2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 2 (2.05 pm)3 MR JAY: Sir Denis, we've touched on one aspect of the 4 events of July 2009. I'm going to come back to it in 5 due course, but I know you have some insights you wish 6 to share with us about the pressures on assistant 7 commissioners specialist operations. Can you tell us 8 anything about that? 9 A. Yes, well, I think at the top end of policing, where one 10 is dealing with the most serious cases, murder and the 11 like, there have always been pressures, and particular 12 police forces experience that from time to time. The 13 Metropolitan Police, by their very nature, their size, 14 their scale, they experience a significant number of 15 those kinds of inquiry. But over and above that, the 16 whole issue of terrorism, of course, has changed 17 character dramatically, really, in the last ten years, 18 and whilst I think we sometimes, with the benefit of 19 hindsight, may have great regrets about what happened in 20 2009 and everything else, if we reflect back to 2006, 21 I think it's probably fair to say that two features 22 stand out: the momentum of work at that time in relation 23 to terrorism was substantial, to say the least. The 24 Assistant Commissioner at the time, because of the 25 nature of the threat, undertook to help build Page 1 1 a counter-terrorist network across the country, centred 2

the then -- the office had changed, but the new individual had inherited some of the build issues, but also some of the backwash and terrorist investigations and the like.

Just by way of context, I think 2007, from my own records, there were 175 terrorist-related arrests. So there was a lot still coming through the system, and in 2008, in a report not published, again restricted, entitled "Co-ordinating Pursue", I did say that the support of this Assistant Commissioner in co-ordinating the network and other things needed to be revised in order to give them an opportunity to be able to manage all of these separate compelling needs.

14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm not quite sure where this is 15 taking us, Sir Denis. I mean, in relation to 2006,

16 I think I've said to at least half a dozen very senior

17 officers that a decision not to pursue Caryatid was

18 entirely understandable and reasonable, provided that 19 there was put into place the two extra limbs which

20 I mentioned this morning to the Assistant Commissioner.

21 That doesn't detract from the other responsibilities of

22 the Assistant Commissioner ultimately responsible for

23 this work, and by the time we get to 2009, whatever

24 other responsibilities the relevant Assistant

25 Commissioner has, first of all, he needn't have

Page 3

on three major geographical locations, and then five subsidiary locations, in parallel with undertaking -overseeing terrorist investigations and in relation to their work, as a member, if you like, of the management board, the corporate end of the Met, and of course, along the way, to deal with all of the relationship issues with various other agencies and Whitehall that would go along with such a high-profile role.

I thought it was just worth mentioning that and just to contextualise it, I -- we do -- reports we publish much of the time, but we also undertake work which is on a restricted basis, and I undertook a report on the development of the network and the need, entitled "Intercepting terrorism", and internally for the various agencies and Police Service in 2006, and I did at the time say: because an individual would have to face in several directions, the point may have been reached where it was difficult at best even for a talented individual to fulfil these duties effectively and at the same time retain executive responsibilities in a demanding force.

It was putting down a marker that -- and I then went on to say: we may have to reconsider this as work in progress as we go, and I returned to it in 2008 because Page 2

1 undertaken this one, and secondly, it didn't again

2 require too large an input of time; it required an input

3 of appropriate thought to give sensible direction,

4

6

9

20

5 A. It required all of those things. I understood -- maybe

I misunderstood -- Mr Jay's point was: over a period of

7 time, basically the needs, the stress, if you like, the

8 intensity of the work changed in character and the build

of the new network was not a sort of a one-year thing.

10 It was -- it's a sort of three to five-year project,

11 gradually building up in strength, and it was simply to

12 make the point that there were other -- in the

context -- and this doesn't put 2006 or 2009 to bed, but 13

14 is simply to say that was part of this context, and this

15 is quite an unusual thing for an individual to be

16 dealing with that. That is all.

17 Now, of course, the network is much more

18 established, the routine of support is much more 19

established and the expectations about how much they can

do and when they review things I think is stronger and

21 clearer than it certainly was in 2006. 2009 is

22 a different question.

23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. Well, I understand and I'm very

24 keen to ensure that the context is correctly described.

25 What I was really getting at was I wasn't quite sure how

Page 4

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 it gelled with the specific decisions that I have to 1 quantitative and qualitative, and the findings we can 2 2 see here. May I ask you this blunt question, if you make, but the context I understand. 3 3 A. Sir, yes. I guess the point, maybe poorly made by don't mind: what is the value of public opinion in this 4 4 myself, is this: that there isn't been a time in domain? 5 policing when there's not been a considerable amount of 5 A. Well, it's another anchor point, I suppose, in police 6 reform and change going on. 6 legitimacy, which is something I guess we'll come back 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. 7 to. With a measure of public sentiment, anything is 8 8 A. I guess in the context of what you hope to achieve in possible. Without it, progress is very difficult. 9 9 the end, any proposals will need to survive the rigour In relation to this, I was actively interested to 10 10 of that environment and that's the point: to be able to see, frankly, whether what had occurred last summer had 11 11 deal with the rough times as well as when things are made a real dent in the police reputation, in the 12 12 going more smoothly. And I say it as somebody who, you public's belief in them and the trust, and that's why 13 know, has supported reform in every way, shape and form, 13 myself and Mr Baker undertook this work, and you will 14 not always with success, I hasten to add. 14 see there was a concern about corruption -- there was 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I entirely agree with that as 15 a huge minority, a substantial minority -- but there was 16 a proposition, and it is for that reason that I have 16 a residual very strong support for the police, you know, 17 17 asked each of the retired commissioners, and I think one for some people, at enviably high levels. 18 retired deputy commissioner, to provide me with a view. 18 Q. In the use of the term "corruption", you're making it 19 19 You, of course, have done so in your report, but if you clear that that ranges across a whole spectrum of 20 have any other views in the area of where I should be 20 behaviours, with frank corruption, money passing hands, 21 21 going, that will be valuable, not least because you at one end of the spectrum, which is relatively rare, 22 understand from the policing perspective what will work 22 and the much softer corruption at the other end of the 23 in a way that, however much I listen to however many 23 spectrum? 24 24 very senior officers, I will not quite have the same A. Yes, and the public -- as appears in the text, it's feel for. If you want to take that up, you're very 25 25 doing favours, treating something much more favourably, Page 5 Page 7 1 welcome. 1 one institution than another, you know, a place where 2 2 A. I hope to have an opportunity to do that, sir. hot dogs or something are served, one particular 3 3 MR JAY: Sir Denis, may I come to your report, "Without fear franchise much more favourably than another. That would 4 or favour". I don't know whether you have this as 4 raise a question in their mind because they're obviously 5 5 a separate document which you've brought along? seeing things on the street every day, and it kind of 6 6 A. I have. anchors us a little bit that even at the lower end, as 7 Q. It is, of course, in our reports bundle, tab 4. We've 7 some people would see it, of what happens, there is an 8 looked at the overview section with Mr Baker and then we 8 expectation of the police, thankfully, which is hugely 9 9 inspiring. 89 per cent of the public think that they get to the meat of it. Chapter 1 to begin with, which 10 10 should be better than others in regard to their mission on the internal numbering is page 21. I think on our 11 11 and what they do and be very even-handed about it. wider numbering it's page 04396. 12 12 This report, of course, goes further than That's how I interpret that. 13 13 Q. The importance of perceptions -- and I suppose therefore difficulties in the relations between the police and the 14 14 the part of the answer to the penultimate question press. It's looking at police integrity issues more 15 15 widely, self-evidently. Can I ask you this question: on I posed to you -- you deal with at page 25 of the 16 16 internal numbering, 04400. Really, I think this part the narrower issue of police relations with the press, 17 17 is it your understanding or perception that this is speaks for itself. 18 a problem which is particular to the Metropolitan Police 18 Chapter 2, though, Sir Denis, 04402, page 27, 19 Service or is it a problem which is country-wide? 19 "Relationships with media and other parties". May I ask 20 20 A. I think the conflicts of -- the emerging conflicts of you, please, to elaborate or clarify the paragraph which 21 21 interest evidence is country-wide. I think the issues deals with the over-arching principle, level with the 22 22 with the press are most intense in the most intensive lower hole punch, four paragraph down, where you say: 23 23 environment, which is London. "The over-arching principle of police relationships

Q. Thank you. Now, chapter 1, "What the public think". As

Mr Baker pointed out, the approach here was both

Page 6

24

25

24

25

with the media is that the Police Service should not

seek to constrain the media but allow them to accurately

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

9

10

18

23

1 report news in which the principal beneficiary is the 2 public." 3

That part is clear enough. But then the next sentence:

"However, forces should take account of the level and intensity of these relationships and not least how they'll be perceived by the public."

What do you mean by that, please?

A. Well, if the relationships become, as it were, visible and particularly focused on one or two individuals or one particular news organisation -- this really is in more of a national level than a local level, where very often, frankly, there is only one local newspaper -then the point is that people may have the wrong perceptions of it, or maybe the right perception, but they may -- it may cause them to become concerned.

17 Q. Thank you. Then you say:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"No evidence of endemic corruption in police relationships with the media."

20 And that statement applies, presumably, to the 21 Metropolitan Police Service as much as it does to anyone 22 else; is that right?

23 A. On the information available to us -- and I have no 24 special advantages on this, Mr Jay -- that is true.

25 Q. Then the last paragraph:

Page 9

1 officer, you are -- have to be in a slightly separate 2 place on these things.

3 Q. On the next page, page 28, you address the Information 4 Commissioner's reports. Then you say in that paragraph:

5 "HMIC has contacted the ICO and established that 6 since this operation [that's Operation Motorman, of 7 course] they have had no additional referrals of 8 police-related information disclosure of which the

9 police were not aware."

10 This relates, does it, to confidential information obtained from the Police National Computer or does it

relate to other matters?

A. I understand this -- I will check this, sir, but I understand this to relate to investigations into unauthorised disclosure of information by the police in general terms, and the object of the exercise was to check with this body, with the PCC, with others, to see whether there was a broader set of data than usually goes to the IPCC, the people who look at complaints, to see whether there was a broader set of data that painted a different picture. That the was the object of that.

22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The problem with that in relation to

23 the Information Commissioner, however, is that if you go

24 back into Motorman, I think I'm right -- I'll be

25 corrected if I'm not -- that that was started because of

Page 11

"The boundaries of acceptable relationships are 2 understood."

Obviously they exclude the exchange of information for money. About four lines down:

"One force gave a view, shared by others in the service, that most leaks come about by staff being loose-lipped and discussing things with friends and family which then get passed on or overheard, rather than deliberate corruption or financial gain."

