	85:4 89:3	32:7,12
adduced 39:16	allegation 18:16	approached 95:1	80:8 83:24	84:12	busy 34:20	challenges 72:3
adequate 13:25	Allen 15:21,22	95:16	85:18 93:25	bit 3:8 5:6 9:2	Dusy 54.20	chance 10:13
adjective 11:22	16:2,7 36:12	appropriate	96:20	18:1,2 28:6	C	29:17 75:10
adjoining 65:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	67:12 88:15	90.20			29:17 /5:10 Chancellor 52:6
adjourned 97:9	36:19 alliance 39:19,20		B	30:3,11 37:12	Cable 52:9 80:11	
admittedly 90:23	allowed 40:19	area 94:21 areas 59:2		37:15 39:18 43:4 44:3 65:9	88:21 89:4	change 37:18 44:12 75:16
advance 2:1,3			back 3:10 25:16		90:2	
12:22 35:25	allowing 83:14	arguably 44:6	25:17 29:7	65:11 76:11	call 1:11 3:24 4:7	changer 5:3,4,6
Marrill Cornor	ration		marrillcorn/m	la aom	0th Eleca 1	65 Floot Stroo

Leveson Inquiry

						Page 99
	ı	I	ı	I	I	I
9:9	Coalition's 19:17	32:13 34:22	64:24 65:1	Corporation	79:4,16 81:25	66:18 69:7
channel 75:15	code 59:16,18	39:23 46:24	66:7 83:19	24:11 69:22	84:4	73:5 79:8 82:5
87:14,15	62:9	47:14 48:18	87:13 91:13,16	87:13 91:5	deal 8:23 24:1	87:7,18 88:4,8
characterisatio	colleague 25:16	79:11	91:21,21 92:1	Corp's 35:16	27:23 28:10,23	89:2,13 93:18
83:18	25:18 59:8	comport 63:7	92:2,5,7 93:5	41:21	28:25 31:20	93:22,24
characterise	colleagues 61:18	concede 32:20	93:11,15 94:10	correct 47:7	33:3 45:9,12	departmental
83:17	62:2	concept 59:23	contacts 68:1	50:12 52:16	68:7 69:20	10:20 84:3
characterised	collect 13:6	61:4 85:18	contain 36:5	57:9 61:3	91:3 92:4	departments
45:17	collective 85:12	concepts 85:19	contained 51:18	63:23 64:20	dealing 68:13	48:25
charge 15:11	85:15	concerned 59:8,9	containing 49:15	66:24 74:2	81:11 86:9	department's
chat 17:14,14	colour 40:23	74:24	content 11:10	84:5	dealt 73:5	82:6
86:4	colourful 19:7	concerns 8:19	12:14,25 15:24	correction 56:6	deal-making	depending 65:2
check 16:22,25	come 14:7 28:2	10:14 20:12	16:3 26:9	correctly 42:13	18:5 19:8	deputy 15:10
56:22 81:2	37:8 38:21	29:4 36:9 89:6	27:22 29:9	correspondence	debate 21:5	23:11
checking 74:10	50:23 52:22	concerns/fears	31:13 52:5	45:13	29:20 32:13	derived 23:4
83:22	63:4 67:16	6:8	55:5 56:3	corridor 65:17	35:12 37:11	26:9
cheeky 30:12	68:23 88:18	concession 17:5	contents 15:17	65:19	debated 9:7	describe 3:21
45:20	94:6	concessions	31:12	counsel 15:10,13	debates 43:24	67:17 96:8
cheerleader 70:2	comes 5:15 79:21	28:17,19 29:2	context 34:2 70:3	15:19 23:11	December 60:1	describes 70:14
70:9,12,15,17	comfort 10:12	30:2	88:2 94:8	couple 2:5 12:10	68:14 69:13	describing 67:22
chief 51:23 57:5	coming 37:23	conclude 54:25	continue 54:24	44:12 81:19,21	75:20 80:16	desirability
69:19	48:10 81:2,24	conclusion 79:20	continued 59:13	83:22	81:9 84:1	72:20
chill 45:22	comment 17:11	conclusions	63:2	course 11:24	decide 44:16	desired 28:14
chime 3:4	38:4 48:14	11:18	continues 8:5	14:13 26:10	94:23,24	despite 28:20
chimes 32:12	69:1 72:7	condemning	29:6	34:10 50:1,11	decided 75:22	detail 63:4 79:22
72:21 76:10	commentary	52:22	contract 60:7	52:5 58:17	76:5	81:10
China 52:13	2:11,17,21,22	conduct 59:16	contractual	65:1,21 93:5	deciding 6:17	detailed 14:10
chit-chat 3:20	3:5,7,10,13,14	conduit 87:16	59:15	Covent 27:16,18	76:22	82:8
33:5	3:20 33:4	confidence 24:8	contrast 60:5	cover 1:5 8:8	decision 2:8 8:15	detract 2:10
choice 33:1	commentators 20:12	60:11,12	control 75:16	29:20 56:22	27:4 45:17	develop 58:5
Christmas 93:3		confident 31:11	controlled 75:17	coverage 19:15	52:25 73:15	developed 94:4
circulated 34:25	comments 48:21	32:4	controls 75:12	19:23	79:10,18 80:1	devious 53:18
circulating 79:7	71:2,7 80:22	confidential 21:7	76:15	covered 71:17	80:8 83:10	devoted 63:25
circumstance	80:23,25 81:5	21:14,16 71:23	controversial 20:22	covering 43:3	85:6,11,13,13	Die 27:18
90:23	81:8	confidentiality 14:24		co-wrote 58:11	85:16 86:23	difference 5:6
civil 60:24 61:2	commercial 38:1 53:17	i i	convenient 96:25	Craig 49:25	87:8,9 94:14	60:24 94:25
62:7,8,10 65:22		confined 95:25 confirm 47:16	conversation 3:25 6:6,13	50:11 51:1 create 8:15 75:3	95:17 decisions 3:17	95:15 different 17:7
clarify 63:20	commercially 71:23	56:3	14:10 17:16	critical 41:2	decision-making	37:7 41:17,18
clainy 03.20 clause 18:14	Commission	consequence	23:3 26:11	43:18	2:10	44:2 53:9
59:18 60:7	5:22 45:1	54:3	27:9 28:3	criticism 8:20,22	dedicated 69:6	54:13 68:21,24
clear 4:20,23	75:19 80:3	Conservative	29:25 31:12,23	cross-reference	deduce 22:5 67:3	72:24 86:11
11:11 22:25	common 63:9	57:23	37:8 41:8 46:3	26:17	deduction 7:9	87:16 88:20
23:17 24:17	communicated	Conservatives	46:15,18 48:6	culture 57:6,22	66:24 67:7	differently 83:17
25:4 32:25	60:11 77:17	70:9	67:6 80:18,21	Cuitar C 57.0,22	definitely 10:12	difficult 22:15
37:25 38:21	communicating	consider 90:7,12	conversations		33:11 43:25	46:22
41:9 43:2,20	7:23,25 44:24	considerations	33:10,17 41:15	Dacre 37:25	44:4,23 79:18	dig 26:16
45:13 46:16	communication	80:2 84:20	53:9	daily 50:3 66:13	80:8	dinner 50:8,19
48:5 72:17	7:3 15:15	89:21	copied 36:12,18	damaging 18:15	definition 3:15	50:22
78:13 79:10	51:15 63:16	considered 5:19	79:9	dangerous 35:10	definitively	direct 88:9
88:11,20	79:5 87:15	76:6 96:7	corner 65:17	data 3:24 20:24	84:23	direction 17:2
clearly 1:4 5:24	communications	considering	corners 41:17	30:20 31:5	delay 43:20,25	directly 58:10
54:20 68:25	51:20 64:7	56:13 85:7	Corp 2:23 5:4	43:8 46:2	44:14	72:16 88:6
69:3 93:25	87:14	consistent 25:5	6:15 8:3 9:16	dated 56:1 73:21	demand 32:10	director 15:7,8
climate 24:3	companies 75:6	44:6	10:8 14:19	day 22:17 23:8	45:11	15:15 79:16
close 3:22 58:4	company 10:9	consistently	15:18 23:25	48:15 65:7	demonstrate	discharging
69:14,22	17:6 54:12	71:11	28:15 30:2	66:21 97:3,9	43:8	87:11
closely 35:19	92:2	consists 65:22	45:9 53:25	days 4:11 20:7	denied 13:21	discipline 60:15
57:17	compared 20:6	constituency	67:20 69:14	36:7 40:4	14:5	disclose 60:10
CMS 79:24	competition 5:21	1:25 2:25 3:3	70:17 74:24	74:21	denies 43:21	disclosed 54:22
coalition 23:7	15:11 44:25	consultation 6:9	75:17 82:16	DCMS 6:14	department	56:2
38:12,23 39:3	71:18 75:19,21	23:6 34:6	83:5 89:25	11:13 12:2	21:10 24:10	discreet 50:16,21
39:16,24 41:19	76:5 79:2 80:3	35:12 36:6	90:2,4,25	14:22 22:8	40:17 48:25	discretion 68:8
41:22 49:21	95:6	38:18	91:17 92:14	32:15 39:25	49:19 59:5,6	discuss 27:3
61:18 82:16	competitors 75:9	consulting 36:8	94:10	40:15 59:1,5	59:25 60:19,22	45:11 50:24
91:1,9,23	completely 23:15	contact 64:16,23	corporate 15:7,9	60:4 65:14	63:12 65:9	75:23 78:9,16
	I	l 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l
						

200.000 1.5							Page 100
Self discussed 8.1 15:17.2 16:3 drew 189.13 drew 189.13 drew 189.13 drove 89.7 drove 89.8 decouraging 10:22 25:17.3 0:22		l	l		l	l	
discussion 17 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2							
1517.22.163 driver 897 drove 898 drove 897 drove 898 decle 831.3 38.64 8.12.13 8.8.2 38.2 48.2 21.3 88.5 39.5 8.19 39.5 8.29 39.5 8.							•
38-64819 discossion 11-1 document 2-6 2-1-2		,		,	,		
38.48.19 deplicative Enders 20.4 88.20 88.16.7 50.20 50.25 English 29.23 48.21.23 88.5 88.5 48.21.23 88.5 48.21.23 88.5 88.5 48.21.23 88.5 88.5 48.44.48.15 66.8 86.24 66.6 38.82 66.6 38.82 66.6 38.82 66.25 66.8 86.24 68.25 66.8 86.24 68.25 66.8 86.24 68.21 68.25							
646.838.21 Abrill				_			
8.8-24 Section 1:17 Section 5:18 Section 5:18 Section 5:19 Section 5:19 Section 5:19 Section 6:19							37:2 49:3
361-5819 361-591 361		_					
38:518-819							
88324 discussion F E contines S2.6 contines S2.6 contines S2.7	_						Gabby 51:7,19
							game 4:22,24 5:3
2.15.25.28		duty 76:24					
24:125 23:8							
444 48.15 66.28 66.24 4318 44.7 children 43.16 62.22 children 43.16 62.23 children 43.16 62.22 children 44.17 children 4							
668.8624 43:18 44:7 45:662.25 33:11.8 8:4 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 33:24 8:5 34:18 8:5 34:18 8:5 34:24 11 34:18 3:37 34:18 8:19 33:34							
discussions 2:11 45:6 of 2:25 2:14 13:1 34:10 67:18 34:10 67:1							
3.822.40:14 44:170:25 38:21 8:5 38:21 8:5 38:21 8:5 38:21 8:5 38:21 8:5 38:10 67:18 44:170:25 38:11 11.13 45:170:25 45:18 44:5 45:18 44:5 45:18 44:5 45:18 44:5 45:18 44:5 45:18 44:5 45:18 45:19 45:18 45:19 45:1							O
3822-40:14 44:170:25 33:10 for 18 99:18 93:49 for 18 99:19 93:23 94:1 dispute 11:24 24:14 37:19 dispute 11:24 25:11 38:11,11,13 31:18 divide 96:19 divide 96:19 divide 96:19 divide 96:19 20:12 document 26: 38:10 82:15 83:10 21:5.78.14 22:8,14.18 22:8,14.18 22:8,14.18 22:8,14.18 22:8,14.18 22:8,14.18 22:8,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 22:3,14.18 23:15 6:33 37:7 40:09 = 22:2,14 40:15 87:2,2 40:15 87:2,3 40:18 88:1 50:16 40:18 88:1 50:16							
44:170.25 34:10 67:18 cars 67:18 69:1 cars 56:16 69:13 cars 67:18 69:1 cars 56:16 69:13 cars 56:18 69:13 cars 5							0
93:29 4:11 dispute 11:24 24:14 37:19 dispute 12:24 5:18 44:5 distinction 70:10 equally 69:5 82:6 equivalent 91:21 5:18 44:5 distinction 70:18 equivalent 91:21 91:21 experison 57:21 5:18 1:11,17 13:15 dispute 1:8 11:11,17 13:15 distinction 70:18 eight 33:18 distinction 70:18 eight 33:18 distinction 70:18 eight 33:18 distinction 70:18 eight 33:18 distinction 70:19 eight 33:18 distinction 70:19 eight 33:18 distinction 70:19 eight 33:18 eight 3							
dispute 11:24 comomic 52:3 Ed 45:8 comomic 52:3 Ed 45:8 comomic 52:3 Ed 45:8 comomic 52:3 equivalent 91:21 95:10,12 96.6 comomic 57:21 feature 24:1 formal 1:25:51 fo				_			
dispute 11-24 document 24-14 371-19 dispute 4-20 5-18 44-5 dispute 4-20 5-18 44-5 dispute 4-20 5-18 44-5 dispute 1-20 d						0	
E44 437.19 E44 457.19 E44		•					
disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 distinction 70:18 distinction 70:18 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 distinction 70:18 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 disputes 1-8 divided 96:19 disputes 1-8	1 1			_			
Sils 44:5 disputes 1:8 effectively 76:17 essence 96:8 essence 196:8 essence 196:2 established es							
disputes 18				_			
Figh 13:15 High 25:12 Hig				,		_	
distinction 70:18 divide 96:19 divide 96:19 divide 96:19 divide 96:19 document 2:6 for 71:20 72:5.25 established 71:20 72:5.25 established 72:15 76:25 documentation 1:20 memntation 1:20 focument 83:18 2:10 96:12,20 elaborate 58:3 elaborate 59:2 event 33:7:12 election 57:7 element 40:20 elaborate 58:3 elaborate 59:3 elaborate 58:3 elaborate 59:2 event 59:2 event 59:2 event 59:2 event 59:2 event 59:2 expressing 5:25 espressing 5:25 espressing 5:25 espressing 5:25 espressing 5:25 espression 12:19 extensively final 51:2 every 50:4 extensively final 51:2 every 50:4 event 32:19 every 50:4 event 32:19 every 50:4 event 32:19 every 50:4 ev	_	•		_			
divide 96:19 divided 59:12 divided 59:12 document 2:6 either 5:10 23:17 document 2:6 established 59:12 sephanation 2:6 93:10.13 sephanation 2:4 68:3.9 sephanation 2:4:15 25:2.8 splanation 2:12 2:4:15 25:2.8 splanation 2:12 2:4:15 25:2.8 splanation 2:12 2:12 2:4 94:9.22 sephanation 2:4:15 25:2.8 splanation 2:12 2:12 2:4:15 25:2.8 splanation 2:12 2:15 83:10 spling 10:24 splicitist 3:25:12 spling 2:4:5 s							
divided 59:12 document 2:6 40:5 42:11 81:16 explained 62:25 feedback 1:18 formulation 33:9 geography 65:12 George 52:1 geography 65:12 George 52:1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				_			
document 2:6 71:20 72:5,25 documentation 1:20 59:25 61:17 68:3 69:18 89:19 66:12,20 documents 3:18 89:10 96:12,20 evening 10:24 elaborate 58:3 18:11 59:15 63:8 71:13,17 73:2 91:10 dement 40:20 31:5 86:4 92:22,24 25:13 37:7 73:2 91:10 doing 7:23 54:21 67:17 71:1 doing 7:23 54:21 67:17 71:1 domain 4:9 11:12 12:5 doubt 46:24 11:12 12:5 doubt 46:24 21:2 22:13 DPM 75:23 DPM 75:24 DPM 75:24 DPM 75:25 DPM 75:2				,			
T1:20 72:5,25 Goumentation 1:20 Secretary 1:21 Secretary 1:20 Secretary				_			
documentation 72:15 76:25 Europe 15:13 25:12 explicit 87:25 feel 14:6 45:20 fortnightly 73:19 32:17 33:6 32:17 33:6 40:24 91:25 40:24 91:25 40:24 91:25 40:24 91:25 55:10 83:14,15 55:10 83:14,15 55:10 83:14,15 66:27 1:13 66:31 66:33 77:1 expressing 5:25 61ll 61:19 61l 61:19 66:27 1:13 91:8 66:27 1:13 91:8 66:27 1:13 91:8 66:27 1:13 91:8 66:27 1:13 91:10 65:3 66:5 83:2 91:8 61:3 66:27 1:13 91:2 65:3 66:5 83:2 91:8 65:13 66:5 88:1 forum 42:10 91:8 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				,			
1:20							
documents 3:18 21:57,8,114 22:8,14,18 22:8,14,18 58:11 59:15 63:8 71:13,17 73:2 91:10 29:22,2,24 25:13 37:7 77:12 42:25 25:13 37:7 77:12 42:25 42:25 42:25 42:25 42:25 42:25 43:17 37:17 4 40:18 83:12 45:24 53:17 94:2 45:24 53:18 45:24 53:17 94:2 45:24 53:18 45:24 53:17 94:2 45:25 45:24 53:18 45:24 53:18 46:13 55:12 47:20 19:2 73:16 86:15 88:13 88:13 79:42 40obut 46:24 21:2 22:13 89:25 40obut 46:24 30:17 31:7 89:4 90:2 33:5,15 36:20 24:18 33:17 47:45,7 47:45,7 47:45,7 47:41 84:16 4ram 11:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 53:21 4ram 12:18 41:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 41:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 41:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 41:18 41:6 4ram 12:18 41:18 4							
21:5.7,8,14 elaborate 58:5 elaborated 18:7 24:25 25:21,25 24:25 25:21,25 24:25 25:21,25 25:8 27:17 28:16 63:8 71:13,17 element 40:20 element 1:18 event 34:3 72:13 22:13 77:12 event 34:3 72:13 expression 12:19 extensively extensively fill 61:19 found 50:16 found							
22:8,14,18					_		
S8:11 59:15 Calcular							
63:8 71:13,17 element 40:20 elements 1:18 event 34:3 72:13 event 34:3 72:13 23:23 76:25 fill 61:19 found 50:16 four 19:20 25:23 given 2:1 5:17 92:22,24 doing 7:23 54:21 42:25 events 19:12 45:25 43:7,8 extract 16:17 estensively 78:14 37:19 65:9 12:18,21 14:10 66:71:7 71:1 estensively extract 16:17 70:6 finally 51:20 66:27 1:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 14:17,21 23:16 66:71:13 65:13 67:25 67:12 60:14							
This content is the							
25:22,24							
doing 7:23 54:21 42:25 events 19:12 extensively 78:14 37:19 65:9 12:18,21 14:10 67:17 71:1 else's 7:24 45:24 53:7,8 extensively 78:14 37:19 65:9 12:18,21 14:10 83:17 94:2 email 1:7,23,23 67:1,2,3,5 eventually 55:12 everts 19:12 52:18 Fred 52:5 53:2 25:15 36:4 95:14 3:22 4:2,7 eventually 55:12 everybody 37:12 financial 17:5 French 37:17 41:22 53:14 4:18 54:19 13:5,10 16:13 65:13 67:25 73:16 86:15 88:13 extrapolated find 8:12 42:16 frequency 65:1 57:21 75:16 90:21 93:18 doubt 46:24 21:2 22:13 89:25 everyone's 29:4 extreme 96:22 53:4 frequently 69:25 fire doi:10:10 11:12 12:1 Dowler 53:8 23:4,9,14 25:3 evidence 3:3 13:4 16:13 Friday 20:23 frequently 69:25 11:12 12:1 B9:19 Teves 67:2 25:6,15,20,23 13:4 16:13 Friday 20:23 frist 12:5 13:7 fround 4:3 frist 12:5 13:7 fround 4:3 gloss 5:11 18:3 gloss							
67:17 71:1				_	79.14		
83:17 94:2 95:14 3:22 4:2,7 6ventually 55:12 6verybody 37:12 6verybody 3	_						
95:14 3:22 4:2,7 cverybody 37:12 70:6 extraneous 18:3 financial 17:5 frequent 64:8,15 57:21 75:16 66:6,10 60:6				,			
domain 4:9 11:12 12:5 everybody 37:12 extraneous 18:3 71:2 80:25 frequency 65:1 57:21 75:16 14:18 54:19 13:5,10 16:13 65:13 67:25 88:13 46:12 50:16 66:6,10 90:21 93:18 55:12 17:20 19:2 73:16 86:15 88:13 46:12 50:16 66:6,10 90:21 93:18 doubt 46:24 21:2 22:13 89:25 evtreme 96:22 53:4 frequently 69:25 11:12 12:1 Dowler 53:8 23:4,9,14 25:3 evidence 3:3 evidence 3:3 13:4 16:1,3 Friday 20:23 40:23 49:24 DPM 75:23 25:25 26:3,8 13:4 16:1,3 18:19 19:1 F fice-to-face firm 24:13 friendly 77:2 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 28:21 29:5 89:4 90:2 33:5,15 36:20 24:18 33:17 47:25,24 49:24 46:24 46:8 42:19 44:12 44:14 glossed 40:13 gs 12:29:5 glossed 40:13 gs 14:23 20:14 44:14 glossed 40:13 gs 14:23 20:14 44:14 gs 14:23 20:14 44:14 glossed 40:13 gs 14:23 20:14 44:15,25 55:7 gs 14:23 20:14							
14:18 54:19		,	•				
Trigorous Trig							
doubt 46:24 21:2 22:13 89:25 extreme 96:22 everyone's 29:4 evidence 3:3 53:4 fire 53:21 firm 24:13 friendly 77:2 everyone's 29:4 evidence 3:3 11:12 12:1 40:23 49:24 everyone's 29:4 evidence 3:3 40:23 49:24 everyone's 29:4 evidence 3:3 64:15 firm 24:13 fire 53:21 firm 24:13 fire 53:4 fire 53:4 fire 53:4 50 Fidal 20:23 fire 53:21 firm 24:13 fire 53:21 firm 24:13 fire 53:4 fire 53:2 fire 53:4 fire 53:4 fire 53:2 fire 53:4 fire 53:2				_			
Dowler 53:8 dozen 67:2 23:4,9,14 25:3 25:6,15,20,23 everyone's 29:4 evidence 3:3 extremely 58:22 eves 67:18 68:25 fire 53:21 front 53:4 friendly 77:2 64:15 Friday 20:23 dozen 64:15 40:23 49:24 DPM 75:23 DP 80:11 88:21 89:4 90:2 draft 73:24 74:4 74:4 74:4 74:5,7 drafts 74:3,4 draft 18:19 19:1 41:8 53:21 74:16 Final Strate 12:5 13:7 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 friendly 77:2 front 53:4 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 friendly 77:2 front 53:4 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 friendly 77:2 front 53:4 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 friendly 77:2 front 53:4 front 53:							
dozen 67:2 25:6,15,20,23 evidence 3:3 eyes 67:18 68:25 firm 24:13 friendly 77:2 64:15 DPM 75:23 25:25 26:3,8 13:4 16:1,3 E F first 12:5 13:7 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 Dr 80:11 88:21 30:17 31:7 18:19 19:1 F face-to-face 42:19 44:12 44:14 glossed 40:13 draft 73:24 74:4 37:2,6,10 38:4 39:13 41:6 64:24 66:8 46:12 47:15 frustration GMT 42:6 74:5,7 38:5 40:1 42:3 47:2,5,24 89:11 49:24 51:24,25 27:24 30:13,16 go 14:23 20:14 drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1							
DPM 75:23 25:25 26:3,8 13:4 16:1,3 E first 12:5 13:7 front 53:4 gloss 5:11 18:3 Dr 80:11 88:21 30:17 31:7 18:19 19:1 E 18:24 34:6 frustrated 44:3 28:21 29:5 89:4 90:2 33:5,15 36:20 24:18 33:17 face-to-face 42:19 44:12 44:14 glossed 40:13 draft 73:24 74:4 37:2,6,10 38:4 39:13 41:6 64:24 66:8 46:12 47:15 frustration GMT 42:6 74:5,7 38:5 40:1 42:3 47:2,5,24 89:11 49:24 51:24,25 27:24 30:13,16 go 14:23 20:14 drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7<							
Dr 80:11 88:21 30:17 31:7 18:19 19:1 F 18:24 34:6 frustrated 44:3 28:21 29:5 89:4 90:2 33:5,15 36:20 24:18 33:17 face-to-face 42:19 44:12 44:14 glossed 40:13 draft 73:24 74:4 37:2,6,10 38:4 39:13 41:6 64:24 66:8 46:12 47:15 frustrated 44:3 glossed 40:13 74:5,7 38:5 40:1 42:3 47:2,5,24 89:11 49:24 51:24,25 27:24 30:13,16 go 14:23 20:14 drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13				-Jes 07.10 00.23			
89:4 90:2 33:5,15 36:20 24:18 33:17 face-to-face 42:19 44:12 44:14 glossed 40:13 draft 73:24 74:4 37:2,6,10 38:4 39:13 41:6 64:24 66:8 46:12 47:15 frustration GMT 42:6 74:5,7 38:5 40:1 42:3 47:2,5,24 89:11 49:24 51:24,25 27:24 30:13,16 go 14:23 20:14 drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 drag 14:16 47:22,25 64:23 91:21 93:16 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 full 69:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 em		· ·		F			
draft 73:24 74:4 37:2,6,10 38:4 39:13 41:6 64:24 66:8 46:12 47:15 frustration GMT 42:6 74:5,7 38:5 40:1 42:3 47:2,5,24 89:11 49:24 51:24,25 27:24 30:13,16 go 14:23 20:14 drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 drag 14:16 47:22,25 64:23 91:21 93:16 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16							
74:5,7 38:5 40:1 42:3 47:2,5,24 89:11 49:24 51:24,25 27:24 30:13,16 go 14:23 20:14 drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 draw 11:18 41:6 47:22,25 64:23 91:21 93:16 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16							
drafting 57:22 42:14,14 43:10 51:16 52:15 facilitate 53:22 56:14 68:1 frustrations 31:15,19 35:10 74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16							
74:12 43:12,19 44:14 54:25 68:17 95:19 74:4,8 75:4 44:19 44:15,25 55:7 drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 drag 14:16 47:22,25 64:23 91:21 93:16 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16							U
drafts 74:3,4 45:24 46:4,7 78:19 88:11 facilitating 95:14 77:3 81:12 FT 35:9 55:11 65:23,24 drag 14:16 47:22,25 64:23 91:21 93:16 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16		,					
drag 14:16 47:22,25 64:23 91:21 93:16 fact 5:3 6:14 85:1 fulfilled 78:1 66:12,17,20 draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16		,					,
draw 11:18 41:6 71:22 74:15 95:5,10,13 21:13 30:1 fit 79:3 full 55:23 73:25 74:1 41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16		,					
41:8 53:21 79:9 93:2,6,7 96:6 47:7 48:19 fits 27:14 67:20 fully 6:8 75:19 86:4 68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16	U						
68:13 emails 15:16,23 evolution 34:3 50:22 54:23 69:11 function 59:24 88:7 95:6 goes 8:16 emails 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16							
drawn 24:7,11 15:24 35:18 93:17 74:10 84:16 fitted 90:22 87:11 94:13 goes 8:16						· ·	
going 1.24 3.17							0
		20.12 12.7		00.5,12 55.1			8,8 1.2 1 3.17

D	1	\sim	-
Page	- 1 1		

						rage 101
0.15.00.11.00		05.24.00.5.10	l 10.00	l		0.10.55.6
8:15,20 11:22	Harrison 51:21	87:24 88:5,19	indication 10:20	International	34:23 35:3	9:13 55:6
20:14,19 26:4	52:19	89:7 92:10	17:1,3	49:1 50:11	37:2 39:20	80:10 83:16
26:18 27:10	hear 11:23 41:16	93:10 94:23,24	indicators 72:18	69:15,20 70:2	43:7 47:2,12	89:7
28:25 30:3	41:20 94:2	95:2	indirect 51:14	70:18	47:21 49:3,10	keep 31:10
32:8,24 33:8	heard 51:15 85:1	Hunt's 8:9 16:10	individuals	interpret 1:13	54:15,17,22	key 36:16 37:21
34:17 35:8,19	85:3	64:4 65:18	49:23 61:15	9:5 20:4	55:9,13,18,22	57:17 67:1
35:24,24 36:5	hearing 74:23	69:12 70:10,21	81:16	interpretation	55:23 56:6,12	81:23 82:4
37:11,18 38:23	89:9 97:9	72:18 78:13	industry 45:15	9:18 54:1	56:21 57:14	kill 31:20
41:21,24 43:16	heat 6:10 7:14	80:12,19 82:9	inevitably 95:20	interpreted 9:6	62:3 66:4	killed 29:21
43:20 45:9	8:16 23:6	86:23 87:8	infer 41:14 67:4	interpreting	69:12 73:18	kind 14:9 66:20
46:21 47:5	held 83:13	96:10	inference 7:9	10:12	87:1 96:25	knew 4:17 49:19
		hurdle 27:5	18:9 22:4 41:5	interview 70:6	97:2	51:18 58:25
49:23 50:19,24	help 1:8,14,19					
54:10 56:21	13:24 15:2	hybrid 62:7	inferred 70:13	70:10	Jeff 15:12	59:1 62:21
63:24 65:2	21:5 36:2 41:3		88:7	intrigued 73:3	Jeremy 6:25	73:16 77:18
66:4 67:22	42:11 52:5	I	inform 79:25	introduce 51:1	17:24 27:12	80:22 81:2
68:17,19 79:21	65:11 68:5	idea 14:11 38:13	informal 63:16	intrusion 87:20	JH 24:15 26:21	93:25
81:9 82:8	73:21 89:21	50:19 51:1	63:18 64:10,15	intuitively 92:16	31:15,20 37:6	know 2:24,24,25
83:16 86:5	helpful 43:1	identified 13:20	70:24	invented 38:9	41:2	3:3,24 5:13 8:1
89:15 93:4,10	49:18 52:1	23:10	information	invention 5:13	Jhingan 23:10	13:9,12 20:8
94:4	helps 13:19,21	identify 20:16	14:17,19 23:4	investigation	JL 19:14	22:19 23:3
golf 21:6	13:24	56:14	24:21 29:11	52:23 53:12	job 63:5	24:17,24 25:4
good 12:15 19:14	he''s 6:19	ignore 29:18	37:4 46:7,10	involved 54:20	jogged 84:21	25:6 26:21
19:21 20:1,3,6	high 44:19 63:15	illegal 12:9,11	47:1 52:10	62:3 73:4,15	John 55:21,24	27:25 31:2
70:8	78:9 82:8	illumination	60:11 68:9	73:18 75:21	joint 84:2	36:13 38:3,7
government 47:8	94:18	33:20	73:12	76:4	joke 12:12	38:10 39:6
47:13 52:21	highlight 43:4		infos 12:8	involvement	journalist 70:7	41:7,23 42:8
53:19 59:6,13	highly 68:15	imagine 47:14 82:11	initially 47:10	79:12	70:13,20 76:9	45:1 46:2,20
60:12 63:3	hindsight 12:15	immense 10:5	input 82:21,24	iPad 75:5	JR 38:23,25	46:21 47:3
75:21 76:4	history 56:22	impact 94:10	inquiries 46:9,21	iPhone 75:5	JRM 8:7	50:4 51:18
78:9 85:10,13	57:2 67:2	impatient 44:3	Inquiry 69:21	irony 38:16 39:7	judge 86:2	58:13,14,15,17
85:15	hived 32:8	impediments	80:7	irrelevant 87:20	judged 66:16	58:19 64:2,8
grateful 56:17	hold 39:17 96:19	76:18	inside 14:19	issue 25:8 31:25	judging 91:17	71:1,15 73:3
gratitude 55:15	hole 13:17 14:3	impertinent	insightful 24:15	32:5 40:9 42:6	judgment 32:10	75:16 78:15,17
great 36:25	honest 96:12	57:11	25:7	45:10 66:16	77:13 96:3	79:3,18 82:3
green 28:10,24	hope 49:18 53:2	implement 27:1	insisting 52:23	73:8 75:18,21	judicial 10:6	82:10 83:19,20
28:25	97:3	implication 41:9	instance 74:10	76:5,22,23	38:14 39:13	86:1,1 89:19
ground 1:4	hoping 30:15	importance 52:3	86:8	80:16 89:8	85:25	91:8 94:2
groundbreaking	53:19	important 20:17	instigated 45:11	95:13 96:18	July 45:24 47:8	96:25
40:9	hostile 77:1,6	34:2 35:3	instruct 29:7	issues 13:18	51:5 52:19	knowledge 52:12
grounds 75:8	hotly 4:20 5:18	37:19 55:11	instruction 63:6	15:11 23:20	56:9 57:5	78:18
76:7 90:8	hour 18:23 25:24	82:1,2,4,5	88:10 93:18	43:14 45:12,14	June 43:17 56:9	known 38:2 46:7
grumble 87:22	31:7 46:4	impossible 67:15	instructions	48:19 49:1	57:4 58:22	61:21 78:20
	77:21			53:11 58:18	70:24 76:9	knows 31:24