10 Just explore what is the evidential foundation for 11 that, if any?

A. The evidential foundation for that is the -- is looking back on the investigations that had been conducted on unauthorised disclosure of information, and where they have tended to fall, the bulk of them, and very few of those have been actually with the media. That doesn't mean to say the problem doesn't exist, but one can only work with what is in front of one. The bulk have tended to be more of this sort of, as it were, indirect leaking because of -- maybe people have not been as thoughtful or cautious as they should have been.

22 Q. Rather than gossip and similar lack of caution over 23 a social interaction with a journalist?

24 A. Yes. There's always an appetite for gossip and that's 25 understandable. The fact of the matter is, as a police Page 10

1 a perception of leaks not from the police but from the

2 DVLA, and it was only when the Information Commissioner 3 went in with support that he discovered the Whittamore

4 papers, which revealed far more extensive data lapses

5 than they'd looked at. But it was reactive. It wasn't

6 that they were looking for what was going on with the

7 police or looking for particular problems; they

8 simply -- correct me if I'm wrong -- went in and found

something they weren't expecting, and of course then

took it all up and secured all the documents.

11 The question is not: "Have there been complaints?",

12 because there may not have been a complaint there, but

13 whether there is anything to be derived from the fact

14 that they haven't seen anything since, and I just don't

15 know the answer to that question.

16 A. And neither do I, sir. Perhaps I should explain that

17 part of our approach, when we approach an issue, is we

try to go from the outside in rather than just simply

19 ask the sector itself, and in preparing this report and

20 other thoughts, we have spoken to journalists and

21 a number of other institutions to see whether they have

22 other information. It may have a bearing -- it may give

us, as it were, a better intelligence picture about the

24 issues, and it is really only in there in that context.

25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. It's unlikely many Page 12

1 journalists would admit that think were doing something 1 "... inconsistency across the Police Service in the 2 that was, if not actually and frankly illegal, at least 2 use of off-the-record briefings." 3 3 likely to excite your attention to a very high degree. What do you mean by that? Not what you mean by the 4 4 term "off-the-record briefings" but wherein lies the A. Well, sir, I can only take them as I find them. The 5 ones I have spoken to were people I thought were 5 inconsistency? 6 established, trustworthy and thoughtful, and they did 6 A. Well, I understand by this -- although I will check my 7 7 have views about police corruption, because that was one understanding, my understanding is that across the 8 8 of the issues that was put directly to them, and the country, some people have a form in which they will do 9 9 rationale varied from people who had looked at it nonreportable briefings, some are much less formalised, 10 10 intensively to those who took account of what was some will do it more frequently than others. Some are 11 appearing in the courts, in the press, if their everyday 11 less concerned about exclusiveness in these things in 12 dealings, and I took some measure of comfort from having 12 terms of how many people they speak to. It's of that 13 asked. That's all I would say. 13 kind of nature, really, that I am -- that's the point 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Oh yes, that's entirely fair enough. 14 I'm making. 15 I'm not for a moment challenging your way of working. 15 Q. Thank you. 16 I just have to be careful that I don't derive more from 16 A. If it must happen, I suppose the suggestion would then 17 it than you seek to argue I should derive from it. 17 be: well, it would do to have some bit of structure on 18 A. Yes. I regard -- I have learnt, sir, that you are as 18 it, at the very least. 19 good as what you truly know and what you think you ought 19 Q. The next paragraph. You found some evidence of 20 to know, but what we try and to in these things is to 20 corporate entertaining with the media: 21 see whether anybody else knows things and they will 21 "However, there was little clarity with the 22 share them with you. 22 boundaries of acceptability, with forces and individuals 23 MR JAY: Sir Denis, at the bottom of this page, you address 23 instead relying on a common-sense approach." 24 24 the Metropolitan point as against the regional police Are you referring there to different media and gifts 25 forces. Can I just deal with the point you make four or 25 and hospitality policies or are you referring to the Page 13 Page 15 1 five lines from the top of page 29 in the internal 1 common-sense approach differing as across the country? 2 2 numbering. You say: A. Well, I guess the point is that here we didn't really 3 "HMIC believes this misses the point." 3 find an enormous amount of corporate entertaining of the 4 The point being tangible differences in London. 4 media. That's the major point. Inasmuch as there was, 5 "We are living in a virtual communications world and 5 it was at the common-sense end, sandwiches and tea end 6 6 issues are being followed in real time through a range of it -- that's my understanding -- rather than some of 7 of new technology and social media." 7 the more fashionable alternatives that you've heard 8 What point are you making there and what point has 8 about. 9 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's one way of describing them. been missed? 10 A. I think intense inquiries which will generate 10 MR JAY: Level with the lower hole punch, you say: 11 competition for information can happen anywhere in this 11 "We found that forces lack the capacity and 12 country. That's a fact. If you look at Cumbria --12 capability to proactively identify any inappropriate 13 13 you know, think of the last couple of years. Cumbria, relationships. Forces conveyed a sense of inevitability 14 14 Northumbria, Bristol. So those kind of inquiries which that resourcing complex investigations into media leaks 15 15 draw the most intense scrutiny can happen anywhere and rarely yields any positive result. Forces should 16 with that potential conflicts of interest and issues, 16 explore options for identifying and monitoring emerging 17 17 but running alongside that is a whole new world which is and inappropriate relationships with leaks to the 18 unwrapping around us, as people twitter this Inquiry and 18 media." 19 19 It might be said you're not giving the forces many as people engage in a huge range of social media, and 20 20 that includes people who are serving police officers and hints as to what they should be doing; you're asking 21 21 members of staff who may or may not be aware of just how them to formulate the options. But if you were to 22 22 much of themselves they are revealing, and we did not suggest even tentatively some ideas as to how this could 23 23 find that that issue was restricted to the Metropolitan be taken forward, could you share those with us, please? 24 Police. 24 A. Well, police forces actually have developed systems for 25 25 Q. The next paragraph: protective monitoring of their internal security Page 14 Page 16

2

3

4

7

10

11

procedures and they even have software, things like that, that help them spot anomalies in the system. When it comes to what's appearing in social media or media in general, that's much less the case. We did find three forces who looked at that kind of thing. There are mechanisms that will now -- actually relational databases that, if you seek to use them well, will actually show you that some things are suddenly appearing in some part of the media, when maybe, if you

systems. For example, the PMC. It took a little while

and now they have well-rehearsed systems and testing

11 12 have some kind of view about what should be going out of 13 the organisation, might raise a question in the mind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

5

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

This will not necessarily tell you who, how or when, but

it actually means that you have some kind of radar. Now, there are a number of companies that provide these kind of sentiment relational database activity.

They're quite established. We looked at it, for example, in relation to public order. Big organisations

do it now. People who are concerned with their

21 marketing and branding do it. You have -- and I don't

22 want to advertise them particularly, but you have people

23 like Trufflenet and others on the Internet who actually 24

Page 17

happening and a pattern of activity that you should be

offer services. This will not actually give you who

25 done it, but it will tell you maybe something is

1

aware of that nobody else is telling you about. It

3 improves your intelligence for your environment.

4 I think it's time to patrol that environment piece.

Q. Thank you. Then the next page, on the internal numbering page 30. It's going to be 04405, I think.

7 Can you help us, please, with the paragraph slap in the

8 middle, the notifiable association policy. Could you

explain that to the uninitiated?

A. There have been a number of investigations about the relationship individuals have with the media. Some are married to people in the media, some know people in the media, and if you look later on the report in business interests, I believe there are at least two cases --

15 I will be corrected if I am wrong -- where as part of 16 their business activities outside the police, they

provided some assistance in relation to the media.

In other words, here are some connections that can be perfectly appropriate and correct, but it's useful to be aware of them. That is the point.

Q. Then in the following paragraphs you deal with the variable procedures around recording interactions and

23 conversations with the media and the lack of

24 relationship between policies, procedures on the one

25 hand and practical application on the other.

Page 18

5 representatives: 6 "Time and date of the meeting, brief details of

that forces and authorities should record all

purpose, content and persons involved should be 8 recorded, and appropriate mechanisms should be in place 9 to audit these records."

You make a recommendation towards the top of

page 31, the next page, where you say it's your view

interactions between police employees and media

So presumably there you're including off-the-record conversations?

12 A. I'm including -- I know I keep returning to it. I'm 13 referring to briefings that are not reported, indeed, 14 definitely. This is a recommendation for ACPO and 15 others to consider in order to try and establish some 16 consistency to how this is dealt with.

17 Q. And presumably in order to avoid the complaint of 18 overbureaucracy, you're looking only for a brief epitome 19 of the conversation; is that correct?

20 A. Personally, I would go for the brief as possible, but 21 different individuals would have a different appetite

22 for these things. I think the point is that you were

23 showing that you had a contact and that's not something

24 you're ashamed of, and -- later on I would like to

return to the basis, though, for those contacts and that 25 Page 19

might help inform how one would want to note anything

2 like this.

3 Q. You make the point at the bottom of the page that these

4 specific policies should be seen against the backdrop of

5 wider ethical policies, and you draw analogies from the

6 New South Wales experience, which is one of the exhibits

7 to your witness statement, which does draw that nexus;

8 is that right?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Then the next page, you're looking for a national media

11 policy to include appropriate levels of social

12 interaction relationships alongside practical guidance.

13 So the policies should be the same regardless of whether

14 you're in the Metropolitan area or wherever; is that the

15 point you're seeking to drive at?