guarantee 10:19 guess 74:16	hours 11:9 19:20	impression	62:15,20,23 63:3	71:18,18 75:24	junior 81:18,22	KRM 81:10
		11:12 12:2				KKWI 01.10
guide 48:10,22	21:18 26:1,13	23:17 32:14,16	intended 29:24	78:10,16,24	JUSTICE 1:3	
	26:23 27:14	33:6 64:15	54:18 73:20	83:8 85:7,9	3:12 6:19 7:2	L
<u>H</u>	34:10 46:3	inappropriate	intentions 28:1	89:9 94:24	7:13,18 11:2,6	lack 92:17
hacking 48:8	48:18	29:12	interacting	95:6,23 96:5	15:1,4,8,12,14	laid 90:13
50:2 52:22	Hunt 6:25 7:22	include 2:21,22	14:22	item 38:24 44:5	15:16,20,22	Lake 27:7,11,15
53:21	26:6,11,13	27:2 81:6 90:4	interactions	44:5,5,8 46:12	22:10,12 23:9	27:17
half 18:23 25:10	27:12 31:17	included 60:23	63:18 64:10	47:15 82:5	23:12 34:21	landing 28:13
25:24 31:6	34:4 35:21	87:7	interest 66:16	items 39:2	36:22,24 37:1	language 11:16
46:4 67:2	36:7 37:22	including 23:14	68:2 80:2	iterative 40:16	39:19 43:5	30:15
hand 48:3 82:16	57:4 58:2,4,10	31:14 59:15	83:16		46:19 47:10,17	large 82:17
handing 84:4	61:7,13 62:1	61:8 89:25	interested 86:10	J	49:5 54:16	lasted 24:19
handling 63:2	62:15 63:10	inconvenienced	86:11 90:20,25	JA 48:8	55:4,10,14,20	25:24 26:1,13
handover 84:8	66:7 67:6,21	97:3	91:2,3,4,7,11	James 27:5 52:1	56:13,16 57:10	33:18 43:9
happen 65:6	68:3,9 69:14	incorrect 47:3	91:22 92:12,24	74:19 75:2	57:13 61:21,24	last-minute 30:2
78:15 86:13	70:5,14,21,25	Incredible 52:25	94:11	93:3	65:11,19,21,25	latest 47:15
93:15,19 95:18	71:22 72:16	incredibly 57:17	interesting 47:9	January 3:23 5:1	68:20,23 69:10	latter's 80:1
,	73:22 74:1,5,8	•	68:4 71:24		73:1,12,14	
happened 32:18	75:22 74:1,3,8	incumbent 90:1	interlocutor 24:9	18:23 56:10,11		laudatory 68:15
50:7 81:12		independence		56:14 66:5	85:24 86:14,17	launched 38:23
90:20 96:13	80:17,22 81:5	32:11	internal 40:25	Jay 1:3,6 3:21	97:2,6	50:2
happening 45:15	81:6,12 83:9	indicated 53:10	44:18 83:7	7:7,13,17,20	T7	law 79:2
53:9 89:22	84:6,13 85:14	indicating 11:24	internally 3:17	11:7 16:1	<u>K</u>	lawyer 15:10
hard 17:2	87:1,6,11,19	21:23 22:24	30:14 53:16	22:13 23:14	keen 2:18 8:6,25	23:12 36:24,25
	I		I	I	l	I
	ration		marrillcorn/m	_		65 Floot Stroo

						Page 102
0.5.5	l., , o.,	l	02.10.04.16		44.14.45.14	
86:6	link 85:22	lying 10:21	92:19 94:16	69:23,23	44:16 67:14	52:11 54:10,14
lawyers 15:17	Linklaters 55:15		media 2:17,22	metaphors 67:17	97:1	62:15 77:5
35:16 38:16	list 18:25	M	20:9 35:25	Michel 1:6 4:4	moments 82:4	88:6 95:12
43:21	listed 63:22	Macandrew	36:8 38:12,23	4:23 7:2 9:5,10	Monday 50:3	new 15:6 23:10
layer 28:8	66:12	15:14	39:3,24 41:19	11:11 13:23	months 85:3	32:7,12
lead 75:10 76:13	litigious 74:25	magazine 70:7,7	41:22 49:21	15:1 18:2,22	morning 1:3	News 2:23 5:4
81:17 83:14,20	82:14,14	Mail 50:3	57:22 69:24	22:5 26:18	3:23 11:4	6:15 8:3 9:16
83:23	little 30:11	main 81:23 89:7	73:8 75:1,4,6	30:22 31:8	19:24 20:25	10:8 14:19
leading 67:8 83:9	lobbying 75:9	major 66:14	75:11 76:13	37:13 41:13	24:20 27:4	15:18 23:25
leaked 21:12,13	location 50:16,21	making 11:23	79:2 85:8 89:6	43:8 44:17	34:3,10,13,15	24:10 28:15
leap 10:11,17	locations 50:20	43:2 79:24	90:8 94:23	45:19 46:11	34:25 35:4,20	30:2 32:8
learned 12:16	logically 40:5	83:10,10 85:15	meet 61:7 67:24	48:14 49:10	36:1 43:19	35:16 41:21
left 53:1	long 20:16 21:2	85:16 95:17	67:25,25 68:5	53:3,19 54:15	71:15 72:5	45:9 49:1
left-hand 49:15	28:13 30:18,24	manage 95:20	75:23	54:17 55:18	morning's 19:15	50:11 53:25
legal 8:23 10:6	31:1,2 33:2,3	managed 12:8	meeting 5:1,2	69:21 71:16,21	motivated 38:1	67:20 69:14,14
13:18 17:21,25	82:7	22:19 27:12	16:22,25 45:3	73:9 91:16	mouth 7:4	69:19,22 70:2
36:18 44:2	longer 76:8	manager 61:3,5	45:8 49:18,19	92:1,21 93:2	move 16:9 33:14	70:17,17 74:24
52:24 79:7,16	longish 97:3	61:6,9	56:14 63:14,16	93:11,16 94:1	33:24 37:13	75:17 82:16
81:19 82:13	long-term 28:19	managing 90:19	63:17,18,21,21	Michel's 93:7	68:19 69:12	83:5 87:13
84:20	32:22	92:12	63:25 78:9,16	middle 34:21	75:3 81:9 84:1	89:25 90:2,4
legally 33:1	look 3:21 6:18	manifestations	78:19,23 83:12	mid-February	moving 20:10	90:25 91:5,17
79:23	17:13 19:17,23	58:6	84:1,3,8,15	4:13 6:21	25:19	92:14 94:10
length 75:22	20:18,20 25:3	Manifesto 57:23	89:11 91:9	Milly 53:8	MP 2:25	night 33:24
76:6	27:22 28:6	manner 18:18	meetings 56:8,10	mind 35:21	MPs 1:25 3:3	34:20,21 35:2
letter 23:20 29:9	33:2,4 34:18	March 33:24	63:13,20 64:5	38:17 57:10	multi-platform	35:15 36:14
29:13 52:2	35:19 39:5	34:4 37:14	64:7,7,22	68:18 96:12	75:4	51:13
let's 3:21 19:7,14	40:3 42:3,12	40:3 49:11	66:11 67:9	minded 6:20	Murdoch 12:6	nine 34:13
19:23 24:25	42:19 44:13	66:23 91:9	68:10 69:1	11:13 12:2	32:7,11 40:20	non-committal
27:3,5 71:13	45:24 48:8	Mark 75:14	83:1,4,8,21	34:5	42:15 74:19	77:22
85:24	70:5 71:13	market 35:23	84:9 88:23,24	minister 45:3,12	75:2 93:3	non-legal 31:25
level 13:17 14:2	74:13 81:10	markets 35:4,25	89:3 92:10	45:14 51:9	Murdochs 69:19	normal 1:17 61:1
44:1 63:15	looked 76:21	mass 56:1	95:21	60:16 62:10,11		85:13
78:9	93:3	massive 23:7	melodramatic	65:15 66:3	N	note 31:10 59:18
LEVESON 1:3	looking 39:8	52:25	29:24	73:20,25 77:18	name 23:10	68:3 78:6
3:12 6:19 7:2	41:16 67:3	matches 47:21	members 57:17	78:15	51:25 55:23	84:15
7:13,18 11:2,6	74:16 79:4,4	51:16	58:14 93:23	ministerial 65:14	narrative 8:16	noted 26:12 55:2
15:1,4,8,12,14	79:22 95:2	material 4:8	memo 6:5 14:8	69:6	9:21 32:22	notice 12:22
15:16,20,22	looks 19:23 37:9	26:17 27:6	19:6 73:25	ministers 59:20	narrow 96:1	notwithstanding
22:10,12 23:9	LORD 1:3 3:12	39:13 49:3,12	memorandum	64:18	natural 93:17	5:20 Name has 51:24
23:12 34:21	6:19 7:2,13,18	49:13 54:17,22	3:21 75:25 78:14	minute 34:14,15	naturally 59:12	November 51:24
36:22,24 37:1	11:2,6 15:1,4,8	55:1,11,16		46:2	90:22 93:19	73:19,22 79:8
39:19 43:5 46:19 47:10,17	15:12,14,16,20 15:22 22:10,12	73:4 79:21	memory 80:15 84:21 88:6	minuted 5:1 minutes 1:8,12	nature 32:1	number 1:7 40:14 45:23
		87:17 95:22			near 69:24	
49:5 54:16	23:9,12 34:21 36:22,24 37:1	materials 56:1	91:24 93:12	11:7,9 13:10 26:2,13 30:20	nearly 25:10	48:11 50:1
55:4,10,14,20		72:19	memos 3:16		46:4 57:18	51:7,10 58:8
56:13,16 57:10 57:13 61:21,24	39:19 43:5 46:19 47:10,17	matter 5:21 26:5	mention 9:8 21:20 22:3	30:25 31:5 33:18 34:13,14	necessarily 82:3	61:14,20 65:25 67:1,17
65:11,19,21,25	49:5 54:16	47:7 90:10,11	mentioned 15:1	34:14,15,16	85:22 89:13	numbering
68:20,23 69:10	55:4,10,14,20	matters 48:6 82:2	15:4 71:4	43:9 47:22	92:23	40:25
73:1,12,14	56:13,16 57:10	82:2 Matthew 15:5	83:20 84:13,17	48:16 83:4	necessary 43:5 need 5:13 6:14	numbers 49:24
85:24 86:14,17	57:13 61:21,24	mean 11:15 14:9	87:2	84:19	18:21 20:16	56:23
97:2,6	65:11,19,21,25	16:5 17:9 20:4	mentions 76:3	missing 27:3	21:21 22:24	50.25
Lewis 50:9	68:20,23 69:10	28:25 29:23	merger 52:3	mistrust 23:16	52:24 66:20	0
liaising 16:7 59:8	73:1,12,14	31:22 39:7,11	75:13 76:15	23:25	69:10 86:10,12	objective 1:15
licence 37:16	85:24 86:14,17	39:19 47:14,17	80:2 91:4	mitigate 16:20	92:23	9:16
lieu 35:22	97:2,6	54:25 66:11	merits 79:1 96:9	mitigated 17:4	needed 3:17	objectives 9:4,15
light 28:10,21,24	lot 3:6,16 4:8	70:19,22 73:3	message 13:14	Mm 8:2 39:7	45:16 78:1	obligation 60:9
28:25 83:6	8:20 26:17	78:21 86:7	17:15 37:23	44:22 54:7	82:18 92:21	90:11
liked 58:14	35:1 39:2	87:5 88:25	51:5,11,18	Mm-hm 8:11	needs 8:8 18:4	obligations 62:9
likewise 58:15	48:20 58:10	meaning 3:1	52:18 66:8	mobile 24:22	75:19	obstacles 10:6
limited 49:3 81:4	67:23 82:7	37:18 72:24	72:12	43:15 64:22	negotiated 82:18	obtained 54:4
line 22:3 56:3	lots 13:18 40:8	means 9:15	messages 13:6	66:8	negotiating	obtains 64:17
61:3,4,6 75:15	85:25 86:11	13:24	14:6 26:7	model 60:7	35:15	obvious 13:22
lines 27:22 31:14	love 25:9	meant 85:6,15	34:18 49:11,22	MOD300002606	Neither 43:5	22:4 55:15
37:20 71:8	lower 13:17	86:20,21 88:25	51:12,19 64:23	48:3	neutral 28:21	56:17 60:4
72:11	loyal 77:11	89:14 90:7	met 20:13 38:11	moment 21:19	never 38:17 50:7	82:12
1	1				20.27 20.7	- ·

Page	1	ი 3	

						Page 103
	101640	15.20.10.10	0 < 12 00 20 25		l 50.5	
obviously 7:21	once 4:21 64:8	17:20 19:10	86:12 90:20,25	53:12,17 75:1	positives 78:5	principles 28:8
16:12 44:13	ones 40:8 83:4	20:18,20,22,25	91:4 92:13,24	78:23	possibilities 5:10	priorities 64:4
58:7 61:25 62:12 63:5,15	one-hour 37:14 one-line 76:8	23:7 24:14 25:3,20,20	party 22:20 57:23 87:17	plans 12:8 play 28:12 74:13	possibility 47:2 52:4	82:10 prioritise 55:7
65:6 67:23	ongoing 9:22	30:17 31:4,4	89:17,18 91:2	please 38:4 55:19	possible 25:12	prioriuse 33.7 pris 32:15 33:8
68:16 71:3,7	14:12 24:1	33:15,25 35:19	91:11,22 94:11	55:23 56:23	27:1 38:16	33:13
71:23 76:7,20	38:24 49:1	40:25 42:4	pass 23:9 73:1	59:3 60:17	39:7 40:7 46:9	private 29:11
82:11 86:10	online 68:16	43:2 44:13	passage 53:23	90:17	possible/helpful	65:15,21,23
87:7,8 89:4	open 2:8 18:18	45:2,18,25,25	passed 87:18	plenty 16:20	52:21	66:3
90:25 91:3	86:14 88:23	49:24 56:22,24	Paul 37:25	plethora 91:15	possibly 28:22	privately 41:22
92:23 95:12	89:1,8,12 90:5	56:25 59:4,4	paying 28:10,23	plurality 8:18	43:4,22 53:22	48:10 74:24
occasion 50:24	opened 35:4	59:17,18 60:8	people 5:20	10:14 17:4	64:23 76:20	77:17 81:7
66:15	opening 35:25	63:14 64:13,13	11:18 16:15,21	20:12 36:8	78:21 79:22	probably 1:11
occasions 63:4	operator 75:4	69:2 71:14	22:19 55:7	52:11 71:18	83:4 91:12	2:16 3:16 4:4
83:22 84:25	opine 53:17	73:21 79:21	57:16 61:24,25	75:8,13 76:6	potential 16:20	5:8 6:13 13:2,3
occupy 62:6	opinion 5:25	81:13 84:2	68:21 81:23	76:16,22,23	82:13	13:7,21 14:14
occurred 74:21 October 71:16	60:20 79:15	pages 26:16 42:17	82:14 91:7 96:19	79:2 85:8 89:6 90:8 94:24	potentially 82:14 powerful 72:1	14:22 17:14,17
odd 66:15 95:2	80:11,12 96:19 96:21	painfully 38:20	perceived 62:16	95:5,13 96:5	powerful 72:1 powers 39:5	17:18 18:8,12 22:2,11 23:22
Ofcom 5:19 6:10	opinions 5:25	Palker 15:12	perceived 62.16	pm 1:2 49:7,9	practice 94:16	23:24 24:2
13:20 23:16,25	96:20	paper 75:5	30:11	97:8	practices 48:9	28:3 29:3,14
23:25 29:3,8	opponents 83:5	paragraph 2:7	perfectly 55:4	poetic 37:16	precede 19:11	29:24 30:14
29:19 31:24	opponent's	56:8 57:15	perform 76:24	point 10:21	precise 3:19	31:3 34:19
32:6 43:21	19:13	58:3 59:17	performance	11:23 24:18	62:15	37:6,7,12
52:4 74:20,23	opposed 55:10	60:8,15 61:12	61:11 68:14	28:12 38:8	precludes 79:24	38:11,24 41:16
75:18 83:5	73:14 86:5	62:14 63:13,19	period 36:6 58:2	39:21,22,23	predicts 4:12	41:19 48:21,23
89:20 92:5,10	oppose/influence	64:11,11,12	58:21	40:1 41:14	6:20	48:24 65:7
Ofcom's 28:1	53:2	67:16 68:15,19	periods 82:7	42:2,12 49:10	preference 77:13	66:4 72:10
29:17 offer 48:25 79:14	opposition 4:22 4:25 6:2 9:12	68:25 76:3 81:13 84:2,11	Permanent 60:18,21 61:8	49:24 55:5 66:18 70:16,19	prepare 1:9,14 1:19 21:5	81:10 82:12 problem 71:9
offered 73:9	53:21 57:4	84:19 87:1	61:16 62:3	77:23 78:7,22	34:24	72:22 76:2
office 24:23 65:3	59:11 62:25	88:19 90:17	63:17 64:7	93:5,11	preparing 66:14	78:3
65:15,15,16,21	88:5	94:7	81:17 82:22,24	pointed 45:7	present 83:1,3	problems 16:20
65:24	option 40:7	paragraphs	83:21 93:13	71:21	92:11	17:3 77:9
official 60:10	order 42:21	64:12 68:23	person 6:19,22	pointing 78:4	presentation	proceed 40:19
81:18,18 83:15	45:11 55:17	paraphrasing	15:6 36:20	points 2:5 68:13	20:8,11	53:7
83:23 84:22	ordinarily 94:20	19:13	52:22	87:13 92:23	presented 6:15	proceeded 94:19
officials 21:20,25	ordinary 89:18	Parliament	personal 27:4	polarised 96:21	9:7	94:20
29:7,14 31:19	organisation	12:18 16:10	60:17 70:1	police 52:23	presenting 8:19	process 2:10
60:22 61:8,17	32:8	17:22,25 34:4	73:23,23 77:15	53:12	13:24 20:5	3:11,17 6:10
66:19 67:10,11 81:22 83:20,25	organisations 67:20,23 69:24	35:23 53:1,6 53:14,16,23	77:16 85:11 personally 30:6	policies 58:1 59:9 79:1	press 35:1 59:9 63:1	7:11 8:8,14 9:9 14:15 20:5
84:3,4,10,12	organised 46:22	65:8	60:25	policy 58:18,23	pressure 55:6	23:6 24:9
oft 5:19 21:21	Osborne 52:2,13	Parliamentary	persuade 53:24	63:2,14,20,21	presumably 35:8	29:19 34:6
22:4,9 25:4	Osborne's 51:21	57:3	persuasive 72:7	63:21 75:1,24	66:22 82:21	38:18,19 39:3
29:19 35:14	ought 12:22 49:5	part 19:2 28:12	72:13	78:10,16,24	pretty 24:13,13	44:3 52:4,24
89:20 92:5,11	55:11,14	28:15 38:12	petitions 40:16	81:22 83:14	72:17 74:19	62:4 71:12
OFT/Ofcom	outcome 8:7 9:1	44:21 54:18,22	philosophy	85:9,13 94:14	prevent 18:4	77:10,11,11,25
24:15,18	9:14 28:14	62:10,10 79:5	58:23	94:20 95:7,17	19:7	84:20 85:17,21
oh 13:11 36:19	outfit 32:12	80:6	phone 7:21 33:17	political 8:8,23	preview 36:5	86:9 87:22
39:15 42:18	outside 15:19,20	parti 32:15 33:8 33:12	43:15 48:8	10:6 58:24	47:12	89:15 92:22
46:12 53:4 70:19	67:20,23 86:3 overextrapolat	particular 23:5	50:2 52:22 53:20 64:23	63:17 76:25 77:1,13 82:17	previous 3:25 11:5 25:6	93:22 95:14,17 95:20
okay 1:22 8:2	43:23	46:8 55:3	66:8 87:21	85:9 95:8 96:2	80:23 85:3	produced 71:14
11:20 19:4,9	Overy 15:21,23	58:25 60:1	phrase 5:9,10	96:3	88:13 90:1	project 64:3
19:23 24:14	16:2,7 36:12	72:22 74:10	18:14 86:19,20	politically 8:16	previously 76:2	95:16
25:19 31:4	36:20	76:2 79:1 80:5	phraseology	33:1	88:3	projects 95:16
33:14,14,24	o'clock 3:23 11:3	86:8,22 88:2	4:24 5:5	position 1:25	pre-notification	pronoun 7:7
36:17,21 37:12	26:24 35:1	88:15 93:2	picture 35:18	2:18,19 6:24	12:17	properly 2:6 9:7
40:25 42:2,12	36:16 37:10	94:13	piece 3:5 79:19	13:22 38:2	pre-21 69:13	protect 87:19
42:22 43:2		particularly 26:7	80:5	44:24 48:11	price 28:9,23	provide 1:18
50:8 54:2,15	P	48:7 68:4	pincer 82:15	62:7 80:19	35:8	2:10 22:24
64:10 84:1 old 57:11	page 1:6,22 3:6	69:16,22 70:17 93:1 96:7,12	pipe 87:16	90:22 96:8,10 96:23	primarily 59:8 Prime 51:9 73:20	33:20 52:10 61:14
Oliver 50:1,12	3:22 10:23,23 12:5 14:2 16:9	parties 12:18	pitfalls 82:13,18 place 19:14,21	90:23 positions 57:18	73:25 77:18	provided 47:25
50:18			20:1,3,6 50:15	positive 76:11	78:15	49:11,14 55:25
20.10	16'18 17'13 13	41:10 00:5.10	$\Delta(I, I_{*}, I_{*}, I_{*}) \cdot (I_{*}, I_{*})$			47.11.14 11.7.1
	16:18 17:13,13	41:18 86:3,10	20.1,3,0 30.13	positive 70.11	76.13	49.11,14 33.23

						Page 104
	I	l	I	I	l	I
59:15 63:9	95:3,7,8 96:1	51:12,19	regulatory 15:10	8:3 24:10	53:23	63:17
72:19 87:17	questioning	rebuffs 45:6	15:11 60:5	represents 7:5	Rubicon 47:15	section 62:22
provides 69:2	86:18	rebuttal 49:12	80:18	75:15	rumour 50:5	sections 57:23
providing 5:4,17	questions 55:22	49:17	relate 91:10	request 1:8,13	rumoured 35:6	sector 73:8 75:11
21:24 87:12	95:3,4	recall 34:19 72:7	related 20:8 54:8	2:19 81:4,6	run 1:5 49:23	76:13
95:12	quick 23:5 52:20	72:8,9 80:14	relates 13:14	requests 24:13	running 2:11,16	secure 9:17
public 4:9 14:18	quickly 13:15	receipt 3:5	16:9 87:1	require 63:5	2:16,21,22 3:5	secured 33:4
21:5 23:5	14:14 35:10	receive 22:8	relating 71:17	required 64:5	3:7,10,13,14	see 2:2,7,13 3:6
29:20 35:12	49:24 55:12	73:10 89:12	relation 25:6	82:21	66:21	4:9,11 5:5
38:16 46:9	quite 11:21	received 29:9	34:12 67:5,19	requirements	run-up 58:2	10:13 12:6
54:19 55:11	18:15,16 21:12	45:6 60:12	82:25 85:4	85:17	67:14	13:17 16:1
57:2 71:2,7	21:13 46:22	receiving 87:23	87:10	requires 90:12	Rupert 51:25	19:15,19 21:17
76:24 80:1,23	49:23 57:16	89:10	relationship	Researcher 57:3	Rupert 51.25	23:4 25:8,21
81:4,8	58:8,10,11	recognise 33:15	23:16,24 24:4	researches 27:16	S	26:8 27:5,11
publication 6:9	59:5 63:15	48:24	44:1 58:4,6	resided 79:10		33:22 34:17
66:23	65:6 67:13	recognised 89:2	69:18 92:12,13	resides 60:16	sad 53:7	36:10 37:20
					satisfied 9:10	
publicly 4:21	74:1 80:16	recognising	95:20	respect 9:10	save 92:9	38:6,15,19
11:14 12:3	81:20,23 82:6	77:25	relationships	10:11	saw 70:13 72:5	39:7,21,22,23
72:3 77:20	82:14 83:7	recollection	90:19	response 24:12	79:18	40:6,22 41:13
published 16:16	89:7,8 96:14	84:18	relayed 30:16	responses 68:24	saying 5:20 16:1	41:14,14,15
16:22	quote 28:2 36:7	record 54:18,23	release 35:22	responsibility	18:20 24:25	46:9,22 47:4
publishing 35:11	quotes 27:25	recording 30:10	relevant 16:18	60:15 80:17	54:19 59:23	52:8 56:5
punch 13:17	quoting 28:4	records 24:22	19:11 26:15,15	81:13	72:9 75:18	60:13,18 63:19
14:3		recruited 61:1	27:3 35:14	responsible	76:12,17 89:24	68:2 70:4,18
punitive 45:17	R	redacted 71:20	43:9 58:18,21	60:21 62:9	says 16:13 17:20	70:22 71:9,22
pure 40:8	raise 2:15 52:14	redactions 3:18	59:15 67:4	rest 54:25 68:16	17:23 19:22	72:2 76:2 77:9
purely 37:25	92:16	35:2 92:22	79:9 95:5	result 75:8,24	22:13 25:16	78:11 79:8
purpose 43:6	raised 7:18 39:2	redraft 74:8	remain 82:9	78:10,24	26:20 28:22	81:14 88:8
79:3	ramifications	refer 6:17,20	remained 57:18	return 52:14	29:14 37:6	91:14 93:5
put 6:5,16 9:21	8:23	8:15 13:12	remaining 9:17	reveal 27:16	51:11 74:4,18	95:10 96:14
11:22 12:16,23	ran 25:24	23:24 24:15	10:8	revealing 26:8	84:20	seeing 25:14
13:15 25:5	rapidly 80:16	37:14 39:5,23	remarks 89:4	72:25	schedule 18:11	79:13 80:5
27:25 28:20	rationale 32:10	58:4 59:16	remedy 5:4,17	revelation 77:16	19:2	seen 3:15 23:2
29:4 38:3,5	38:15 40:18	60:7 67:19	5:18 6:15 8:17	review 10:7	second 2:7 31:6	47:24 52:15
40:15 41:12	reach 58:21	80:1 93:2	8:20 16:12	38:14 39:13	46:3 65:14	53:13 69:21
42:20 47:4	reaching 77:23	reference 7:15	28:20	reviewed 54:12	68:24 77:3	71:3 78:19
48:13,21 54:2	read 5:16 13:25	14:2 16:12	remember 13:8	Richards 92:7	seconds 11:7,9	84:16,19 91:9
54:10,14,17	44:6 55:1	17:15 20:3	18:11 25:11	ridiculous 26:25	13:5 24:19	semi-automati
55:6,16 56:2	64:11 68:17	23:5,15 27:7	28:5 36:3 39:2	right 1:16 2:5	26:2,14 30:20	90:21
68:16 70:10	95:21	32:22 37:22	40:16 48:1	4:4 5:24 14:23	31:1 34:15,16	send 1:24 21:4
75:12 76:15	reading 80:6	38:14 46:8	71:1,4 72:17	15:8 16:1	43:9	37:2 52:2 73:9
90:5 91:1	96:6	56:8 63:8	74:6,11,17	22:18 24:8	second-guess	73:11 74:9
putting 5:11 17:7	ready 34:24	referral 74:19	79:13 80:5,6,7	35:6,16 49:20	71:10 72:23	89:17 92:25
28:21 55:16	real 76:18	referred 5:21	83:3,6,12 84:9	50:18 51:3,22	second-guessing	sends 73:22
56:18 94:17	really 20:15	54:23 72:6	84:23 86:25	53:14,17 56:24	71:10	senior 52:21 61:8
23.10 / 1.11	21:23 26:8	80:24	89:22,24 91:12	57:8,19,20	second-judge	61:25
0	32:9 61:6 69:3	referring 2:16	91:25	59:10,23 60:3	77:10	sense 37:11
qualify 3:9	69:18 77:8	7:24	remembered	63:9,25 67:22	secretaries 65:23	61:10 63:9
qualifying 13:19	82:12 83:19	refers 43:19	81:1	82:11 86:7	66:3 67:24	90:1 95:2
quality 72:19	84:2 90:18	reflect 30:13,14	repeat 75:2	87:4 92:1	Secretary 1:19	sensible 75:12
1	91:12 92:20	31:23	replies 21:18	rightly 10:9	1:24 2:8 5:2	76:15
quantity 91:15		reflected 6:5	reply 71:25	right-hand 49:16		sent 2:4 3:22
91:18	94:13,17,18	18:6 42:14	report 6:9 75:18	rigid 38:20	6:17,24 7:4,6,9	21:2 25:20
quarter 77:21	95:4,7,10 96:7	reflecting 6:13	report 6.9 75.18	ring 93:1	7:25 8:4,16,19	26:23 31:7
quasi 84:22	realm 81:25	44:18,23	61:7 70:14	rise 35:8	9:23 30:6	42:8,8 43:11
quasi-judicial	reason 25:2,9	reflects 32:5	reporting 15:6	role 15:6 16:8	38:10 39:4	46:4 49:25
59:20,24 84:13	31:22 38:10				57:6 60:18,21	
84:16,24 85:19	40:11 42:1	regarded 91:11	representation	57:24 59:20,24	61:1,9,15,16	51:7 52:18
85:23 86:9	44:9 96:18	regarding 6:8	52:9 89:16	60:18,19 62:16	62:3 64:7	61:19 71:16
87:3,6 92:18	reasonable 9:18	43:20 48:20	90:5	87:10,12 88:3	68:25 69:2,4,7	73:20 78:14
94:16,23	reasonably	Regardless 3:2	representations	90:19 93:20	79:11,17,24,25	93:2,24
queried 38:25	41:24	regards 63:13	2:9 39:4,25	94:3,5 95:19	80:9,10 81:17	sentence 3:1 4:12
question 5:9 7:19	reasons 13:22	regular 64:16	45:16 79:25	roles 59:12	81:17 82:21,22	4:19 6:7 20:3
7:20 9:25 12:1	38:1 44:12	regularly 65:6	89:3,9,10,20	room 17:21,25	82:25 83:21	27:10 30:10
24:20 46:10	45:23 60:4	regulators 9:23	represented	rooms 5:24	85:4,12 91:8	31:14 37:19
52:20 54:2	reassurance 10:5	32:18 71:10,11	40:12	Round 61:24	93:13	38:17 39:8
57:11 91:14	Rebekah 47:25	72:23 76:21	representing 8:3	roundabout	Secretary's	48:7,20 76:9
	I	l	I	I	l	l

Separate 23.3 Smith 18.4:1.15 Space 18.4.2 Space 18.4							Page 105
separate 23.3 42.05 12.04 1.05 1.0		Ī	Ī	İ	Ī		Ī
53:1	78:8 89:23	Smith 1:8 4:1,15	space 18:4,21		68:5 84:22		54:15,16 55:18
seriously-from 1		4:20 5:12 6:4	SpAd 69:6	69:2,4,7 79:11			55:20 56:12,20
serious/personal 019 1110,17 06012,17 8012 82.22 85.41 2 82.22 82.23 13.21 83.13 83.2 82.23 83.14 83.14 161.2 83.17 24.14 83.14 83.14 161.2 83.17 24.14 83.14							
servant 62:0				· ·			
servant 62:10					00	0	· /
servants 60:24 21:18 22:22 23:18 30:1 statement 12:14 summer 42:7 71:5 80:14 32:24 40:26:28 65:22 23:17 3:14 59:51:16 51:17 3:17 31:18 16:10 Sunday 3:23 tape 8:21 17:8 29:21 tape 8:21:17:8 29:21 17:8 29:21 tape 8:21:17:8 29:22 17:8 29:21 tape 8:21:1 30:13 3:13 56:18 57:12 50:18 57:12 57:18 48:10:20 55:51 48:81:2 22:23 3:18 30:19 3:20 46:15 53:20 60:15 57:11 57:18 88:11:20 57:18 48:10:20 55:28 56:11.2 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 46:15 57:12 47:18 57:14 14 47:18 48:20 47:18 48:20 47:18 48:20							
66:22							-
665:22 service 62.7 s							
Service 02:7					,		
set 16/23 18:1 31:11 61:12 34:73 53:4 special 51:21 19:18 24:17 supervening temal (4:21 15:1) db(m)(3):14 (6:1) 45:24 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 30:15 46:14 42:2.8 46:14 42:2.8 46:17 65:16 55:25 56:34 46:17 65:16 55:25 56:34 55:25 56:34 55:25 56:34 55:25 56:34 55:24 56:16.21 55:24 56:16.21 55:24 56:16.21 55:24 56:16.21 55:24 56:16.21 55:25 56:34 48:35 91:23 59:37 18:18:16.20 55:27 56:34 88:20 92:21 44:18 41:15:11 44:18 18:13 44:18 41:15:1 44:18 41:15:1 44:18 41:15:1 44:18 41:15:1 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 41:15:1 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 45:24 58:25:25 56:34.8 45:24 58:25 56:34.8 88:20 92:25 90:17:20 45:14 18:15:11 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:18 18:13 44:							
3311 61:12 setting 125 8-9 seven 3318							
setting 1:25 8:9 seven 33:18 Shadow 57:6 shaye \$2:15 share 57:8 41:21 share 57:16 68:20 share 57:10 68:13 short 1:5 48:19 493:15.16 27:24 57:10 68:13 short 1:5 43:19 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:15.17 58.17 108 493:18.17 58.17 108 493:18.17 593:18 593:1							
seven 33:18					supervisory		
shape 82:15 share 35:84 1:21 share 39:17 10:8		40:6,10 41:5	60:23,25 61:18	55:25 56:3,4		55:7 57:14,15	54:13 81:3
shared 93.15.16	Shadow 57:6	41:11 42:2,8	62:1,8,13,16	56:18 57:15	support 52:7	57:18 81:16,20	85:25 86:11,12
Shared 93,15,16 48.5 49/12,14 81.19 882,14 78.13 71.6 77.22,24 90.10 91.6 21.6 31.4,15 21.92 91.8 27.24 27.25 27.24 27.25 27.24 27.25 27.24 27.25 27	shape 82:15	42:23 43:21	62:24 63:1	59:17 61:12	57:22 69:3	teams 44:2 58:8	88:20 92:21
229.89-17.20		· ·	64:17 65:16		supportive 17:11	technical 1:20	think 1:11,17,19
shares 9:17 10:8 55:24 56:16:21 specific 16:5 statutory 90:11 20:12:7:14 21:22:23 58:63:45:8:14 shed 83:5 66:67:32:2 59:3 specifically 8:6 66:67:32:2 59:3 specifically 8:6 80:21 81:1,4 30:25 31:10 26:93:41:21 21:22:29:18 37:15 64:22 37:15 64:22 12:13:23:3 58:64:8 8:14 22:32:41:22 17:33:33 58:64:18:12 26:13:21:21 30:25 70:16 80:21 81:1,4 64:16 66:11,19 66:40 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:11,19 66:40 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:11,19 66:40 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:11,19 66:54 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:11,19 66:40 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:11,19 66:40 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:11,19 66:40 14:11,21 16:1 46:16 66:14,19 66:14 46:17 53:13 77:15 84:7 47:14,19 18:10 66:16 71:33 47:14,19 18:10 80:21 81:1,4 47:14 19:19 19:10 48:18 18:22 47:14,19 18:10 48:16 63:14 47:14 19:19 19:10 48:18 18:22 47:14 19:19 19:10 48:18 18:22 47:14 19:19 19:10 48:18 18:12 48:18 18:12 48:18	1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·				,
27:24 57:10 63:13 63:3,5,6 89:14 95:3 short 1:5 43:19 92:17 93:6 82:29 131 2:09 61:19 24:17 63:25 70:16 82:29 131 2:09 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 64:16 66:11,19 65:4 14:11,21:16 16:40 16:19 24:17 82:29 13:29 87:10	· ·						
shed 83:5 66:673:2 95:3 stay 14:24 33:12 30:25 31:10 26:9 34:12,12 12:13.25 18:18 498:8 55:17 Smith's 5:10 Specifically 8:6 Stephens 80:19 80:21 81:1.4 77:15 84:17 46:16 66:11,19 65:4 16:17 17:3,7 16:17		,					
short 15: 43:19 99:217 93:6 specifically 8:6 8:29:13 20:9 80:21 81:1.4 33:21 40:5 57:15 64:22 13:24 14:8.9 42:12 16:11 15:4 19 8:29:13 20:2 80:21 81:1.4 46:16 66:11.9 57:15 64:22 13:24 14:8.9 13:12 16:1 15:4 19 90:4 16:19 24:17 17:5 84:7 16:19 24:17 37:15 64:22 13:24 14:8.9 33:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15 3:15							