16 A. I -- I think what's intended here is the framework is

17 the same. Clearly, different environments will have

18 different -- and events will have different levels of

19 intensity and -- in the way any framework or policy is

20 applied, will require different levels of training,

21 different considerations, different views on

22 vulnerabilities, depending where you are and what is

happening. But what we're looking for is a common frame

24 of reference, and by the way, I do not -- again, I'd

25 like to return to this. The last thing I would wish to

Page 20

23

1 do is constrain the relationship between the police and 1 I think they should have a view about this, because this 2 2 the press. That would defy reality. comes back to: there has to be some separation between 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. The problem is to find the 3 personal life and professional life, and certainly 4 4 right balance, isn't it? a measure of separation for people who want to be police 5 5 officers. A. Exactly, sir. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Encourage a relationship but in the 6 Q. And of direct concern to this Inquiry, the 7 7 context of transparent and open dealings which are not paragraph level with the upper hole punch, page 38. 8 in any sense covert and which don't carry with them even 8 I think it's page 04413, where you speak of evidence of 9 the perception of inappropriate hospitality or the like. 9 relationships or at least dialogues being facilitated 10 10 A. That's the general end game, sir. through social networking sites between officers and 11 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, it's easy to state. The problem journalists from the national media, particularly 12 is trying to do it. 12 evident in the online conversations being held on 13 A. I do have, you know, a -- some thoughts on that and 13 Twitter. 14 14 perhaps we can return to them at the end to try and You say: 15 15 "Whilst such conversations are transparent and may frame that. 16 MR JAY: After that paragraph in bold lettering, you observe 16 be viewed by any interest party, the nature of this 17 that police authorities are, in your view -- this is the 17 communication channel enables journalists to cast their 18 implication -- not carrying out much oversight in this 18 net more widely for sources and quotes." 19 area, and you're looking for more from them and from the 19 In one sense, as you say, it's all entirely 20 new bodies post November; is that right? 20 transparent, but on the other hand, if the police 21 21 A. Yes. Underlying all of this is the legitimacy issue, officer is communicating anonymously, as it might be on 22 the legitimacy of the police, and I think, you know, the 22 some occasions -- even if it's clear it is a police 23 probity of the police is a hugely precious, important 23 officer, it gives rise to obvious difficulty; is that 24 24 issue, and it should be the subject of some governance 25 at some point. It is in other organisations, that 25 A. It is. I mean, the example that comes to mind is Page 21 Page 23 1 arguably, some would say, have less to lose than the 1 somebody commenting on some programme or Question Time. 2 2 If it's known they're a police officer, it could suggest 3 3 Q. Chapter 3, starting on page 34, this is going much wider that perhaps, you know, they had a political view, which 4 than the media --4 is not really what we particularly want to hear from 5 5 A. Yes. a police officer. Unless they make it darn clear that 6 6 Q. -- and probably is of marginal relevance to us, save for they are not a police officer or in police officer mode 7 what you say about the Police National Computer, which 7 at that point in time, it becomes very difficult to 8 8 we're going to deal with as a separate sort of chapter start doing that -- disentangling that kind of thing. 9 9 of your evidence in due course. Likewise, if people know one is a police officer and 10 10 one is seeking advice on a good pub to have a drink at, You do have something to say about social 11 networking, which you may already have covered, the 11 at one level this is entirely innocent. You know, who 12 12 bottom of page 36. You recommend that all forces need cares? But in another level, particularly if it's not 13 13 somebody who's just come into policing, you would think to have a policy in place. 14 A. Yes. Yes, Mr Jay, a number have, but this -- you known, 14 there are other ways to find this kind of information 15 15 out, particularly other ways without people knowing particularly as the demographics of the police change, 16 you're a police officer. 16 this is becoming a much, much bigger issue. 17 17 Q. The problem here is twofold. Do I have it right: one, It's -- but this -- I have to say this: I don't 18 photographs -- and we've heard a bit about that last 18 think the HMIC can be absolute arbiters of the right way 19 week from Mr Baker -- and secondly, perhaps more 19 on this, but I think what we need is a way, and we need 20 20 obviously, disclosure of inappropriate information via to address these issues. Nor do I think we are the 21 21 this medium? absolute arbiters on transparency, because sometimes 22 22 A. Well, some people are declaring that they are police I think transparency can be seen to suit particular 23 23 officers. Some people declare -- making some commentary sectors and I'm sure that would be the view of the 24 24 about their organisation. This may be a personal view. media, for example, about the police. My point really 25 25 I hope to address this issue with ACPO shortly. I mean, is we ought to give people some points of reference to Page 22 Page 24

## 1 deal with this age we're in. That's the essence of it. 1 senior staff to be more attune to the moral issues. 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You could test it by reference to 2 owing to greater experience, but maybe, save, for cases 3 3 those who might express views which border upon the of frank corruption where money passes hands, there 4 4 racist. I say "border upon" because obviously if it's isn't much of a risk of substantial gifts and 5 5 criminal, that's quite different. hospitality being provided to senior staff? Is that 6 A. Yes. 6 right? Or are you making a different and deeper point 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But although somebody could be 7 8 speaking in their personal capacity, if they express 8 A. Mr Jay, I doubt it's that deep a point, but what I do 9 9 views which are not consistent with their independent think is this: there is a serious point here that a lot 10 10 upholding of the law, then that creates a problem, of people join the police, a huge lot of people, and 11 11 however much they are careful to say that they're they join it on a vocational basis. It is -- they join 12 12 speaking in a personal capacity. it for the mission, and it is a very noble mission, 13 13 A. It does, sir, and that goes to the heart of it. If to -- you know, encapsulated in the Queen's Police 14 14 one -- for example, one of the reference points in here Medal: "To guard my people". They don't come that much 15 which must tie into any frame is: if your activity on 15 more noble than that. 16 here suggests that you are less than impartial, then in 16 And I think this is -- if never quite expressed in 17 17 that way, this is a driving force for a lot of people, a sense you've undermined the main mission. That's 18 basically the starting point into framing something like 18 and so when our staff at HMIC put scenarios to them, 19 19 this, because that's really important clearly to the they are pretty much able to thankfully determine right 20 British public and part of the British policing model. 20 from wrong and whether something is inappropriate or 21 21 MR JAY: It locks in exactly with the very title of the not. This is not to say that more senior staff can't, 22 report, "Without fear or favour". 22 but the point is that more senior staff perhaps, 23 A. It does. 23 depending on what role they're in, may be more exposed 24 24 Q. You make some recommendations about social networking sometimes to more obvious conflicts of interest. 25 25 sites on page 39, which no doubt are self-explanatory. The exception to this are junior staff in work in Page 25 Page 27 1 Chapter 4, "Gratuities and hospitality", which is 1 particular specialised units, squads, particular parts 2 2 page 40, 04415, I think. At the bottom of the page: of policing, but this finding about a common sense 3 3 approach that most of you would -- most of us would hope "All forces and authorities have a recording 4 mechanism for gratuities and hospitality, but these are 4 for, is, I think, something of great comfort. 5 not consistently completed in most cases." 5 Q. And the scale of the problem is indicated by the 6 6 So are you saying there that they're very often not paragraph which lies just above the lower hole punch, 7 completed? 7 beginning: 8 8 A. Can you just draw my attention to the --"A review of force hospitality registers across 9 9 England and Wales supplied to the HMIC for the last five Q. The very last paragraph on that page 40. 10 years showed 9,500 entries, of which less than 10 A. Yes. We don't think they're always completed, and this 11 1 per cent [in other words, 68 entries] of gratuities 11 may -- there may be innocent explanations for that, 12 12 I imagine, because of the relatively trivial nature of and hospital were received from the media." 13 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's been corrected, hasn't it? the hospitality. However, the unevenness of the way it 14 14 A. Yes. I believe Mr Baker has updated that. is recorded suggests it's because there 15 15 isn't a system-wide approach to the whole thing. MR JAY: Yes, he did, pardon me. 16 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Because it was over a period of time Q. Then the next page, second paragraph on the page: 17 17 "Whilst not all staff members are formally aware of and the 68 has become 298. 18 their force's specific policy or guidance, the review 18 A. That's correct, sir. 19 found that they were able to demonstrate a strong 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's right? 20 inherent moral compass and common sense approach to the 20 MR JAY: I missed that one. 21 21 boundaries of acceptability in tune with this local A. It was about the completeness of the record in relation 22 22 approach. This was particularly apparent amongst junior to the Metropolitan Police. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, timing. It's whether you're 23 23 staff." 24 On one level, that may be said to be a somewhat 24 with comparing like with like. 25 25 A. Yes, and fully bringing the Metropolitan Police into the anomalous conclusion, because you would expect the Page 26 Page 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

of frame on it.

outside the police.

A. Quite.

1 picture.

5

6

7

8

9

15

23

6

7

8

9

2 MR JAY: Even with that revised statistic, we get a feel for

3 the problem against the wider issue of hospitality

4 across the country.

> You recommend on the next page, page 42, in the emboldened type, the need for a national standard as well as recording practices which illustrate both what is accepted and what declined, so the full nature of the

relationship is transparent.

10 A. Indeed. I think I should make it clear that this isn't 11 in the shape of £5 is okay but £5.50 is not. I think it 12 is -- this would be, I hope, more grounded in what is 13 appropriate in the circumstances. It will be more of 14 that character, because otherwise we will be chasing our

16 O. Thank you. Then there's a case study on the next page. 17 You have a mnemonic gift. That, of course, is applying 18 to hospitality in general, not just hospitality from the

19 media?

tails.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Chapter 5 I don't think is going to be of direct

22 interest to us, nor really chapter 6, save for a small

point in chapter 6 on post-service employment, which is

24 page 51 on the internal numbering, which I think is

25 page 04436. You've identified an issue here with

Page 29

A. Yes, and that will not be good for the private sector or Page 31

particular media outlet or the police, so there is

We do think that this is an issue, but it needs some

careful consideration as to how one could put any kind

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, one size might not fit all.

appropriate it may be to require a cooling-off period,

but it may be that different rules ought to apply to the

extent to which former officers can effectively use the

or support or anything else to assist them in working

Equally, the other way around: if the police are

going to employ representatives of the press in their

important that that doesn't carry with it some favoured

press and media relations departments, it's very

nation status or some perception of a back door.

A. Quite, sir. It is an issue and it has the potential, if

discussed, outsourcing of what are traditional police

not gripped in some way, to become even more significant

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Even more so if there is, as is being

than perhaps it has been in this Inquiry, and that's why

contacts which they have acquired to access information

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The more senior the officer, the more

restraint of trade and recommend that some specialist 1

2 legal advice be obtained first, which is no doubt

3 sensible. But you're not directly addressing the

4 revolving door issue as between the police and the press

5 or indeed vice versa; is that correct?

A. I think what we're endeavouring to show is that our revolving door between the police and the press or between the police and the security sector or between others where there may be a conflict of interest is

10 difficult ground, because of the present legal position

as I understand it.

11 12 We did take the trouble of looking at -- in the 13 wider arena, at institutions like the advisory committee

14 on business appointments, which is a short cooling-off 15 period and then limitations on lobbying and the like.

16 I would have thought, though, if there was a will, it 17 must be possible to progressively adopt some acceptable

18 standards so that people do not think that people --

19 particularly as there is more private sector contact

20 with the police, that people are not moving --

21 negotiating contracts, as it were -- let's take the

22 worst scenario -- on this week, and next week retiring

23 or resigning and moving into the private sector and

24 whatever. I'm simply doing it in the abstract rather

25 than particularly the media. It's the same broad idea.

Page 30

2 a good reason to put some shape on it.

we draw attention to the dilemma.

3 MR JAY: I move forward to chapter 8, Sir Denis, "Governance

4 and oversight". On the internal numbering, page 55.

5 I think it's page 04430.

areas of activity.

6 You make it clear -- "corporate governance", of

course, is a term this Inquiry has been using

8 consistently, quite a lot in Module 1. It's more, in

9 your view, than systems and processes. It requires

10 those in charge of the organisation and who represent it

11 to be consistent in demonstrating appropriate behaviours

12 and promoting its values in pursuit of its objectives.

13 So it's leadership behaviour setting the example, and

14 that example will then be seen by those lower down and

15 followed. Those are the key points I think you're

16 making on that first page.

17 A. Yes, they are. They are stewards of the reputation of 18 the organisation.

19 Q. At the top of the next page, page 56, you say you

20 consider that:

21 "Chief office teams should review their corporate

22 governance and oversight arrangement to ensure that they

23 are fulfilling their function in helping promote the

24 values of their force and the delivery of its

25 objectives, and that they are, through their actions and

1 behaviours, promoting the values of the organisation and 1 Then you're going to carry out a further assessment 2 2 making sure good corporate governance is seen as a core ahead of that consultation, but in time for the new 3 3 part of everyday business." regime coming into force, which I think it will on 4 4 1 November; is that correct? I think that's one of your principal recommendations 5 at the end? 5 A. It is. I should just probably explain that although 6 A. It is. 6 this may look very police-y in the way it is addressed 7 7 Q. Can I ask you, please, about managing the risks, here, we did extensive work outside the police and, 8 8 page 57. What, in essence -- this is obviously particularly given the Bribery Act and all of the issues 9 a preventive strategy. What, in essence, are you 9 going on in the private sector, what we found 10 10 considering and recommending there, Sir Denis? consistently were the best in the public sector and the 11 A. Well, it -- there are patterns and lessons to be learnt 11 private sector is that they had four features: there was 12 in the way relationships can develop, and something that 12 a framework that people could easily relate to; it can't 13 started relatively innocently can become more 13 be over-complex or people basically will not follow it; 14 14 problematic. It's bound to be associated with there had to be some education, whether you're working 15 15 for British Petroleum or you're working for the police, particular kinds of posts, the targeting of individuals 16 and particular kinds of posts, and with individuals' own 16 about the issue and vulnerability; there had to be --17 obligations, whether they're financial -- for example, 17 and we've alluded to this earlier -- some kind of 18 currently it's been assessed about 8.8 per cent of 18 intelligence system, some way of looking to see what's 19 19 police officers and staff are financially stressed. happening in relationships and the rest of it; and there 20 There are ways of looking at people who work for 20 had to be some sanction, clearly, if things didn't --21 21 your organisation and what they do, and looking at the buy those are consistent featureless, looking across the 22 potential to safeguard, as it were, them, to prevent 22 best private and public sector, and so these, in 23 things happening, and during the 1990s, when it was 23 a number of ways, relate to that. 24 24 looked at in relation, as it were, to conventional I have to say that I think I would take that general 25 25 corruption, criminal activity, they profiled the shape reference point, but in relation to the press, having Page 33 Page 35 of this so that there was, if you like, an intelligence 1 watched how things have developed since I joined as 1 2 2 profile of the most vulnerable areas. a very young constable a long time ago in the 3 3 I guess what we're looking at is if you want to Metropolitan Police, I would want to then take that and 4 avoid conflicts of interest, if you want to avoid 4 I'd want to customise that for the benefit of your 5 a slippery slope, it is worth considering how you 5 Inquiry, more particularly about dealing with the press. 6 profile vulnerabilities of your organisation and its 6 Some of these conflicts of interest are new kids on the 7 7 relationships with whatever other people or sectors you block. Basically, a few years ago, you worked for the 8 engage with. 8 police and that was it, and actually you could be sacked 9 9 Q. Thank you. Your recommendations, chapter 9. You pick for working outside the police, moonlighting in any 10 10 up a number of themes we've already examined. The sense. 11 11 But the issues with the press are enduring, and principal recommendations are listed page 62. I think 12 is page 04437. 12 going back to your mission on this Inquiry, I am mindful 13 13 You're looking, in the emboldened characters: of that in thinking about coming up with a solution. So 14 14 "Robust systems to ensure risks arising from whilst that is useful in the most general terms for 15 15 relationships, information disclosure, gratuitous dealing with conflicts of interest and broadly for the 16 hospitality are identified, monitored and managed. 16 media, I think I'd want to customise that, and I have 17 Clear boundaries and thresholds." 17 some thoughts about that.

Sir Denis? I know you've given consideration to the

Q. Thank you. May we begin to develop those thoughts,

20 issue, I suppose, of diagnosis, what went wrong, and

21 you've subdivided that into a number of separate

22 rubrics, but in your own words, please, and in order to

23 summarise some of the points you've made -- I think

24 Lord Justice Leveson and I have seen the additional note

25 you've prepared and no one else has. It's more

Page 36

Then you're looking for consistent and service-wide

"HMIC expects the service to have detailed proposals

policies. Recommendations in relation to training

courses. Chief officer teams reviewing corporate

in the above areas ready for consultation with all

Page 34

governance. We've just looked at that.

relevant parties by April [of this year]."

Then, towards the bottom of the page:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

16

20

23

2 in particular about what I have called diagnosis? 3 A. I'd like to say a diagnosis has to step a bit outside 4 the police for a moment here. I'm struck by three 5 things, really. This Inquiry is occurring because the 6 journalist actually broke this story, again. I have 7 seen this happen before. I saw it happen in 1969 when

a speaking note for you. But what would you like to say

8 the Times had a heading "A firm within a firm within the 9 Metropolitan Police", which was part of the beginning of 10 some significant change. So number one, a journalist

11 broke this story.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing is our look at it -- Elizabeth Filkin's suggested there isn't a framework that deals with this age, that deals with these issues, very well. I'll come back to that.

The third point I think we have to look at in police terms as well as in broader somebody terms is public interest, and defining "public interest" is problematic, which is why I think the police need to take some care around it. Those three issues are in the back of my mind in looking at where we've come to, and I'm looking across the past 40 years.

So coming to number one, journalists actually have been a spur for change. It's been uncomfortable. My goodness, I know that. If you're utterly devoted to

Page 37

1 somewhat over the time I have been in the police. It's

2 not brand new, but it has certainly developed a great 3

deal, and I guess what I deduced from all of that is

4 a great concern in the police about whether they're

5 getting the story across, a concern that their

6 legitimacy would be undermined. By "legitimacy", I mean

7 their competence to operate, their authority, as it

8 were, to use discretion and get general support, and

9 that has kind of led the police to want to do more with

10 the media, which, in my terms, they have -- over the

11 last 30-plus years, they have sought good relations, and

12 I think good relations are fine. One does not need to

13 be unfriendly, one does not need to be extremely austere

14 in one's contact, as I've illustrated earlier on, but

that's not the same as the right relationship.

That is a different entity, and I hope that what 17 this Inquiry can do is move beyond having aspiration for 18 a good relationship, good news, as it were, accentuating

19 the positive, to the right relationship, which

acknowledges that actually the press, the media, are

21 part of our society, but the police have to operate

22 within certain boundaries when dealing with them.

Now, that's the trick --

24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: To what extent is that impacted by

25 a natural reluctance to reveal or permit to be exposed

Page 39

a mission and uncomfortable information is put in front of you, it can be daunting at times, and wearying. But I could rehearse several of those, and I'm particularly aware of it, of course, because of the Lawrence Inquiry, and I well recall just one particular point, going on a BBC programme "The heart of the matter" and having a video played to me of a case that had been written off a suicide, which actually, when one looked at the evidence as presented, suggested something else indeed had happened, and we reopened -- at the end of that programme, we reopened that investigation.

This has happened from time to time. Corruption, cases that -- if you like, miscarriages of justice. This isn't all of the time, but it has happened, as well as assisting to catch some of the most -- the worst-possible criminals.

So I don't want to overdo this, but one has to -for a healthy solution, one has to understand this function very, very well, even if it's uncomfortable. Now, I think that the issue is that over time I have noticed how it's developed in terms beyond, as it were, those pretty straightforward things which most people would say are in the public interest to the personal interests in people's lives, people's personal lives, which I think is much more arguable. That's developed

Page 38

1 what is, in reality, bad news?

2 A. Police are in the bad news business, sir.

3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, that's the point.

4 A. Police are in the business -- they start quite a lot of

5 their activity where -- at a point of human failing and

6 human error. The best of them -- and there are a lot of

7 them -- try very hard to recover that, whatever that is:

8 a missing person, a murder, a domestic situation. They

9 try and recover it. But part of growing up -- and it's

a hard lesson -- is that you have to be prepared to deal 10

11 with bad news about how you operate as well.

12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's the point, where you haven't

13 been Sherlock Holmes; you've been more like -- I'm not

14 sure Dr Watson is being unfairly criticised for being --

15 A. Some people have theories about Watson, that he really

16 was the smart one, but I absolutely understand your 17

point.

18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. Certainly there's a decision in

19 the House of Lords where one of their Lordships speaks

20 about the perception of Sherlock Holmes or the

21 less-than-adequate performance of Dr Watson, which was

22 probably unfair, but you understand the point I'm

23 making.

24 A. I do. I think the essence of it is that the police want 25 this good news to get good results, which is quite

1 legitimate in itself, to want to look -- they win 2 sometimes in recovering these errors. 3 I think that good results are important, but the way 4 you get those good results into the media or the way you 5 deal with bad news has to be by the standards and values 6 of the police. They must have their own anchor points 7 in dealing both with the good and the bad, and I think 8 the desire for results is usually laudable, but the 9 whole thing about the police, rather like justice, is 10 how you do it is at least as important as the result you 11 get, and I think that's got lost a little along the way. 12 And it hasn't just got to be good for the police. 13 This is why you have to be able to deal with the bad 14 news. It has to be good for the public. You know, good 15 for the victim, back to where we were earlier on with 16 critical incident training. 17 This has been a long, hard lesson, you know, and 18 I hope this can be compressed for people in the future.

I have seen a long, hard lesson, you know, and I hope this can be compressed for people in the future. Maybe I've been a slow learner, but I have seen this unwind, as it were, over the years.