## A special s							
shorthand 49:6 16:19 24:17 63:25 70:16 stopper 27:23 77:15 84:7 television 70:3 16:17 17:3,7 show 18:12 31:2 70:11 32:22 29:18 47:2,5 54:25 87:1 straight framen 95:21 tell 18:22 17:14,19 18:10 18:18 19:62.1 18:18 19:18.1 18:18 19:18.1							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
show 18:12 38:2 42:12 7:15 84:17 straight 47:19 95:21 87:19 90:4 tell 18:22 17:14,19 18:10 31:5 70:11 Smith/Jeremy showing 27:16 Smith/Jeremy showing 27:16 Smith/Jeremy speeches 58:11 speeches 58:11 straight 47:19 95:21 supposed 29:8 sure 2:3 5:15 tell 18:22 17:14,19 18:10 12:10:10 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 18:18 19:6:21 20:22 21:10,11 20:22 21:10,							· /
21:22 29:18 31:5 70:11 Smith/Jeremy specches 58:11 spent 58:10 spoke 1:11 51:25 spoke 1:11							,
31:5 70:11 Showing 27:16 Shows 20:24 sneak 47:12 spent 58:10							
showing 27:16 52:11 spent 88:10 strategy 15:7 sure 2:3 5:15 tells 7:5 21:25 22:17 30:20 social 50:24 51:1 spoke 1:11 51:25 spoke 1:11						U	
shows 20:24 sneak 47:12 social 50:24 51:1 social 50:24 51:1 social 50:24 51:1 social 50:24 51:1 spoke 1:11 51:25 spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke 1:11 51:25 spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spoke 1:11 51:25 spoke spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spoke spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spoke 1:11 51:35:20 spoke spok							
side 21:11 23:19 somebody 46:20 spokes 66:22 strictly 7:7 71:23 strictly 7:7 71:23 18:2 26:19 28:13 29:22 tended 69:20 tendency 96:19 28:4,15 29:13 26:34 27:12 28:13 29:22 tendency 96:19 tendenc		sneak 47:12	split 63:1		6:16 7:13	temporary 60:24	23:22 24:2,9
49:16,17 53:13 79:6 86:5 57:11 62:7 spokesman 6:16 8:18 13:19 66:20 87:10 51:9 52:13 8:1017 11:2 signature 56:4 11:15 13:11,12 staff 51:23 57:5 53:20 96:20 87:10 51:9 24:13 28:20 47:10 48:15 73:16 75:12 significant 50:25 13:13 15:3 58:15 58:15 53:20 96:20 86:1 10:13,17 36:6 10:13,17	30:20	social 50:24 51:1	spoke 1:11 51:25	strictest 61:10	13:16,23 15:24		24:11 25:15
49:16,17 53:13 somewhat 45:5 53:20 6:16 8:18 31:21 32:19 tension 95:11 30:13,14 32:16 96:20 87:10 spokeswoman 10:13,20 17:5 40:10 48:15 tension 95:11 32:20 33:10,23 sight 2:1 5:8 10:17 11:2 spotted 19:1 spot 19:1 spot 19:1 spot 19:1 32:10:23 spot 19:1 spot 19:1 spot 19:1 32:10:23	side 21:11 23:19	somebody 46:20	spoken 66:22		18:2 26:19	tended 69:20	26:3,4 27:12
79:6 86:5 57:11 62:7 spokeswoman 10:13,20 17:5 40:10 43:16,18 tenth 54:24 32:20 33:10,23 siding 40:21 stry 3:12 4:6 spotted 19:1						•	,
96:20 87:10 sorry 3:12 4:6 51:9 24:13 28:20 47:10 48:15 tenure 62:23 35:9,23 36:1,2 sight 2:1 58 10:17 11:2 squiggles 12:10 sal 0:17 11:2 squiggles 12:10 sal 3:25 48:23 76:14 78:23 sal 2:1 sal 2:22 4term 3:8 85:2.5 38:5,22,24 term 3:8 85:2.5 38:5,22,4 term 3:8 85:2.5 38:5,22,4 term 3:8 85:2.5 38:5,22,4 term 3:8 85:2.5 38:5,22,4 42:10,12 42:10,12 39:3 39:13 41:14 42:10,12 39:3 39:15 42:10,12 39:3 39:15 42:20,17 42:20 <	· ·						
siding 40:21 sorry 3:12 4:6 (sight 2:1) spotted 19:1 (signature 56:4) 29:25 30:18,25 (32:5 48:23) 73:16 75:12 (75:14) term 33:8 85:2.5 (39:14) 38:5,22,24 (10:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:19 40:14,23 (39:14) 39:17 36:6 (41) 41:7 42:10,12 (41) 42:20 43:3,11 (41) 41:7 42:10,12 (41) 42:20 43:3,11 (41) 41:7 42:10,12 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (41) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 42:20 43:3,11 (42) 44:31 (42)							
sight 2:1 5:8 10:17 11:2 signature 56:4 5:8 10:17 11:2 staff 51:23 57:5 squiggles 12:10 staff 51:23 57:5 32:5 48:23 stoggly 43:22 strongly 43:22 strongly 43:22 strongly 43:22 strongly 43:22 strongly 43:22 structured 8:18 struct							
signature 56:4 11:15 13:11,12 staff 51:23 57:5 53:20 96:20 86:1 10:13,17 36:6 41:7 42:10,12 significant 50:25 13:13 15:3 58:15 strongly 43:22 Surely 91:10 39:3 57:14 42:20 43:3,11 42:20 43:3,11 42:20 43:3,11 42:20 43:3,11 42:20 43:3,11 42:20 43:3,11 42:20 43:3,11 42:10,12 44:14,24 44:2 42:14,24 44:2 52:22 surprise 5:5 61:3 63:6,12 43:14,24 44:2 44:8,12,18 44:11 44:12 44:11 44:12 44:12 44:12 44:12 44:12 44:11 44:12 44:14 44:15,24,3 44:14 44:18 44:1 44:14 44:18 44:1 44:14 44:18 44:1 44:14 4		•	*			,	, ,
significant 50:25 13:13 15:3 58:15 strongly 43:22 Surely 91:10 39:3 57:14 42:20 43:3,11 66:16 67:2,3 18:10 26:3 stage 8:14 9:22 52:22 surprise 5:5 61:3 63:6,12 43:14,24 44:2 83:1 39:15 42:16 19:8 21:7,17 structured 8:18 surprise 5:5 64:10 65:1 44:8,12,18 simply 48:13 53:4,5 26:6 39:1 47:4 studied 57:2 94:5 91:4,17 92:12 48:12,15,20,23 simply 48:13 sort 3:19 11:16 58:7 76:20 subjective 31:24 supprising 8:12 92:17,18 49:5 50:7,17 65:19 14:15 24:3 stages 3:18 85:1 subjective 31:24 supprising 8:12 92:17,18 49:5 50:7,17 single 82:1 83:12 32:21 33:11 stand 29:16 21:10 41:3,19 suprising 8:12 92:17,18 49:5 50:7,17 sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 standerd 42:6 submissions 40:4 surround 20:2 surround 20:2 17:23 18:6,10 69:52,02.5 sit 26:12 21 58:25 61:7,99 58:24 subsequently 33:19 19:16 20:21,25 70:34,8,	U						
66:16 67:2,3 67:13 78:7 18:10 26:3 29:4 36:3,19 stage 8:14 9:22 12:14 18:5 structural 17:5 structural	_					,	,
67:13 78:7 29:4 36:3,19 12:14 18:5 structural 17:5 12:13,20 64:10 65:1 44:8,12,18 smilar 76:1 81:2 39:15 42:16 19:8 21:7,17 structured 8:18	U			0.	·		,
83:1 39:15 42:16 19:8 21:7,17 structured 8:18 stuck 71:11 surprised 5:16 75:1 76:24 45:8,22 46:17 94:13 53:4,5 26:6 39:1 47:4 stuck 71:11 stuck 71:11 8:21 93:21 77:12 87:10 46:25 47:7,19 65:19 14:15 24:3 stages 3:18 85:1 studjective 31:24 supprising 8:12 92:17,18 49:5 50:7,17 65:19 14:15 24:3 stages 3:18 85:1 stand 29:16 39:18 surprising 8:12 92:17,18 49:5 50:7,17 single 82:1 83:12 32:21 33:11 stand 29:16 31:13 submission 39:18 text 13:6,14 14:6 52:4,17,20 sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 standard 42:6 submissions 40:4 surround 20:2 17:23 18:6,10 69:5,20,25 sit 26:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 58:24 subsequently 33:19 19:16 20:21,25 70:3,48,15,19 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 27:16 24:24 26:7 73:8,16,20 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 54:11 75:16 21:15<					_	,	
similar 76:1 81:2 94:13 46:12,12 48:22 53:4,5 24:4,6 25:5 26:6 39:1 47:4 5tddied 57:2 53:4,5 stuck 71:11 stddied 57:2 94:5 8:21 93:21 94:5 77:12 87:10 94:7,19 48:12,15,20,23 46:25 47:7,19 48:12,15,20,23 simply 48:13 simply 48:13 single 82:1 83:12 single 82:1 83:12 single 82:1 83:12 32:21 33:11 stand 29:16 41:18 44:1 41:18 44:1 41:18 44:1 41:18 44:1 41:18 44:1 53:13 standard 42:6 sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 57:24 58:9,12 57:24 58:9,12 57:24 58:9,12 57:24 58:9,12 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 51:30 58:24 58:30 58:30 58:30 58:30 58:30 58:30 58:30 58:30 58:46;76:7 79:2 54:30 58:31 58:31 54:13 28:1 54:30 58:31 58:31 54:13 28:1 54:30 58:31 58:31 54:13 58:31 56:6 57:16 50:13 58:46:23 57:25 54:17 55:23 70:24 58:31 54:13 58:31 54:13 58:31 54:13 58:31					· ·		
simply 48:13 sort 3:19 11:16 58:7 76:20 subjective 31:24 submission surprising 8:12 39:18 92:17,18 text 13:6,14 14:6 49:5 50:7,17 65:19 32:21 33:11 stages 3:18 85:1 stand 29:16 31:13 stand 29:16 39:18 text 13:6,14 14:6 52:4,17,20 sir 3:14 47:14 96:25 48:21 53:8 standard 42:6 standpoints standpoints submissions 40:4 40:15,24 surround 20:2 surround 20:2 surrounding 17:23 18:6,10 69:5,20,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 69:5,20,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 58:24 subsequently 33:19 19:16 20:21,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 19:16 20:21,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 70:17 70:3,6,12 70:17 70:3,6,12	similar 76:1 81:2						
65:19 14:15 24:3 stages 3:18 85:1 submission 39:18 text 13:6,14 14:6 52:4,17,20 single 82:1 83:12 32:21 33:11 stand 29:16 21:10 41:3,19 49:14 56:13 14:10 16:17,18 53:5,11 54:12 96:25 48:21 53:8 standard 42:6 submissions on standard 42:6 submissions standard 42:6 surround 20:2 17:23 18:6,10 69:5,20,25 sit action 30:9 58:25 61:7,99 58:24 standequently 33:19 33:19 19:16 20:21,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 startly 48:13 5:23 70:24 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 72:21,22,23 72:21,22,23 72:21,22,23 72:21,22,23 72:21,22,23 72:21,22,23 72:23,6,12 54:11 75:16 System 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 49:20 48:8,4,6,7 48:8 48:8 substantial 54:5 substantive 49:15 49:22,25 51:7 75:22 76:1,7,9 75:22 76:1,7,9 75:22 76:1,7,9 75:48 80:6,17 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 start s3:25 state 1:19,24 2:9 55:22 6:17,25 7:4 39	94:13	53:4,5	26:6 39:1 47:4	studied 57:2	94:5	91:4,17 92:12	48:12,15,20,23
single 82:1 83:12 32:21 33:11 stand 29:16 21:10 41:3,19 surprisingly 14:10 16:17,18 53:5,11 54:12 sir 3:14 47:14 41:18 44:1 31:13 standard 42:6 submissions 40:4 surround 20:2 17:23 18:6,10 69:5,20,25 sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 58:24 submissions 40:4 surrounding 18:11,20,24,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 startly 48:13 5:23 70:24 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 61:14 65:17 66:13 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 27:16 24:24 26:7 73:8,16,20 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:47, 83:19 82:47, 83:19 82:47, 83:19 91:15 T 53:4 64:23 77:5,9,23 78:2 510 glightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 start is 3:25 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:17 SOS 69:2	simply 48:13			· ·			49:5 50:7,17
sir 3:14 47:14 41:18 44:1 31:13 49:14 56:13 16:19 17:15,19 64:12 67:8,12 96:25 48:21 53:8 standard 42:6 submissions 40:4 surround 20:2 17:23 18:6,10 69:5,20,25 sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 standpoints 40:15,24 surrounding 33:19 19:16 20:21,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 starkly 48:13 5:23 70:24 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 61:14 65:17 66:13 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 27:16 24:24 26:7 73:8,16,20 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 Slaughter 21:4,8 44:6,8,21 85:8 starting 35:12 54:11 75:16 91:15 T 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 95:22 substantive take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 80:17 94:17 90:17 90:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:1			U			′	, ,
96:25 48:21 53:8 standard 42:6 submissions 40:4 surround 20:2 17:23 18:6,10 69:5,20,25 sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 standpoints 40:15,24 surrounding 18:11,20,24,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 starkly 48:13 5:23 70:24 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 61:14 65:17 66:13 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 27:16 24:24 26:7 73:8,16,20 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 54:11 75:16 54:11 75:16 53:4 64:23 77:5,9,23 78:2 Slaughter 21:4,8 84:6,8,21 85:8 starting 35:12 91:15 T 53:4 64:23 77:5,9,23 78:2 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 substantive 48:8 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 80:24 81:1 81ghtly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 sorted 92:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 2							· ·
sit 65:12,12 57:24 58:9,12 standpoints 40:15,24 surrounding 18:11,20,24,25 70:3,4,8,15,19 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 starkly 48:13 5:23 70:24 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 61:14 65:17 66:13 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 27:16 24:24 26:7 73:8,16,20 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 54:11 75:16							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
situation 30:9 58:25 61:7,9,9 58:24 subsequently 33:19 19:16 20:21,25 71:7 72:3,6,12 six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 starkly 48:13 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 54:11 75:16 ystem 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 Slaughter 21:4,8 84:6,8,21 85:8 starting 35:12 91:15 T 49:22,25 51:7 75:22 76:1,7,9 Slightly 53:18 85:10 88:4,6,7 48:8 substantive 95:22 take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 81:1 76:7 87:16 sorted 92:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 8:19 9:23 30:6 suffer 75:11 40:4 50:15 thank 11:6 19:9 89:13,14 90:3 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23							