I do hope that part of what the Inquiry can do is assert the importance of the legitimacy for the police. It's an essential building block. Everything else tends to fall away if the how you deal with things is seen to be wrong, and when people pursue results, sometimes not Page 41

1 revealed this particular case, and lawsuits and hearings

- 2 of this Inquiry are revealing more about what we know
- 3 today.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So it's a cultural thing, as much as
- 5 anything?
- 6 A. Um ... it --
- 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Or a mindset.
- 8 A. Yes. I think -- I actually prefer the second to the
  - first, in the sense that the way we to business -- in
- other professions, if I may say so, from the little
- 11 I know of the law or medicine or some of the things that
- my friends and acquaintances -- a lot of how they do
- business is hard-wired in at the very start. For
- 14 example, in medicine, confidentiality on records or the
- 15 **like.**

9

20

1

2

16 This hard-wiring -- there is, of course, training

17 for the police and there is some of this that happens,

but the hard-wiring can't come from guidance alone, and

19 I will come to that later. I think you have to

reinforce it on a number of fronts in order to land your

21 point about confidentiality or whatever it is that you

22 hold precious in that profession. That's the point.

And in the fast-moving, noisy world of the police, where

24 everybody expects a kind of instant television-type

25 result -- or certainly in the next half hour or hour,

Page 43

everything will be wrapped up, pursuit of results, then

a lot of this legitimacy work, concerns, can easily get

- 1 everybody will see the value of going the extra mile.
- 2 If they attach value to legitimacy, they will.
- 3 MR JAY: Thank you. Now, under the subheading "Current
- 4 investigations" in your speaking note, as it were, you
- 5 address a number of issues. Maybe we can go straight to
- 6 paragraph 12. Can I invite you to deal with that point,
- 7 please?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

- 8 A. I should say the speaking note is informed by two more
- 9 evidential pieces, Mr Jay, in your terms. One is
- a piece of work we asked the MPIA to do on police
- reform, a summary of how things land, as it were, work,
- 12 and the second is with Cambridge University on
- 13 legitimacy. So it is not mercifully just the thoughts
- of myself; it is more informed than that.
  - The point, at 12, which actually arises from this research is that you can have lots of guidance, you can
- research is that you can have lots of guidance, you can have lots of policy, and you can even have a measure of
- 18 regulation, but the point is in this particular case
- 19 they have not been effective in the prevention,
- 20 detection or reaction to illegal interception of
- 21 information, and that's a broader finding around: formal

Page 42

- policies and guidance are all very well and they're
- 23 useful up to a point, but they're not enough necessarily
- 24 to get things done, get things implemented.
- 25 In fact, as I've said, investigative journalism

t, 6

knocked out of the way unless it's reaffirmed in
 a number of ways.

- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But this is a much, much bigger point
  - than just the issue that we're talking about. I mean,
- 7 I've made the point before that one of the consequences
- 8 of television programmes like CSI is that they create
- 9 the perception that everything can be solved
- 10 forensically, when every single police officer knows,
- 11 (a) it can't be, and (b) there aren't the resources to
- do it, even if it could, and therefore there is an
- 13 educative role as to what is in fact achievable, which
- it's critical for the police to be involved in, not
- 15 least to demonstrate why they need the support of the
- public, with the ultimate aim of promoting confidence in
- 17 the system -- the criminal justice system and the
- 18 maintenance of law and order throughout the country. Or
- is that too broadbrush?
- 20 A. No, it's not broadbrush; it's the most fundamental
- 21 thing. The British policing model is based on the
- 22 notion of policing by consent, and you absolutely
- 23 depend -- there has not been a major inquiry I've ever
- been involved in that hasn't actually, probably, in the
- end, been much less broken -- occasionally, there's

1 a forensic breakthrough of brilliance, but the huge bulk 1 I accept that. 2 of it is people said, "There's just something I thought 2 A. No, no. 3 3 you should know", or they tell somebody who you hope to LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I hope to receive that in due course, 4 4 goodness sake will draw it to the right attention and but that's different. Right. 5 5 A. It's some thoughts which I think you've asked others to from that you solve the case and protect them better, 6 and that's the fundamental exchange. 6 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Or not even solve it, but you get 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Certainly. 8 bricks in a wall. You get a piece of a jigsaw. There 8 A. And then we'll have hopefully a decent dialogue and get 9 are lots of analogies which one could pick out. 9 a strong end product. 10 A. Yes, and that's why this engagement, so that people 10 I think that the police do -- the senior police 11 11 understand what you're trying to achieve, is so officers and the junior police officers I talked to 12 12 important and why the last thing one wants to do is understand the need for a legitimate relationship with 13 13 close the whole thing down. That would be a serious the media. However, you have to look at where that gets 14 14 mistake. pressurised, and that tends to be where there's 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm sure that's right, so the 15 competition for information, particularly on top-end 16 question then becomes --16 cases; that is, in police parlance, murder, especially 17 17 the most difficult murders which are not immediately A. How do we do it, sir. 18 MR JAY: Your paragraph 13, in particular the perception 18 solved. If you think of Soham, Milly Dowler, and then 19 19 big events like 7/7 and the like. There is a history 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: He's going to tell me how to do it. 20 about the police management of information around those 21 21 A. Sir, I'm not going to tell you how to do it. I know my top-end issues which has had a lot of learning in it 22 place. I know my pay grade. 22 over the last 20 or 30 years. Very intense competition 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I wouldn't make assumptions if I were 23 which, of course, as commercial pressures bite, becomes 24 24 you, Sir Denis. higher as the stakes get higher. 25 25 A. I have considered some possible causes that lie behind Police priorities -- you've already heard from the Page 45 Page 47 1 some of this and we've alluded to them earlier, and then 1 head of counter-terrorism that different parts of police 2 I've considered what can be done, but this is absolutely 2 have different pressures, and I think if you were to 3 3 talk to a lot of junior officers, they would probably restricted to what I know and what I've been able to 4 find by those pieces of research and the work with 4 pretty uniformly say their first duty is to protect 5 Cambridge that I have considered. So it is as good and 5 life. They're not always doing that, but this is all 6 bad as that, basically, and they are merely some 6 part of the -- of why they join, in order to protect 7 suggestions on the road, because we are definitely 7 people and so on. 8 pilgrims on the road to finding how to do this better, 8 I think there have been a lot of priorities over 9 and I can be led by you in that or we can just run 9 recent years to achieve results in relation to crime 10 through the causes. Whichever suits you, sir. 10 reduction, and in achieving those results, they've had LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I'll let Mr Jay carry on. 11 11 to take views, as you said, sir, about where priorities 12 MR JAY: You probably want, Sir Denis, to run through the 12 are allocated, and they are doing that against 13 13 possible causes before we get to possible solutions. a background -- I should just mention, by way of 14 14 example, again to help contextualise it for your 15 15 Q. On your fifth page -- but can I just ask you to solution or the ultimate solution one aims for, there's 16 16 summarise --15,000 incidents reported to the Metropolitan Police 17 A. Yes, I will. Conscious of time. 17 every day, roughly about 3,000 to the West Midlands and 18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: What we'll do is, if that's all right 18 about 900 to Surrey. So they have to pick their way 19 with you, we will publish this note as an annex to your 19 through this as well as go these things well. So 20 20 evidence, if we may. there's a juggling act going on. 21 A. Sir. And the only sort of (inaudible), I would say, at 21 I think there's an issue about role tension and 22 22 this stage, reflecting on it, that it's as good and bad understanding. I am familiar -- and I overheard what 23 23 as that. Cressida Dick said today about murder inquiries, where 24 I mean, what I have seen --24 actually there's quite a rehearsed way of dealing with 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It's not necessarily your final word; 25 things ins reviews, but I think there's room to rehearse Page 46 Page 48

more strongly the obligations and police around investigation compared to the media.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The three reference points government tend to use when they're looking at information are confidentiality, integrity and availability. Clearly, there is a shared interest in availability. Where that may differ is in integrity and confidentiality, and I am not sure that that has been as well developed as it should and it will need to be in relation to a solution on the other side of this, because this is the commodity, the currency, if you will, that both have to work on: information.

That means looking at how well these big inquiries, these specialised units, how they view their obligations around information. The obvious thing is this: you, Mr Jay, or myself, we will have expectations from the police about how they keep things that they will find out about us in difficult moments confidential. It will be precious. It may be more precious, times, than everybody in the police has always understood.

There is an absence of a radar for information disclosure and conflicts of interests, and I hope I have rehearsed that sufficiently already, and by that I do not simply mean having a ledger; I mean using the kind of software and the kind of modern techniques that have been applied to protect and monitor within, to look at Page 49

1 have three components, which I could outline if it's

2 helpful.

3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think you can, but I think we'd

4 better just give the shorthand writer just five minutes,

5 if we could, if that's all right. Thank you.

6 (3.34 pm)

(A short break)

8 (3.39 pm)

7

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 MR JAY: Sir Denis, the section "What can be done?". You've

dealt with paragraph 29, which is the point about

11 revision of the relationship between police and press,

but I think you were about to develop the remaining

points you have under this heading.

14 A. Yes, Mr Jay. These are some considerations in

15 developing the right relationship, and I think that's

probably the best one can say about them, but they're based on the idea that you put some kind of framework

based on the idea that you put some kind of framework of

integrity in place and then you support it in a number
 of ways, which I'll return to in a moment.

20 Three considerations in that would be: in their

interactions with the media, there must be a legitimate

policing purpose, whether it's a constable or

23 a chief constable, and it should be more than

24 relationship-building and relate to the core values and

standards of policing. That's why I think it's

Page 51

what information is going out of the organisation. Otherwise you have no intelligence base to watch patterns.

There are some issues around interpreting the law, but I am confident, sir, you will point the way on that, and that's been raised in your Inquiry. But that's an important thing for police in prioritisation terms.

Then I conclude, I guess, that if you take the checks and balances in the British policing model, they've all got answers to give you. Not just the operators, but the people in governance mode. By that, I mean people in police authority, the future of the PCCs, the police and crime commissioners, those in regulation. Collectively, we didn't manage to stop this.

So we then get to: well, what can we think about doing? What ideas? Well, I take the view that there does need to be a significant revision in the way the relationship operates, but I would absolutely want to reassert with you: not actually in order to shrink the relationship but to put it on the right footing.

Now, getting it right means putting, to me, as a starter at least -- and we are having discussions with colleagues in ACPO and elsewhere -- some kind of framework for integrity in those dealings, which would

Page 50

important to establish those values, standards.