six 26:2 27:22 62:23 64:3 starkly 48:13 5:23 70:24 Swan 27:7,11,15 21:2 22:23 72:21,22,23 Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 54:11 75:16 ystem 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 Slaughter 21:4,8 84:6,8,21 85:8 starting 35:12 91:15 T table 49:15 53:4 64:23 77:5,9,23 78:2 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 starts 33:25 substantive 95:22 take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 81:1 slightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 state 1:19,24 2:9 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 23:14 28:18 33:19 43:24 88:7,25 89:2,7 small 56:6 57:16 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5			_			, , ,	
61:14 65:17 66:13 start 1:3 28:1 74:1 27:16 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 54:11 75:16 91:15 T table 49:15 18:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 starts 33:25 start 1:19,24 2:9 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 small 56:6 57:16 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 27:16 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 75:22 76:1,7,9 91:15 T table 49:15 take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 81:1 80:							
Sky 32:8 52:2 68:7 76:7 79:2 56:21 substantial 54:5 system 67:18 34:11,18 49:10 74:12,23 75:13 54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 starting 35:12 91:15 T 49:22,25 51:7 75:22 76:1,7,9 Slaughter 21:4,8 41:3 49:13,16 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starting 35:12 91:15 T 53:4 64:23 77:5,9,23 78:2 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 substantive table 49:15 take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 81:1 81ightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 state 1:19,24 2:9 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 76:7 87:16 Sorted 92:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 23:14 28:18 33:19 43:24 88:7,25 89:2,7 small 56:6 57:16 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5			•				
54:8,10 75:17 82:4,7 83:19 started 27:15 54:11 75:16 ————————————————————————————————————							
Slaughter 21:4,8 84:6,8,21 85:8 starting 35:12 91:15 T table 49:15 53:4 64:23 77:5,9,23 78:2 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 95:22 take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 81:1 slightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 state 1:19,24 2:9 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 76:7 87:16 sorted 92:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 23:14 28:18 33:19 43:24 88:7,25 89:2,7 small 56:6 57:16 source 37:3 8:19 9:23 30:6 suffer 75:11 40:4 50:15 thank 11:6 19:9 89:13,14 90:3 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5							
41:3 49:13,16 85:10 88:4,6,7 48:8 substantive table 49:15 66:8 72:12 78:8 80:6,17 49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 starts 33:25 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 slightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 state 1:19,24 2:9 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 23:14 28:18 33:19 43:24 88:7,25 89:2,7 small 56:6 57:16 source 37:3 8:19 9:23 30:6 suffer 75:11 40:4 50:15 thank 11:6 19:9 89:13,14 90:3 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5					T	,	
49:20 88:8,9 89:5 starts 33:25 95:22 take 2:9 6:10 texts 19:11,16 80:24 81:1 slightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 state 1:19,24 2:9 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 76:7 87:16 sorted 92:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 23:14 28:18 33:19 43:24 88:7,25 89:2,7 small 56:6 57:16 source 37:3 8:19 9:23 30:6 suffer 75:11 40:4 50:15 thank 11:6 19:9 89:13,14 90:3 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5	· ·			substantive	table 49:15	66:8 72:12	
slightly 53:18 92:25 96:13,18 state 1:19,24 2:9 successful 38:15 7:14 8:20 9:11 20:19 21:1 83:11 84:15,19 76:7 87:16 sorted 92:22 5:2 6:17,25 7:4 39:1 10:11,12,17 23:2 26:15,15 85:24 86:8,15 94:17 SOS 69:2 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 23:14 28:18 33:19 43:24 88:7,25 89:2,7 small 56:6 57:16 source 37:3 8:19 9:23 30:6 suffer 75:11 40:4 50:15 thank 11:6 19:9 89:13,14 90:3 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5			starts 33:25				80:24 81:1
94:17 SOS 69:2 small 56:6 57:16 7:6,9 8:1,4,17 succumb 10:5 suffer 75:11 23:14 28:18 duck 4 50:15 suffer 75:11 33:19 43:24 duck 4 50:15 duck 11:6 19:9 spin 19:03 duck 11:6 19:9 spin 19:03 duck 11:6 19:9 spin 19:04. 88:7,25 89:2,7 duck 11:6 19:9 spin 19:04 duck 11:6 19:9 duck	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
small 56:6 57:16 source 37:3 8:19 9:23 30:6 suffer 75:11 40:4 50:15 thank 11:6 19:9 89:13,14 90:3 58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5			,		, ,		
58:9 59:6 sources 37:9 38:10 39:4 76:14 53:6,12 78:23 33:16 34:1 90:7,20 91:4,5							
61.20,25 sourcing 57:7 45:5 01:1,10 suggest 25:12 taken 45:1 56:18 45:7 51:12 92:20 93:21,22					,		
	01.20,23	sourcing 5/:/	43.3 01:1,10	suggest 23:12	taken 45:1 56:18	45./ 51:12	92.20 95:21,22
		1	1	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

94.5.15 95.15 38.8 40-6 71.11 20.5.11 74.02.4.2.5 48.15 44.9 48.23 45.11 48.22 48.23 45.11 48.23 45.15 48.23							Page 106
90.5.6.1 46.23 81.5 46.22 41.5 51.9 72.16 45.20 40.12 51.9 72.16 46.23 81.7 81.9 72.16 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.12 15.16 60.23 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.16 77.18 176.25 34.53 35.15 77.18 176.25 34.53 3		I	I	I	I		I
minking 19:20 22:21 doi:12 25:25 ms. 25:27 ms. 25:28 ms. 25:28 ms. 25:29 ms.							
122 49-12 49-12	7 7						75:11 76:14
4.478.13 S8.13 86.21.22.25 66.23 77.18.15.16 77.18 80.10 77.18 80.10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1							
478.13 5818 86.02 22.15 88.11 10mornos 1:3 10mornos 1:3 10mornos 1:3 10mornos 1:3 12.8 81:1 11.171,7.19 10mornos 1:3 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 10mornos 1:3 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171,7.19 11.171		51:19 72:16	6:1 16:12,15	32:23 53:11,15	61:2 62:11,12		Z
60-12 third 87:17 Thomson 75:14 third 97:16 third 97:14 third 97:16 third 97:14 thir	42:22 44:25	81:7 86:19,20	34:5 35:16	72:18 76:25	63:12 67:22	words 12:16 27:3	Zauberflote
69-12 third 87:17 Thomson 75:14 third 11:11,516 thorough 15:22 53:12 thorough 15:23 thorough 15:23 thorough 14:24 59:26 thorough 15:23 thorough 16:23	47:8,13 58:18	86:21,22,25	66:23	77:1,2,15,16	69:23 75:10	29:23 30:5	27:18
thirds 7:17 thorsom 57:14 22.8 H11.3 11.3	69:12	88:11	UK 75:10 76:12	77:18 80:10	76:13,18 86:14	58:5 66:6	Zeff 81:18
Indimated 29-16 throrough 52-25 59-14 throrough 52-25 throrough 52-2	third 87:17	tomorrow 1:3	ultimate 9:16	90:3 95:22	87:6 88:20	76:17 82:25	
1.11 1.11	Thomson 75:14		ultimately 29:16	viewpoint 58:23	89:15 94:13	work 7:12 43:16	
5812 thorought 30:3 11:17.19. thorough 30:3 tho	thorough 52:23	97:4,6				54:20 55:15	0
thoroughly 489 thoughly 459 colight 1914, 22 coligh 259 412,219 95.25 wake, 1918 66.20 97.5 01688 15.0		tone 11:11,15,16	Um 86:16		96:13	62:21 63:2,8	01687 3:6
100gth 303	thoroughly 48:9			41:2.21 95:25	weak 19:18		
441-992 26-21 26-9 34-2 47-5 26-10 66-10 68-1,0 73-17 78-5 45-18 69-1 100pits 63-22 100pits 63-22 27-07 82-2.25 28-55 86-18 42-20 100pits 63-22 27-07 82-2.25 28-55 86-18 26-62 28-31 26-34 18 26-62 28-31 26-34 18 26-62 28-31 26-34 18 26-62 28-31 26-34 18 26-62 28-31 26-34 18 26-62 28-31 26-34 18 27-21 100pits 63-22 27-21 100pits 63-22 27-2							
66:10 68:4.10 top 42:3.13 47:655:4 75:23 75:23 Toughts 57:10 stores 58:18 69:1 floring 57:14 75:1420 totally 75:14.20 totally							
7317-78-5 thoughts 42-0 touch 912-5 threats 13:20							
threat 44:15.17 totally 7:16.20 totally 7:							
Direct 44:15.17 totally 75:14.20 S55.56:18 vicinity 44:20 totally 10:25 totally 10					, ,		
Math		•		•			
Dreast 13:20 Three 4:11 9:11 Transmitting T							
Incree 4:11 9:11							
26.34,13 30:20,25 34:14 32:20 41:18 30:20,25 34:14 34:16 35:18,20 53:15,17 66:22 27:23 37:7 50:17 78:10,24 78:10,24 78:10,24 78:10,24 79:19 11:2 11:2 12:00 34:11 37:21 12:20 10:14,16 35:13 34:16 35:13		,					
302.02.5 34:14 32:22 04 1:18 34:16 35:18, 20 32:18 15:17 57:18 657.9 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:15 72:13 57:2 72:13 57:2 72:14 24:5 72:13 57:2 72:14 24:5 72:13 57:2 72:14 24:5 72							
34:16 35:18,20 37:7 50:17 transparent 72:15 72:18 72:15							
32-1 88-24							
57:18 65:7,9 66:2 transparent 18:18 86:15 78:25 79:17 wait 47:3 wa		,					
Transparent S1:17 62:17 wait 47:3				voucn 48:18			
Transury 52:4 78:10,24 78:1				***			
Thursday 37:14 Treasury 52:9 treated 94:12 tremendous 37:21 tremendous 34:11 Treemendous 34:12 Treemendous 34:11 Treemendous 34:11 Treemendous 34:22 Treemendous 34:11 Treemendous 34:12 Treemendous 34:11 Treemendous 34:							
Thursday 37:14 treated 94:12 tremendous molertake 57:25 molertake 1:5 10:1,7 21:14 24:5 31:5 molertake 1:5 10:1,7 32:14 25:5 molertake 1:5 10:1,7 32:14 25:7 molertake 1:5 10:1,1 4:12 5:5 35:25 7:10:1 molertake 1:5 10:1,1 molertake 1:1 molertake							
37:21 tremendous 34:11 77:12 55:5,7 67:23 63:20 65:5 5:15 10:11,14 10:175 10:174 37:13 10:17 12:15.20 10:174 37:13 10:17 12:15.20 10:174 37:13 10:17 12:15.20 10:174 37:13 10:17 12:15.20 10:174 37:13 10:17 12:15.20 10:175 14:25 10:17 12:15.20 10:175 14:25 10:17 12:15.20 10:175 14:25 10:175 10:175 10:175 14:25 10:175 10:175 10:175 14:25 10:175 10:1							
time 1:5 2:20 34:11 77:12 55:5,7 67:23 63:20 65:5 5:15 10:11,14 01748 37:13 01763 42:9 9:19 11:2 12:21 20:14,16 tried 13:19 45:2 undertakings 35:22 77:10 9:11 89:6 14:23 16:7.8 10:73 12:53:23 10763 42:9 10763 42:9 10764 42:4.8,13 01763 42:9 01764 42:4.8,13 01763 42:9 01764 42:4.8,13 01781 43:2 01764 42:4.8,13 01781 43:2 01764 42:4.8,13 01781 43:2 01783 44:13 01							
9:19 11:2 12:21 20:14,16 12:22 20:							01745 37:2
12:21 20:14.16 22:6 32:6.13 34:6 35:13 35:22 unfolding 3:11 unfortunately 22:6 32:6.13 32:19 34:17 32:19 34:17 32:17 34:17 42:7,10 43:14 42:7,10 43:14 42:7,10 43:14 42:7,10 43:14 42:7,10 43:14 42:7,10 43:14 43:17 44:11,24 43:17 44:11,24 43:17 44:11,24 45:13 1:4 90:15,16 53:5 54:24 53:5 54:24 56:16,17 58:10 59:1 66:2 52:6,8 53:3,24 59:1 66:2 52:6,8 53:3,24 66:12 59:1 46:12 50:8 87:20 unwise 80:3 undate 19:12 75:2 42:3 43:16,17 42:3 43:19 46:9 43:2 43:19 46:9 43:2 43:19 46:9 43:2 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 43:24 43:19 46:9 44:23 43:16,17 43:19 46:9 44:23 43:16,17 43:10 43:19 43				,			
22:6 32:6,13 34:6 35:13 48:20 unfolding 3:11 48:10 42:4,10 43:14 43:17 44:11,24 43:18 43:17 44:11,24 43:18 43:19 46:92 45:13,16 49:							01751 40:25
32:19 34:17 37:17 41:7 Trouble 56:18 T							01763 42:9
37:17-41:7				wanted 8:7 9:6,7			01764 42:4,8,13
42:7,10 43:14 43:17 44:11,24 truth 28:7 56:3,4 43:137 44:11,24 truth 28:7 56:3,4 43:13,16 49:2 90:15,16 unusual 12:17 68:6 73:10,16 88:8 94:6 66:12 69:21 72:23 78:20 03245 16:18 72:23 78:20 03245 16:18 72:23 78:20 03245 17:20 03247 20:25 03245 16:18 03245 17:20 03247 20:25 03245 16:18 03245 17:20 03247 20:25 03245 16:18 03245 17:20 03247 20:25 03247							
43:17 44:11,24				41:16,20 45:15			01783 44:13
45:1,3,16 49:2 90:15,16 trying 22:14 29:4 53:5 54:24 trying 22:14 29:4 65:16,17 58:10 58:12,17,21 46:12 50:8 87:20 unwise 80:3 40:25 56:11,12 75:2 68:11,12 86:2 unwise 80:3 undate 19:12 71:2 79:13 80:4 82:7 85:1 TV 75:5 upger 14:3 use 29:23 30:15 timedine 4:9 32:24 37:7 58:16 67:16 55:10 71:2 50:17,20 53:10 74:9 80:25 59:4 64:11 Value 87:12 timing 3:17 73:17 4:3 46:10 75:2 43:19 46:9 74:3				50:16 59:1			01784 45:2
53:5 54:24	· /			62:24 67:10			01799 45:25
S6:16,17 58:10 S2:19,21 33:11 Movelcome S8:23 89:1,24 Movelcome S7:24 98:22 Movelcome S7:25 Movelcome S7:25 Movelcome S7:26 Movelcome Movelcome S7:26 Movelcome	45:1,3,16 49:2			68:6 73:10,16	we're 3:6 11:11		02345 16:18
58:12,17,21 46:12 50:8 52:6,8 53:3,24 87:20 unwise 80:3 update 19:12 7:29:13 wants 6:10 7:14 75:2 4 7:27 9:13 write 25:1,2 74:9 42:23 43:16,17 write 25:1,2 74:9 42:24 34:16,17 write 25:1,2 74:9 42:23 43:16,17 write 24:23 43:16,17 write 25:1,2 74:9 write 25:1,2	53:5 54:24	trying 22:14 29:4	87:11 90:23	87:21 88:20,22	19:21 20:1,19	83:11,23 86:6	03245 17:20
58:12,17,21 46:12 50:8 52:6,8 53:3,24 87:20 unwise 80:3 update 19:12 7:25 68:11,12 wanting 41:11 wants 6:10 7:14 75:2 33:7 34:17 37:13 write 25:1,2 74:9 wr	56:16,17 58:10	32:19,21 33:11	unwelcome	88:23 89:1,24	25:13,19 31:4	91:24	03247 20:25
59:1 66:2 52:6,8 53:3,24 86:2 musise 80:3 mpdate 19:12 75:2 79:13 mur 73:24 75:2 Mapping 75:3 medice 19:14:3 mse 29:23 30:15 mse 2	58:12,17,21	46:12 50:8			33:7 34:17	wriggle 17:21,25	03256 13:7
67:25 68:11,12	59:1 66:2	52:6,8 53:3,24	unwise 80:3		35:19 37:13	write 25:1,2 74:9	
71:2 79:13 turn 73:24 37:3,10 73:19 Wapping 75:3 56:21 66:4 writing 6:23 09027 56:22 09029 59:4 93:6 two 1:14 5:10,24 47:22 48:22 09029 59:4 timed 12:5 51:5 5:24 21:1 31:6 33:8,812 10:10,19,21 81:9 92:13 written 13:1 09031 60:8 times 15:2 65:7 43:19 46:9 68:8 85:2 22:23 27:16 23:2 44:6 40:11,17 43:10 09033 67:16 65:10 71:2 50:17,20 53:10 Value 87:12 77:14 70:12,21 78:19 91:9 43:12 44:10 09038 81:13 93:4 65:7 71:16 Value 87:12 67:14 70:12,21 63:24 79:23 wrong 48:13 09039 59:18 84:2 12:25 13:14 86:10 95:3 13:5 84:20 78:3,18 82:8 32:4 79:10 76:4 99093 75:14 14:13 two-thirds 40:1 89:21 91:10 86:22 87:8 widely 96:2 wrongly 10:9 70:22 70:22 70:22 72:17 37:10,14 50:1 51:7 52:19 61:14,20 70:22 72:17 37:10,14 50:1 51	67:25 68:11,12				42:23 43:16,17	writer 49:6	
80:4 82:7 85:1 TV 75:5 two 1:14 5:10,24 upper 14:3 use 29:23 30:15 timed 12:5 51:5 5:24 21:1 31:6 33:8,8,12 10:10,19,21 81:9 92:13 written 13:11 09033 60:8 09033 67:16 timed 12:5 51:5 5:24 21:1 31:6 33:8,8,12 10:10,19,21 81:9 92:13 written 13:11 09033 60:8 09033 67:16			37:3,10 73:19			writing 6:23	
93:6 two 1:14 5:10,24 use 29:23 30:15 timed 12:5 51:5 5:24 21:1 31:6 32:24 37:7 58:16 67:16 17:2 20:10 we've 1:23 19:12 31:18,23 33:22 40:11,17 43:10 40:11,17 43:10 43:12 44:10 68:8 85:2 22:23 27:16 23:2 44:6 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:12,21 63:24 47:9:23 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 44:10 70:18,18 43:2 40:11,17 43:10 41:12 41:11 41:13 43:11 43:11 43:12 44:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 41:12 47:10 4	80:4 82:7 85:1						
timed 12:5 51:5 timeline 4:9 5:24 21:1 31:6 32:24 37:7 33:8,8,12 58:16 67:16 10:10,19,21 17 today 19:17 today 19:17 today 19:17 today 19:12 17:24 21:20 10:3 14:12 17:24 21:20 28:15 29:16 17:24 21:20 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 17:24 21:20 28:15 29:16 11:13 12:2 33:8,8,12 58:16 67:16 58:18 58:10 58:16 67:16 68:8 85:2 10:10,19,21 17 today 19:17 today 19:17 today 19:17 today 19:10 17:24 21:20 28:15 29:16 11:13 12:2 43:19 46:9 58:18 58:18 45:2 22:23 27:16 41:21 47:10 78:19 91:9 wider 87:12 22:23 27:16 41:21 47:10 78:19 91:9 wider 85:8 wrong 48:13 70:20 70:8 wrong 48:13 70:20 70:8 wrong 48:13 70:20 70:22 wrongly 10:9 wider 85:8 wrong 49:21 wind 17:1 wing 62:11 wing 62:11 wind 43:10 24:19 50:15:7 70:22 10:10 24:19 10:33:25 14:14 withdraw 44:16 withdra		two 1:14 5:10,24	use 29:23 30:15		77:23 79:21	89:11	
timeline 4:9 32:24 37:7 58:16 67:16 17:2 20:10 we've 1:23 19:12 31:18,23 33:22 09033 67:16 times 15:2 65:7 43:19 46:9 68:8 85:2 22:23 27:16 23:2 44:6 40:11,17 43:10 09036 64:13 65:10 71:2 59:4 64:11 V value 87:12 value 87:12 value 87:12 70:8 09039 59:18 84:2 93:4 65:7 71:16 value 87:12 various 1:24 77:14,16,19 wholly 18:18 74:25 75:14,20 09039 59:18 84:2 12:25 13:14 86:10 95:3 13:5 84:20 78:3,18 82:8 32:4 79:10 wrong 48:13 74:25 75:14,20 76:4 76:4 76:4 76:4 76:4 76:4 76:4 76:4 76:22 76:4 76:22 76:22 76:22 76:10 76:22 76:10 76:22 76:22 76:10 76:22 76:11 76:12 76:12 76:22 76:22 76:13 76:22 76:14 76:14 76:22 76:22 76:14 76:14 76:14 76:14 76:22 76:22 76:15 76:14		5:24 21:1 31:6	33:8,8,12		81:9 92:13	written 13:11	
times 15:2 65:7 65:10 71:2 74:9 80:25 43:19 46:9 50:17,20 53:10 74:9 80:25 68:8 85:2 74:9 80:25 22:23 27:16 41:21 47:10 78:19 91:9 74:9 80:25 40:11,17 43:10 43:10 43:12 44:10 78:19 91:9 70:8 70:8 70:8 70:8 70:8 70:8 70:8 70:8	timeline 4:9	32:24 37:7	58:16 67:16		we've 1:23 19:12	31:18,23 33:22	
65:10 71:2	times 15:2 65:7	43:19 46:9	68:8 85:2		23:2 44:6		
74:9 80:25 59:4 64:11 V value 87:12 53:18 55:6 whilst 6:15 45:9 70:8 09039 59:18 84:2 93:4 65:7 71:16 73:1 74:3 65:7 71:16 73:1 74:3 73:1 74:3 73:1 74:3 77:14,16,19 77:14,16,19 76:4 74:25 75:14,20 09798 71:14 09802 73:21 12:25 13:14 86:10 95:3 13:5 84:20 78:3,18 82:8 32:4 79:10 76:4 76:22 76:22 76:13:10:9 76:22 76:14:14 76:22 76:14:14 76:22 76:14:14 76:14:14 76:22 76:14:14 76:14:14:14 76:14:14:14 76:14:14:14 7		50:17,20 53:10				43:12 44:10	
93:4 65:7 71:16 value 87:12 67:14 70:12,21 63:24 79:23 wrong 48:13 09798 71:14 09802 73:21 12:25 13:14 86:10 95:3 13:5 84:20 78:3,18 82:8 32:4 79:10 76:4 09802 73:21 09798 71:14 09802 73:21 14:13 two-thirds 40:1 89:21 91:10 86:22 87:8 widely 96:2 wrongly 10:9 wrote 13:3 70:20 10 13:10 24:19 27:17 37:10,14 timings 42:7 type 40:23 typical 64:16 typical 64:16 wax 65:2 wax 65:2 willing 22:1 wrote 13:3 70:20 70:22 27:17 37:10,14 50:1 51:7 50:1 51:			V				
timing 3:17 73:1 74:3 various 1:24 77:14,16,19 wholly 18:18 74:25 75:14,20 09802 73:21 12:25 13:14 86:10 95:3 13:5 84:20 78:3,18 82:8 32:4 79:10 76:4 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:1 76:2 76:1 76:2<			value 87:12				
12:25 13:14 86:10 95:3 13:5 84:20 78:3,18 82:8 32:4 79:10 76:4 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:2 76:1 76:2 76:2 76:1 76:2 76:1 76:2 76:1 76:2 76	timing 3:17						
14:13 two-thirds 40:1 89:21 91:10 86:22 87:8 widely 96:2 wrongly 10:9 10 13:10 24:19 timings 42:7 type 40:23 typical 64:16 typical 64:16 typos 74:13 waste 68:11,12 willing 22:1 wrongly 10:9 wrote 13:3 70:20 10 13:10 24:19 Today 19:17 today's 19:12 told 4:15 8:13 U version 13:19 was 6:23 7:11,20 wind 17:1 wing 62:11 yeah 2:3 5:23 50:1 51:7 10:3 14:12 UIL 4:22 5:3 78:14 17:7 20:10 witness 55:3,19 12:25 16:25 10:3 48:11 17:24 21:20 6:18 9:6,7 victimised 45:18 23:1 28:13 55:25 78:13 17:9,9 32:5 10:10 24:19 28:15 29:16 11:13 12:2 45:20 29:24 32:18 wives 50:19,22 36:25 103 35:19				, ,			0,002 ,3.21
timings 42:7 type 40:23 type 40:23 verbatim 5:11 93:17 wider 85:8 wrote 13:3 70:20 10 13:10 24:19 Today 19:17 today is 19:12 told 4:15 8:13 U version 13:19 was 65:2 was 6:23 7:11,20 willing 22:1 wind 17:1 yeah 2:3 5:23 10's 48:11 10:3 14:12 UIL 4:22 5:3 78:14 17:7 20:10 witness 55:3,19 12:25 16:25 10:10 24:19 28:15 29:16 11:13 12:2 45:20 29:24 32:18 wives 50:19,22 36:25 103 35:19							1
tired 37:12 typical 64:16 typical 64:16 typical 64:16 waste 68:11,12 willing 22:1 70:22 27:17 37:10,14 today is 19:12 told 4:15 8:13 U waste 68:11,12 wing 62:11 wing 62:11 yeah 2:3 5:23 10:3 14:12 yeah 2:3 5:23 10:4 4:14 10:3 14:12 yeah 2:3 5:23 10:4 4:14 10:10 24:19 10:10 24:19 10:10 24:19 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:5 10:3 12:10,14 20:10 24:19					•		
Today 19:17 today's 19:12 told 4:15 8:13 10:3 14:12 17:24 21:20 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 23:10 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:15 29:16 28:16 29:16 29:							
today's 19:12 wersion 13:19 way 6:23 7:11,20 wing 62:11 yeah 2:3 5:23 10:3 14:12 yeah 2:3 5:23 10:4 14:12 10:3 14:12 vitimised 45:18 17:7 20:10 witness 55:3,19 12:25 16:25 10:10 24:19 10:10 24:19 10:10 3:25 10:3 35:19 28:15 29:16 11:13 12:2 45:20 29:24 32:18 wives 50:19,22 36:25 103 35:19							
told 4:15 8:13 U 74:8 76:8 13:25 14:14 withdraw 44:16 yeah 2:3 5:23 10's 48:11 10:3 14:12 UIL 4:22 5:3 78:14 17:7 20:10 witness 55:3,19 12:25 16:25 10.10 24:19 17:24 21:20 6:18 9:6,7 victimised 45:18 23:1 28:13 55:25 78:13 17:9,9 32:5 101 33:25 28:15 29:16 11:13 12:2 45:20 29:24 32:18 wives 50:19,22 36:25 103 35:19	-	V F				Y	
10:3 14:12		U					
17:24 21:20 6:18 9:6,7 victimised 45:18 23:1 28:13 55:25 78:13 17:9,9 32:5 101 33:25 29:16 11:13 12:2 45:20 29:24 32:18 wives 50:19,22 36:25 103 35:19							
28:15 29:16							
20 10 25 21 12 25 15 4 10 1 4 21 5 21 20 15 4 21							
15.25 17.7,10 VICW 7.21 0.21 57.17 40.1 Wollder 15.2 Year 25.10 107 37:13							
	50.10 55.21	13.23 17.4,10	7.21 U.21	37.17 40.1	., 011401 13.2	Jean 23.10	10/ 5/:15
		•	•	•	•	•	•

10763 42-2 11 314 46-2 11 314 46-2 11 314 46-2 11 95 90-14 12 34 32 413 91 12 34 32 413 91 12 34 32 413 91 12 34 32 413 91 12 34 32 413, 17 12 419 12 34 32 413, 17 12 419 12 34 32 413, 17 12 419 12 34 32 413, 17 12 419 12 34 32 413, 17 12 419 12 34 34 413 92 12 34 34 34 34 32 12 34 34 34 32 12 34 34 34 32 12 34 34 34 34 32 13 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34						Page 107
11 314 462		I	I	1	1	1
64-13 21 403 60-1 72.4 25.20 73.0 34.23 55.22 73.0 20.22 73.0 34.23 55.22 73.0 20.22 73.0 34.23 55.22 73.0 20.22 73.2 34.23 55.22 73.0 24.23 55.20 73.0 34.23 55.22 73.0 34.23 55.20 73.0 34.23 55.2						
110-40.25						
11955 49:14 123 4:13 4:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:16 57:16 57:16 57:17 57:16 57:18 57:16 57:18 57:16 57:18 57:16 57:18 57:1						
12 34:13 44:55 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 57:15 59:17 73 24:14 74 25:3 24 48:15 49:11 42:19 608 91:9 77 30:17 73 24:14 74 25:3 24 48:15 49:11 42:19 278 26:16.20 278 126:16 28 1349:25 29 63:13 3449:25 29 63:13 3449:25 29 63:13 3449:25 29 63:13 3449:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 29 63:13 349:25 33 34:45 36:16 43:47 39:18 25:19 26:24 27 37 16:17 38 21:18 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 27 11:7 83:3 29 13:3 29						
37:15 23-minute 20:24 74 25:3 22 44:14 22 42:19 22 48:15 49:11 76 25:20 79 31:4						
122 4217.19 123 424.131 42412 1240.2616 1340.25 13441 49.25 13441 49.25 13441 49.25 13441 49.25 13478 31.5 13527 91.24 140.43.2 143.45.2 143.45.2 15 17.11 13.11 3416 36.7 143.45.2 15 17.11 13.11 3416 36.7 15 17.11 13.11 34 14.15 13.11 34 14.15 13.11 34 14.15 13.11 34 14.15 13.11 34 14.15 13.11 3	· ·					
123.42.4,13.17						
4219 2580 2616 27 2582 27 2582 28 2582 28 2582 28 278 28 28 28 28 28 28						
12780 26:16.20 26:16.20 26:16 26:15 26:16 26:15 26:16 26:15 26:13						
12781 26-16 13491 29-5 26-2214 26-2215 24-325 29-5 25-5 26-2214 28-6221 29-5 25-6214 28-622 29-5 25-6214 28-622 29-5 25-6214 28-622 29-5 25-622 29-5 29-622 29-5 29-622 29-5 29-622						
13 49.25 1343 5.08.22 13435 30.8.22 13435 30.8.22 13 29.5 63:19 64:11 13 24:13 13 49.7 13 22.747 14 24:13 13 49.7 13 18.97 13 22.749 15 18.71 11 3:11 13 44.943 13 18.97 13 22.749.9 13 17.50 3.2 17 17.7 58:3 17	· ·		79 31:4			
13441 4925 19432 295.6313 8 46.2 13478 51:5 13478 51:5 13537 51:24 52:19 140 43:2 142 44:13 134:13 63.7 151 17,11 25 152 47 17.00 242 15.17,10 21:18 15.17,11 25 15.24 17.30 21:18 15.17,11 758:3 17.17 758:3 17.17 758:3 17.17 758:3 17.17 758:3 17.30 21:18 18.11 18.13 43:15 18.11 18.13 43:15 18.11 18.13 43:15 18.11 19 18.42 25:25 19 439 73:22 47 10 4041,112 14 4049 15 10 14 14 18,21 119 18.42 25:25 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 20 51 13 845.9 19.03 26:23 2						
13435 30.8.22 29.5 63.19 64:11 3.30 35:4 3.30 35:4 3.41 36:16 4.41 3 4.41 3 4.41 3 4.40 4 3.41 36:16 4.40 4 3.41 36:16 4.40 4 3.41 36:16 4.40 4 3.41 36:16 4.40 4 3.41 36:16 3.44 40:4 3.48 40:4						
13478 51:5 13537 51:24 13262 27:17 140 43:2 143 43:2 143 43:2 15 15 17.11 13:11 134 35:2 15 15 17.11 13:11 15 18-cond 20:24 15 15-cond 20:24 15 17.10 20:18 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:10 75:83 17:50 322 41:5 18:10 18 18:18 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 19.03 26:23 18 11:8 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 11:8 11:8 13:4 18 11:8 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11						
13537 51:24 52:19 3 3:44 45:16 43:17 59:18 14 0:43:2 41:3 43:17 59:18 46:62:2 71:14 43:1 31.8 49:7 32.7 49:9 33.18 49:7 32.7 49:9 34:40:41:3 31.8 49:7 32.7 49:9 34:40:41:3 31.8 49:7 32.6 41:2 8:15 35:85:25 33.34:15 33:15 37:30:21:15 33:15 37:30:21:15 33:15 37:30:21:15 33:15 38:10:15 33		29.5 63:19 64:11				
3344 3616 4317 5918 6623 71:14 413 45:2 3.7 49:9 3.27 49:9 3.40:4 37:5 15:17,11 13:11 3.44 0:4 3.47 59:18 6623 71:14 3.47 59:18 6623 71:14 3.47 59:18 6623 71:14 3.47 69:18 3.47 69:1						
14 262 27:17 143:11 140 43:2 143 45:2 143 45:2 143 45:2 143 45:2 145 25:15 147 147 147 149:1 148 149:1 149 149:1			85 19:6			
140 43:2						