Now, there's a -- part of the challenge is there are several sets around from the attestation, which I think, if you are familiar with it, you know, is quite moving, all the way through to -- covers professional conduct to a statement of professional values. My instinct is that they're all worthy and as long as they crystallise what we hope from the police, they're a reference point in whether you actually have a legitimate policing purpose, which is likely to prevent crime and help people and help the investigation, than not. But that's currently the subject for discussion with ACPO and others, and I'm hopeful that there will be something forthcoming. I know it's of concern.

The second consideration is how this relationship -if you like, that's the what. The second consideration
is how; the manner in which the relationship is
conducted. In essence, I think it should operate
without favouritism and with integrity, and I say this
is about integrity of the mission policing.

So that kind of questions exclusive contact. It doesn't eliminate it, but it questions it. So it has real bite in that sense, and it also accepts that because of the police mission to investigate, you will consider what's presented to you, as it were, even if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

9

the media are presenting it to you as a real prospect. Now, what will need to happen underneath that is some very practical things for people who perhaps won't have all the time to watch this Inquiry or read all of these papers. That can be converted -- "without favourite, with integrity" -- to something a police force does about the range of contact it thinks is acceptable, about records, about briefing, authorisation -- I think you follow the drift -- so it establishing some boundaries. That's what's hinted at in the main report, but now I'm getting more specific around this particular issue for this Inquiry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then the third consideration is the police handle information and access to it. They must seek to avoid a conflict of interest, given their obligations around confidentiality in particular but unexclusively.

I think that those three points will help. If developed, can help. I'm quite prepared to accept -and there is a dialogue going on with people in the Police Service and elsewhere -- that this actually may be a prompt for a better set of ideas, but they're designed to be specific, although they may appear at first blush rather general.

Then what I would say is that the degree of application and support will depend on whether, as it Page 53

What I do know is this, though: in order to prevent, as it were, the likelihood of an officer who feels something is going wrong ever feeling they can have contact because we've set up such an austere set of arrangements that they can never go and speak to somebody else -- whistle-blow if you want to use one word, or have a conversation -- we should be prepared to consider, depending on what they're revealing, whether there is a public interest issue in it, maybe within the police.

I could extend that, but in practical terms it would also mean for me that if you're dealing with -- and I alluded to this earlier, with the inquiry into leaks in government. At the top end, if you're dealing with something that's going to generate lots of debate about conflict of interest, for whatever reason, maybe you need some kind of review group to help challenge your operators as to whether what they're really doing is in the public interest, just in case they're very busy or they're very preoccupied and they might lose their way on the public interest.

Now, there is a process at the moment called goldgrouping. This is not the same as what I have in mind. Gold group is a bunch of other officers, some of whom may be working on the thing, the project, and maybe some Page 55

were, you're in the eye of the storm or you're in the busiest part, which is -- frankly is the Metropolitan Police, global city and all of that, with all of the range of activities and opportunities and so on that exist there, compared to somewhere else. But I think they should bounce off the same broad framework.

The work on police reform, risky business that often -- too often, in my own experience, falls short of expectation, and I make that point because it will need support from those in governance role. The governance support for legitimacy, as well as other things, has to be there, otherwise nobody's probing. This reduces the challenge.

There has to be something too about regulators looking to see whether they can do better. We're certainly willing to do, that, and clearly operators need to implement.

The one piece I haven't sort of elaborated on out of where I started that's perhaps useful -- and I can develop the rest of it if you wish -- is this: this public interest issue is around us all the time. It is a difficult one to crack, this. PCC had a set of public interest considerations which, at first look, looked reasonable in many respects but didn't quite survive the contact at battle.

Page 54

2 into that, to help inform that, to challenge it, to test

brought in from outside. I'm talking about bringing

3 it, some authoritative people from outside. You

4 wouldn't be doing this every day of the week, but then

5 you're not doing these cases every day of week. So we

6 have to be prepared to think of ways of not freezing 7 down the public interest in, as it were, the truth

8 emerging or whatever words one wants to use.

> I think I should pause there, because I've been talking at you for a while.

10 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Let me see if I understand that. Are

12 you suggesting that there should be some mechanism 13 whereby an officer or anybody else who is particularly

14 concerned about one aspect should be able to report that

15 concern and have it considered seriously without having

16 to go to the press and run the risk of a conflict of

17 loyalty? Is that the idea or have I misunderstood it?

18 A. No, you haven't misunderstood it, but I -- and I would

19 also think -- well, what we end up having to do here in 20 order to avoid, as it were, freezing contact with a free

21 press and all of that, one ends up trying to square this

22 circle. And at the heart of the circle, apart from the 23 issue of police legitimacy, is the public interest,

24 which may be bit more than the police interest, as it

25 were.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.

- 2 A. Ideally, there would be some place or some person an
- 3 officer could talk to, visit: "I think that that
- 4 investigation's been suppressed."
- 5 Now, hopefully they have enough faith in their own
- 6 institution to do it. However, if they decide to be in,
- 7 sir, in your terms, disloyal, we should be prepared at
- 8 least to consider that in weighing this thing up. Do
- 9 vou see what I mean?
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I was trying to avoid an
- 11 allegation of disloyalty --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- by providing them with an
- 14 alternative mechanism to raise concerns and, if you
- 15 like, to be able to see that the concern is taken
- 16 seriously by involving somebody outside. But that would
- 17 have to go hand in glove with a willingness, on behalf
- 18 of the police, the more readily to admit where things
- haven't gone as well as they might have done, wouldn't 19
- 20 it?

1

2

- 21 A. It would, and I'm not suggesting a specific mechanism,
- 22 but I can see that unless one addresses that then some
- 23 of the -- some of the means by which problematic things
- 24 get revealed would be closed down potentially, because
- 25 one moves to a stronger framework which tightens down

the basis for contact and then you have people who would

Page 57

- as well
- say, "Now you've actually closed off the route." 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I understand that the safety
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, and then what happens is that the pressure cooker just increases the pressure --
- 5 A. And blows.
- 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- until ultimately it explodes in
- 7 a West Midlands serious crime squad or some other
- 8 terrible calamity.
- 9 A. Indeed.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Which nobody has actually seen early
- 11 enough and gripped. Is that the point?
- 12 A. Yes. So it's a public interest safety valve process in
- 13 those terms.
- 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 15 A. But also for those who are engaged at the top end in
- 16 these most contested environments, there's a mechanism
- 17 for them, with some kind of external review group -- we
- 18 use that. Others do from time to time. Not just a set
- 19 of police officers, or -- if they're en route to
- 20 a decision, there's not just something that they can
- 21 resolve with the CPS, as it were, where we can resolve
- 22 quite a lot -- is this thing viable or whatever else.
- 23 The value of doing this, given all the pressure and
- 24 resources, they can test it.
- 25 Now, there's an argument that should be with the
  - Page 58

- 1

Police and Crime Commissioner and that may be so, but

they may well get some comfort from having, as it were,

a group of people, if you like, non-execs, whatever

phrase one wants to use, people who are experienced,

authoritative in their own world, who can give them

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And you say non-executive, not merely

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I say "merely"; I don't mean that

A. No, indeed. I think other chief constables can be very

good at holding your feet to the fire, but it may not be

gets into the media and politics, as I've already

alluded to this issue in terms of trying to set up

investigations and politics, where policing meets

I am trying -- I think that within the police and

politics, but policing meets the media has the same

Now, I'm sorry I'm not offering you a solution, but

beyond, we'll have to think of ways of dealing with that

public interest bit, otherwise we close down the reform

Page 59

a protocol around the value of these kind of

tensions and difficulties, charging.

enough in broader considerations, particularly when one

a view, to say, "I think it is worth you doing this",

even though you have a lot of other pressures on.

some other chief constables?

A. Well, other --

dismissively.

- 3 valve in some way, shape or form is a very important
- 4 part of actually what the press do.
- 5 A. Mm.
- 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And I'm not trying to limit that
- 7 important role of holding the powerful, in which number
- 8 I include the police, to account. They do it for the
- 9 politicians, they do it for the government, they do it
- 10 for the judiciary, and they certainly should do it for
- 11 the police. I've made the point they don't do it for
- 12 themselves but that's a different point, and we can't
- 13 lose that by whatever else we do.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: To some extent, it may be that the
- 16 police have to be somewhat less defensive and embrace
- 17 the problems facing the more inquisitive world that we
- 18 live in and the greater amount of information that is
- 19 both out there and capable of being put out there
- 20 through social media outlets.
- 21 But that, as you say, is a hard-wiring issue or
- 22 a mindset issue, which was the word you preferred to
- 23 "culture" -- and I understand why -- which it's quite
- 24 difficult to get a handle on and say, "Well, if you take
- 25 this pill and that pill and the other pill, then it will

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

10

12

1 all come out in the end."

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 A. Well, what it might help you to do is not always make 3 the right judgment but make as good a judgment as you 4 can, and all professions have ethical dilemmas. The 5 press come to you: "We've got a story about X", and this 6 completely diverts the investigation. Do you stand off 7 or do you intervene? And you're not going to get 8 a checklist that will do that, but if you do have some 9 kind of frame of reference where you can say, "I made it 10 in order to do this", you are on the right path, 11 I think. At least you have a chance of success, and in 12 the information revolution you're talking about, I think 13 there will be a lot more of these challenges and I hope

> that you would be able to help the police on that path. One thing I would say about mindset -- I think it's about -- we're all coming to a new mindset about the age we're in and I'm sure you don't for a moment, because you know far too much about the police -- I mean, there are an awful lot of people in the police who are absolutely devoted to the mission, the -- in managing this change, acknowledging more bad news may be there and they have to deal with it, because they feel their mission is being tainted and it feels -- at times, they feel it reflects on them, when actually what's happening is we're all going through a shift in the access to

1 it's paragraph 38.4 -- you refer to transaction

2 validation under your command in Essex, and you say that

three to nine PNC intelligence transactions were being

4 validated by supervisors on a daily basis.

5 The question is: is that your recommendation for the 6 right level of transaction validation?

7 A. Mr Jay, I wonder if it would be helpful -- I think 8 somehow or other our names must have got transposed. 9 I think that's for Mr Baker. But what I suggest in 10 relation to the PNC, if it's satisfactory to you, is we'll provide a written response.

I can broadly tell you what we do at PNC. Obviously I don't know all the details of Essex and I can tell you what the HMIC do and in essence, we did an intensive look at the PNC and leakage between 2005 and 2007, established some with the operators, as I would hope we can collectively do with this, established a stronger system with the support of the National Police Improvement Agency, and the thing is now capable of being monitored using software, back to our earlier point, so that the HMIC are able to relatively stand off. That said, we are still checking information, and the PNC issue has not disappeared off the radar.