142 44:13 318 49:7 3.27 49:9 3.24 49:1 3.44 63:7 3.27 49:9 3.44 63:7 3.27 49:9 3.44 63:7 3.27 49:9 3.44 63:1 3.25 3.45 63:7						
143 45:2 15 1-7.11 13:11 34:16 36:7 57:16 70:24 15.21 12:5 15.84 5:25 17 11:7 58:3 17 11:7 58:3 17 11:7 58:3 17.19 30 4:115 17.19 30 2:18 25:25 17.39 5:24 11:5 38:110 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:5 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 19 439 73:22 26:11 28:10.24 200 1:2 200 1:2 200 1:2 200 1:2 200 57:4 2007 57:5 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2001 57:25 28:29 98:3 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 84:91 7						
18 1:7,11 13:11 3-4:16 367 57:16 70:24 15-second 20:24 17 11:51 18 1:8 2:25:3 17-minute 3:25 17-minute 3:25 18-minute 3:25 18-second 13:4 18:11:9 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18-11 1:9 18-second 13:4 18-second						
34:16 36:7 57:16 70:24 15-second 20:24 15.21 12:5 15.84 5:25 17 11:7 58:3 17-minute 3:25 4:7 17.35 46:3 17.59 32:4 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 19 43:9 73:22 19 43:9 73:22 20:11 28:10.24 19.30 26:23 2 26:11 28:10.24 20.51 23:32:4 20.51 23:32:4 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2001 57:25 2001 12:25 38:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 66 60 9:10 7 20:18 27 2011 47:8 49:11			, ,			
57:16 70:24 18-second 20:24 18.21 12:5 18.45:25 17.35 12:3 17-minute 3:25 4-7 17.29 51:5 17.39 22:1 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 31:14 56:1 18.10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18-18 13:4 31:5 31:10 35 68:19 360-degree 61:21 17.39 22:1 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 31:11 34:11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 31:11 34:1 15:1 18 13:4 31:5 31:11 34:1 15:1 18 13:4 31:5 31:1 19.30 26:3 20:1 22 30:1 48:19 20:1 128:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 20:0 1:2 20:1 128:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 20:1 128:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 20:1 128:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 20:1 128:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 20:1 128:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 52:10 51:10 56:24.25 52:10 51:10 56:24.25 52:10 1:9 53 13:22 50:1 43:9 52 10:23 58:22 60:2 61:17:31 30:24 7:14 7:16 60:70 60:77 60:						
15-second 20:24 15.21 12:5 138 45:25 17.11:7 58:3 17.11:7 58:3 17.11:7 58:3 17.30 21:18 25:25 17.33 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 18.11:0 18.3-second 13:4 18.3-11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.30 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 22 26:11 28:10.24 51:10 56:24,25 22 26:11 28:10.24 51:10 56:24,25 22 23 33:24 51:19 200 1:2 23 33:24 51:19			71 33.13			
15.21 12:5 18.45:25 17 11:7 58:3 17-minute 3:25 4.7 17.39 51:5 17.13.30 21:18 25:25 17.13.5 46:3 17.39 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 31:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.21 11:9 18.22 5:25 79:8 19.03 26:13 20.11 28:10.24 51:10 56:24.25 20.01 26:1 20.01 26:1 20.01 26:1 20.00 57:1 20.00 57:1 20.00 57:1 20.00 57:1 20.00 57:4 2007 57:5 2000 57:1 2001 61:1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6						
188 48:255 17-minute 37:15 34:7 17-minute 37:15 35 68:19 33 34:15 17-minute 37:15 35 68:19 360-degree 16:21 17.30 21:18 25:25 17.35 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 19 43:9 73:22 19 43:9 73:22 19 43:9 73:22 2 6:11 28:10.24 51:10 56:24,25 2 20 01:2 2 23 33:24 19 59:04 4 40:23 59:4 4 40:23 59:4 4 40:33 59:4 10 64:11,12 41 8:13 43 84:2,11 87:1 48 99:23 44 84:19 45 99:7 46 94:7 47 1:6 60:7 2 50 11:9 51 3:22 52 10:23 52 10:23 52 10:23 53 10:9 51 3:22 52 10:23 58:22 60:2 60:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 88:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 7						
17 11.7 58:3 17-minute 3:25 4:7 17.39 51:5 17.35 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 18-25:25 19 43:9 73:22 19 43:9 73:22 20:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 200 1:2 23 33:24 20 5:14 3:9 20.01 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 50:11 47:8 49:11 7 34-minute 37:15 36-minute 37:15 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 20:23 59:4 4 4 89:13 4 4 49:18 4 84:19 4 49:18 4 84:19	158 45:25					
4.7 17.29 51:5 17.30 21:18 25:25 17.35 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19:30 26:13 27:18 19.33 26:13 27:14 17.16 19.30 26:23 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 20.01 1:2 3 33:24 20.01 2:1 20.01 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 20.07 57:5 20.00 57:4 20.07 57:5 20.00 57:4 20.07 57:5 20.00 57:4 20.07 57:5 20.0	17 11:7 58:3					
17.29 51:5 17.30 21:18 25:25 17.35 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 45 90:17 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 45 90:17 19.30 26:23 2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 27:3 33:24 20.31 26:1 2003 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 60:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 58:22 60:2 60:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 61:10 73:19,	17-minute 3:25	35 68:19				
17.30 21:18 25:25		360-degree				
25:25 17.35 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 44 84:19 75:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 273 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2003 57:1 2003 57:1 2004 57:25 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 2001 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 66 61:10 61:10 66 61:10 66 61:10 67 61:10 66 61:10 66 61:10 66 61:10 67 61:10		61:21				
17.35 46:3 17.59 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19.43 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 5200 57:1 2000 5						
17.59 3:24 11:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:8 13:4 31:5 18 11:0 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:13 27:14 51:10 56:24.25 2.00 1:2 23 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 47:16 73:19,22 71:16 73:19,22 842 29:3 2011 47:8 49:11 7		4				
18 11:8 13:4 31:5 34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 6:11 28:10.24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 2/3 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 61:3 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:111 7						
34:14 56:1 81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 20 5:1 43:9 20.51 43:9 20.01 26:1 2006 57:4 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 66:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,23 2011 47:8 49:11 7						
81:10 18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 2/3 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,225 71 7						
18-second 13:4 18.21 11:9 18.42 25:25 19 43:9 73:22 79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 2 6:11 28:10.24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 2/3 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 88:19 89:23 44 84:19 88:19 89:23 45 90:17 46 94:7 47 1:6 48 1:22 56:8 5 44:5,8 59:17 60:7 50 11:9 51 3:22 51 0:23 52 10:23 53:24 66 651:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 7						
18.21 11:9 43 84:2,11 87:1 18.42 25:25 88:19 89:23 19.03 26:13 45 90:17 27:14 47 1:6 19.30 26:23 48 1:22 56:8 2 47 1:6 2(3) 3:24 54:5,8 59:17 20.01 1:2 50 11:9 23 33:24 51 3:22 20.01 26:1 52 10:23 2000 57:1 50 12:9 2000 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2000 57:1 6 2000 57:1 6 2010 51:24 57:23 53 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 67 20:18						
18.42 25:25 88:19 89:23 19.43:9 73:22 44 84:19 79:8 45 90:17 19.30 26:13 46 94:7 27:14 47 1:6 48 1:22 56:8 48 1:22 56:8 20.00 1:2 5 23/3 33:24 51 3:22 20.01 26:1 51 3:22 2000 57:1 50 11:9 2000 57:1 54 12:5 2000 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 63 16:9 69:13 70:24 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 7						
19 43:9 73:22 44 84:19 79:8 45 90:17 19.30 26:23 46 94:7 27:14 47 1:6 19.30 26:23 48 1:22 56:8 2 5 2 6:11 28:10,24 5 5:1:0 56:24,25 5 2.00 1:2 50:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 51 3:22 20.01 26:1 54 12:5 2000 57:1 54 12:5 2000 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 67 20:18						
79:8 19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 2/3 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 7						
19.03 26:13 27:14 19.30 26:23 2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 273 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:4 2000 57:4 2000 57:4 2001 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 46 94:7 47 1:6 48 1:22 56:8 5 44:5,8 59:17 60:7 50 11:9 52 10:23 54 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 6 651:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18						
27:14 19.30 26:23 26:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 2/3 33:24 20 5:1 43:9 20.01 26:1 2000 57:1 2000 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,222 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 47 1:6 48 1:22 56:8 5 544:5,8 59:17 60:7 50 11:9 51 3:22 52 10:23 54 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 66 6 51:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18						
19.30 26:23 48 1:22 56:8 2 5 2 6:11 28:10,24 5 51:10 56:24,25 5 200 1:2 50 11:9 2/3 33:24 50 11:9 51 3:22 50 11:9 51 3:22 54 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 57 30:20 31:1 2005 57:4 6 2007 57:5 6 2010 51:24 57:23 63 16:9 58:22 60:2 64 17:13 69:13 70:24 66 19:10 71:16 73:19,22 67 20:18 7 7						
2 5 2 6:11 28:10,24 5 44:5,8 59:17 51:10 56:24,25 5 44:5,8 59:17 2.00 1:2 50 11:9 20/3 33:24 51 3:22 20 5:1 43:9 52 10:23 2000 57:1 54 12:5 2003 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 67 20:18 7						
2 6:11 28:10,24 51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 54:5,8 59:17 2/3 33:24 51 3:22 20 5:1 43:9 52 10:23 2000 57:1 54 12:5 2000 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 651:5 2010 51:24 57:23 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 67 20:18						
51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 50 11:9 55 1 3:22 20 5:1 43:9 52 10:23 54 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 2003 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 60:7 50 11:9 51 3:22 52 10:23 54 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 6 6 51:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18		5				
51:10 56:24,25 2.00 1:2 50 11:9 51 3:22 52 10:23 52 10:23 53 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 2003 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 60:7 50 11:9 51 3:22 52 10:23 54 12:5 57 30:20 31:1 66 6 51:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18		5 44:5,8 59:17				
2/3 33:24 51 3:22 20 5:1 43:9 52 10:23 2000 57:1 54 12:5 2003 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 65 51:5 2010 51:24 57:23 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18 67 20:18 7						
20 5:1 43:9 52 10:23 20.01 26:1 54 12:5 2003 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 6 2010 51:24 57:23 63 16:9 69:13 70:24 64 17:13 71:16 73:19,22 64 17:13 84:2 93:3 66 19:10 2011 47:8 49:11 67 20:18		50 11:9				
20.01 26:1 54 12:5 2000 57:1 54 12:5 2003 57:1 57 30:20 31:1 2006 57:4 6 2007 57:5 6 2010 51:24 57:23 63 16:9 69:13 70:24 64 17:13 71:16 73:19,22 64 17:13 84:2 93:3 66 19:10 2011 47:8 49:11 67 20:18						
2000 57:1 2003 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 57 30:20 31:1 6 6 6 51:5 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18						
2003 57:1 2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11						
2006 57:4 2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 7		57 30:20 31:1				
2007 57:5 2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 7						
2010 51:24 57:23 58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 63 16:9 64 17:13 66 19:10 67 20:18						
58:22 60:2 69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11						
69:13 70:24 71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11						
71:16 73:19,22 84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11						
84:2 93:3 2011 47:8 49:11 7						
2011 47:8 49:11 7		0/ 20.18				
		7				
	49:25 56:9					
			l			