What I could do, sir, is give you a written specific answer to the specific three questions, if that helps,

Page 63

## 1 information and challenge and testing and scrutiny.

2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, this is exactly the same problem

Page 61

- 3 as faces the press where the behaviour of a few is
- 4 believed to taint all, and why I've been repeatedly at
- 5 pains to point out that I recognise the vast majority of
- 6 entirely responsible journalism that is produced. It's
- 7 a small corner that is creating so much trouble.
- 8 Exactly the same for the police.
- 9 A. Yes, and so I suppose our role has been to try and look
- 10 at the system and say, "Well, if the system isn't strong
- 11 enough to deal with that morphing, that evolutionary
- 12 thing that's going on, perhaps it needs some
- 13 strengthening without closing the whole thing down", and
- 14 hence this conversation.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I understand that.
- 16 MR JAY: Sir Denis, those were all the points I had on your
- 17 note. The last topic this afternoon are questions which
- 18 others are asking me to put to you in relation to the
- 19 Police National Computer. I provided you with advance
- 20 notice of these questions. You have the document to
- 21 hand or at least the answers that you would wish to
- 22 give.
- 23 The first question is: in your role as HMIC, you are
- 24 aware of the audits of the PNC security, which are also
- 25 available on the HMIC website, and in this paragraph --
  - Page 62

- 1 or I could recover the document now, whichever is
- 2 easiest. I'm simply trying to contextualise what we've
- 3 done. People have learnt the PNC. They've learnt to
- 4 put systems around it. We have tested it for a period
- 5 of time to help establish that. Then we stand back and
  - monitor it infrequently, just to test that the system is
- 7 working, which, in essence, if we can come up with some
- 8 framework in relation to the broader question, is a role 9
  - we may be able to assist in.
    - Is that sufficient, sir, or do you --
- 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm perfectly content that you
  - elaborate to such extent as you or Mr Baker feel
- 13 appropriate in writing.
- 14 A. Sir.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you.
- 16 MR JAY: Yes, thank you very much.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you, Sir Denis. There have 17
- 18 been a number of loose ends left by your evidence, which
- 19 you've actually identified. This thinking, I'm sure, is
- 20 going on and still developing. I would be very grateful
- 21 to learn of any further thoughts that you, either
- 22 through HMIC or in conjunction with ACPO, have on
- 23 a sensible structure, which copes with the issues that 24 you've understood I'm addressing and you've understood,
- 25 I hope, the broad recognition that I have provided to

1	you of where I see potential solutions.	1	collect that together and then ensure that it is made
2	In other words, I entirely endorse your view that	2	available to me with the balanced view of those who
3	over-restrictive tick-boxing exercises will be entirely	3	instruct you as to the appropriate line. I'm not going
4	unhelpful. It's much more a question of creating the	4	to say you'll bind me, of course you won't, but I am
5	framework within which everybody can understand the	5	very anxious that individuals feel that they've had
6	appropriate moral compass, which you've also identified,	6	their opportunity to say what they want to say, and I'm
7	and so make sensible decisions, perhaps with the wider	7	conscious that with the best will in the world I am not
8	understanding that these events and other events have	8	going to be addressing every single factual issue that
9	brought, that the public will comprehend things not	9	has arisen in the course of this Inquiry, because to do
10	going right, but will be less forgiving if they believe	10	so would lead to a report (a) that would take an
11	they've been the subject of some "cover-up" is too	11	extremely long time to write, and (b) would not achieve
12	strong a word, but deliberate restriction of	12	that which I am required to achieve, and I am conscious
13	information, for whatever reason.	13	that actually in relation to some aspects of the police,
14	I hope that's helpful and agrees with the line that	14	it may be thought that the same absence of
15	you yourself have been seeking to identify.	15	individualisation of concern, which I have afforded to
16	A. I think, hopefully, my line agrees with yours, sir,	16	journalists because of the police investigation and
17	which is in the end you will carve a way forward on	17	thereby to others, in fairness, has not been afforded to
18	this, and we have the benefit of a great deal of	18	some police officers, and I am conscious of that. Of
19	hindsight, too, which not everybody had at the time when	19	course, the reason is that this aspect of the module
20	they made the decisions they made.	20	isn't dependent upon ongoing police investigation, but
21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. Of course, it's very critical	21	I understand why it might feel that some are being dealt
22	that we can't just use the hindsight. One of the things	22	with differently.
23	that I am addressing, at least in my mind and eventually	23	MR GARNHAM: Sir, I'm grateful for that and we will act on
24	in writing, is the extent to which I am relying on	24	what you suggest. The trick, it seems to us, to be for
25	hindsight rather than actually what was there to be seen	25	us, and much more so for you, is to reconcile on the one
	Page 65		Page 67
1	and, more significantly, why what was there to be seen	1	hand fair dealing with individual points of fact, but on
2	wasn't seen, rather than just saying, "Well, in	2	the other, not disappearing into a labyrinth of factual
3	hindsight, I'd have done this, this and this."	3	disputes when you have a rather higher agenda to
4	Hindsight is always perfect.	4	address.
5	A. The only perfect science, sir.	5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, you've understood the
6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much, that's a very	6	problem. Of course, it's rendered more difficult by the
7	appropriate moment.	7	fact that for some of these issues it is only by
8	Mr Garnham?	8	drilling into the detail that you actually understand
9	MR GARNHAM: Before you rise, may I mention just one matter?	9	where the balance should lie
10	A number of those whom I represent have listened and	10	MR GARNHAM: Yes.
11	reflected on the evidence they've heard being given to	11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: and that must sometimes appear to
12	you during the latter part of this module. There are	12	those affected rather more focused than they had perhaps
13	particular factual disputes, the relevance of which to	13	anticipated.
14	the terms of your reference may be fairly marginal, but	14	MR GARNHAM: Sir, yes. Thank you.
15	they are often matters that matter a lot to the	15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much indeed.
16	individuals concerned.	16	Right. We're making a habit of this, Mr Jay.
17	The advice I have been giving them is that if there	17	Tomorrow morning, 10 o'clock. Thank you.
18	is a matter about which they feel strongly, rather than	18	(4.07 pm)
19	my raising it on the floor of this Inquiry, they should	19	(The hearing adjourned until 10 o'clock the following day)
20	submit to you a short written statement that describes	20	
21	the piece of evidence they want to advance, that we	21	
22	should serve it on you and then you will make of it what	22	
23	you think is appropriate.	23	
24	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I am very comfortable with	24	
25	that, Mr Garnham. I am equally happy for you also to Page 66	25	
			Page 68

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 69	

	(7.0		55.4	1.1.67.10	11 20 27 17	47.7.54.16
A	67:8	applied 20:20	55:4	belief 7:12	11:20 37:17	47:7 54:16
able 3:12 5:10	adjourned 68:19	49:25	authorisation	believe 18:14	42:21 59:15	60:10
26:19 27:19	admit 13:1 57:18	applies 9:20	53:9	28:14 65:10	64:8	challenge 52:2
41:13 46:3	adopt 30:17	apply 31:8	authoritative	believed 62:4	broadly 36:15	54:13 55:17
56:14 57:15	advance 62:19	applying 29:17	56:3 59:5	believes 14:3	63:12	56:2 62:1
61:14 63:21	66:21	appointments	authorities 19:3	beneficiary 9:1	broke 37:6,11	challenges 61:13
	advantages 9:24	30:14	21:17 26:3	benefit 1:18 36:4	broken 44:25	challenging
64:9	advertise 17:22	approach 6:25	authority 39:7	65:18	brought 6:5 56:1	13:15
absence 49:20	advice 24:10	12:17,17 15:23	50:12	best 2:19 35:10	65:9	chance 61:11
67:14	30:2 66:17				build 1:25 3:2	
absolute 24:18		16:1 26:15,20	availability 49:5	35:22 40:6		change 5:6 22:15
24:21	advisory 30:13	26:22 28:3	49:6	51:16 67:7	4:8	37:10,24 61:21
absolutely 40:16	afforded 67:15	appropriate 4:3	available 9:23	better 8:10 12:23	building 4:11	<b>changed</b> 1:16 3:1
44:22 46:2	67:17	18:19 19:8	62:25 67:2	45:5 46:8 51:4	41:23	4:8
50:19 61:20	afternoon 62:17	20:11 29:13	avoid 19:17 34:4	53:21 54:15	<b>bulk</b> 10:15,18	channel 23:17
abstract 30:24	age 25:1 37:14	31:7 32:11	34:4 53:15	beyond 38:21	45:1	<b>chapter</b> 6:9,24
accentuating	61:16	64:13 65:6	56:20 57:10	39:17 59:24	bunch 55:24	8:18 22:3,8
39:18	agencies 2:8,16	66:7,23 67:3	aware 11:9	big 17:19 47:19	bundle 6:7	26:1 29:21,22
	Agency 63:19	April 34:25	14:21 18:2,20	49:12	busiest 54:2	29:23 32:3
accept 47:1	agenda 68:3	arbiters 24:18,21	26:17 38:4	bigger 22:16	business 18:13	34:9
53:18	ago 36:2,7	area 5:20 20:14	62:24	44:5	18:16 30:14	character 1:17
acceptability		21:19	awful 61:19	bind 67:4	33:3 40:2,4	4:8 29:14
15:22 26:21	agree 5:15	· -	awiui 01:19			
acceptable 10:1	agrees 65:14,16	areas 31:24 34:2		bit 8:6 15:17	43:9,13 54:7	characters 34:13
30:17 53:8	ahead 35:2	34:24	B	22:18 37:3	busy 55:19	charge 32:10
accepted 29:8	aim 44:16	arena 30:13	<b>b</b> 44:11 67:11	56:24 59:25	<b>buy</b> 35:21	charging 59:21
accepts 52:23	aims 48:15	arguable 38:25	back 1:4,20 7:6	<b>bite</b> 47:23 52:23		chasing 29:14
access 31:10	allegation 57:11	arguably 22:1	10:13 11:24	block 36:7 41:23	C	check 11:13,17
53:14 61:25	allocated 48:12	argue 13:17	23:2 31:17	blows 58:5	calamity 58:8	15:6
account 9:5	allow 8:25	argument 58:25	36:12 37:15,20	blunt 7:2	called 37:2 55:22	checking 63:22
	alluded 35:17	arisen 67:9	41:15 63:20	blush 53:23	Cambridge	checklist 61:8
13:10 60:8	46:1 55:13	arises 42:15	64:5	board 2:6	42:12 46:5	checks 50:9
accurately 8:25	59:17	arising 34:14	backdrop 20:4	bodies 21:20	capability 16:12	chief 32:21 34:20
achievable 44:13	alongside 14:17	arrangement		body 11:17		51:23 59:9,13
achieve 5:8	20:12	32:22	background	<b>bold</b> 21:16	<b>capable</b> 60:19	
45:11 48:9			48:13		63:19	circle 56:22,22
67:11,12	alternative 57:14	arrangements	backwash 3:3	border 25:3,4	capacity 16:11	circumstances
achieving 48:10	alternatives 16:7	55:5	<b>bad</b> 40:1,2,11	bottom 13:23	25:8,12	29:13
acknowledges	<b>amount</b> 5:5 16:3	arrests 3:6	41:5,7,13 46:6	20:3 22:12	<b>care</b> 37:19	<b>city</b> 54:3
39:20	60:18	ashamed 19:24	46:22 61:21	26:2 34:22	careful 13:16	clarify 8:20
acknowledging	analogies 20:5	asked 5:17 13:13	Baker 6:8,25	bounce 54:6	25:11 31:2	clarity 15:21
61:21	45:9	42:10 47:5	7:13 22:19	<b>bound</b> 33:14	cares 24:12	clear 7:19 9:3
ACPO 19:14	anchor 7:5 41:6	asking 16:20	28:14 63:9	boundaries 10:1	carry 21:8 31:16	23:22 24:5
22:25 50:24	anchors 8:6	62:18	64:12	15:22 26:21	35:1 46:11	29:10 32:6
	annex 46:19	aspect 1:3 56:14	balance 21:4	34:17 39:22	carrying 21:18	34:17
52:12 64:22	anomalies 17:4	67:19	68:9	53:10	carve 65:17	clearer 4:21
acquaintances	anomalous 26:25	aspects 67:13	balanced 67:2	<b>brand</b> 39:2		clearly 20:17
43:12	anonymously	aspiration 39:17		branding 17:21	Caryatid 3:17	25:19 35:20
acquired 31:10			balances 50:9		case 17:6 29:16	
act 35:8 48:20	23:21	assert 41:22	base 50:2	break 51:7	38:7 42:18	49:5 54:16
67:23	answer 8:14	assessed 33:18	based 44:21	breakthrough	43:1 45:5	close 45:13 59:25
actions 32:25	12:15 63:25	assessment 35:1	51:17	45:1	55:19	closed 57:24 58:2
actively 7:9	answers 50:10	assist 31:11 64:9	basically 4:7	Bribery 35:8	cases 1:10 18:14	closing 62:13
activities 18:16	62:21	assistance 18:17	25:18 35:13	bricks 45:8	26:5 27:2	colleagues 50:24
54:4	anticipated	assistant 1:6,24	36:7 46:6	<b>brief</b> 19:6,18,20	38:13 47:16	collect 67:1
activity 17:17	68:13	3:10,20,22,24	basis 2:13 19:25	briefing 53:8	56:5	collectively
18:1 25:15	anxious 67:5	assisting 38:15	27:11 58:1	briefings 15:2,4	cast 23:17	50:14 63:17
31:24 33:25	anybody 13:21	associated 33:14	63:4	15:9 19:13	catch 38:15	come 1:4 6:3 7:6
40:5	56:13	association 18:8	battle 54:25	brilliance 45:1	cause 9:16	10:6 24:13
	apart 56:22	assumptions	BBC 38:6	bringing 28:25	causes 45:25	27:14 37:15,21
add 5:14	apparent 26:22	45:23	bearing 12:22	56:1	46:10,13	43:18,19 61:1
additional 11:7	appear 53:22	attach 42:2	becoming 22:16	Bristol 14:14	caution 10:22	61:5 64:7
36:24	68:11	attention 13:3		British 25:20,20		comes 17:5 23:2
address 11:3			bed 4:13	, and the second	cautious 10:21	23:25
13:23 22:25	appearing 13:11	26:8 31:21	beginning 28:7	35:15 44:21	cent 8:9 28:11	
24:20 42:5	17:5,11	45:4	37:9	50:9	33:18	<b>comfort</b> 13:12
68:4	appears 7:24	attestation 52:3	behalf 57:17	<b>broad</b> 30:25 54:6	centred 2:1	28:4 59:2
addressed 35:6	appetite 10:24	attune 27:1	behaviour 32:13	64:25	certain 39:22	comfortable
addresses 57:22	19:21	<b>audit</b> 19:9	62:3	broadbrush	certainly 4:21	66:24
addressing 30:3	application	audits 62:24	behaviours 7:20	44:19,20	23:3 39:2	<b>coming</b> 3:7 35:3
64:24 65:23	18:25 53:25	austere 39:13	32:11 33:1	broader 11:18	40:18 43:25	36:13 37:23
07.27 03.23	]					

Leveson Inquiry

						Page 71
	I	I	I	I		
61:16	conclude 50:8	64:11	cover-up 65:11	40:18 58:20	devoted 37:25	56:4,5 58:23
command 63:2	conclusion 26:25	contested 58:16	co-ordinating	decisions 5:1	61:20	59:6
commentary	conduct 52:5	<b>context</b> 3:5 4:13	3:9,10	65:7,20	diagnosis 36:20	domain 7:4
22:23	conducted 10:13	4:14,24 5:2,8	<b>CPS</b> 58:21	declare 22:23	37:2,3	domestic 40:8
commenting	52:18	12:24 21:7	crack 54:22	declaring 22:22	dialogue 47:8	door 30:4,7
24:1	confidence 44:16	contextualise	create 44:8	declined 29:8	53:19	31:17
commercial	confident 50:5	2:11 48:14	creates 25:10	deduced 39:3	dialogues 23:9	doubt 25:25 27:8
47:23	confidential	64:2	creating 62:7	deep 27:8	Dick 48:23	30:2
commissioner	11:10 49:17	contracts 30:21	65:4	deeper 27:6	differ 49:6	<b>Dowler</b> 47:18
1:24 3:10,20	confidentiality	conventional	Cressida 48:23	defensive 60:16	differences 14:4	dozen 3:16
3:22,25 5:18	43:14,21 49:4	33:24	<b>crime</b> 48:9 50:13	<b>defining</b> 37:18	different 4:22	<b>Dr</b> 40:14,21
11:23 12:2	49:7 53:16	conversation	52:10 58:7	definitely 19:14	11:21 15:24	dramatically
59:1	<b>conflict</b> 30:9	19:19 55:7	59:1	46:7	19:21,21 20:17	1:17
commissioners	53:15 55:16	62:14	criminal 25:5	defy 21:2	20:18,18,20,21	draw 14:15 20:5
1:7 5:17 50:13	56:16	conversations	33:25 44:17	<b>degree</b> 13:3 53:24	20:21 25:5	20:7 26:8
Commissioner's	<b>conflicts</b> 6:20,20 14:16 27:24	18:23 19:11	criminals 38:16		27:6 31:8	31:21 45:4
		23:12,15	<b>critical</b> 41:16 44:14 65:21	<b>deliberate</b> 10:9 65:12	39:16 47:4	drift 53:9
committee 30:13	34:4 36:6,15 49:21	converted 53:5	criticised 40:14		48:1,2 60:12	drilling 68:8 drink 24:10
commodity 49:10	49:21 conjunction	conveyed 16:13 cooker 58:4	criticised 40:14 crystallise 52:7	delivery 32:24 demanding 2:22	differently 67:22 differing 16:1	drink 24:10 drive 20:15
common 20:23	64:22	cooker 58:4 cooling-off 30:14	CSI 44:8	demographics	difficult 2:19 7:8	driving 27:17
26:20 28:2	connections	31:7	cultural 43:4	22:15	24:7 30:10	driving 27:17 due 1:5 22:9 47:3
common-sense	18:18	copes 64:23	cultural 43:4 culture 60:23	demonstrate	47:17 49:17	duties 2:20
15:23 16:1,5	conscious 46:17	core 33:2 51:24	Cumbria 14:12	26:19 44:15	54:22 60:24	duties 2:20 duty 48:4
communicating	67:7,12,18	corner 62:7	14:13	demonstrating	68:6	DVLA 12:2
23:21	consent 44:22	corporate 2:6	currency 49:10	32:11	difficulties 6:13	DVLA 12.2
communication	consequences	15:20 16:3	Current 42:3	<b>Denis</b> 1:3 3:15	59:21	E
23:17	44:7	32:6,21 33:2	currently 33:18	6:3 8:18 13:23	difficulty 23:23	earlier 35:17
communications	consider 19:15	34:20	52:11	32:3 33:10	dilemma 31:21	39:14 41:15
14:5	32:20 52:25	correct 12:8	customise 36:4	36:19 45:24	dilemmas 61:4	46:1 55:13
companies 17:16	55:8 57:8	18:19 19:19	36:16	46:12 51:9	direct 23:6 29:21	63:20
compared 49:2	considerable 5:5	20:9 23:24	30.10	62:16 64:17	direction 4:3	early 58:10
54:5	consideration	28:18 30:5		dent 7:11	directions 2:18	easiest 64:2
comparing 28:24	31:2 36:19	35:4	daily 63:4	departments	directly 13:8	easily 35:12 44:2
compass 26:20	52:15,16 53:13	corrected 11:25	darn 24:5	31:15	30:3	easy 21:11
65:6	considerations	18:15 28:13	data 11:18,20	<b>depend</b> 44:23	disappeared	education 35:14
compelling 3:13	20:21 51:14,20	correctly 4:24	12:4	53:25	63:23	educative 44:13
competence 39:7	54:23 59:15	corruption 7:14	database 17:17	dependent 67:20	disappearing	effective 42:19
competition	considered 45:25	7:18,20,22	databases 17:9	depending 20:22	68:2	effectively 2:20
14:11 47:15,22	46:2,5 56:15	9:18 10:9 13:7	<b>date</b> 19:6	27:23 55:8	disclosure 10:14	31:9
complaint 12:12	considering	27:3 33:25	daunting 38:2	deputy 5:18	11:8,15 22:20	<b>either</b> 64:21
19:17	33:10 34:5	38:12	day 8:5 48:17	derive 13:16,17	34:15 49:21	elaborate 8:20
complaints	consistency	counter-terror	56:4,5 68:19	derived 12:13	discovered 12:3	64:12
11:19 12:11	19:16	48:1	deal 2:7 5:11	described 4:24	discretion 39:8	elaborated 54:18
completed 26:5,7	consistent 25:9	counter-terror	8:15 13:25	describes 66:20	discussed 31:23	eliminate 52:22
26:10	32:11 34:18	2:1	18:21 22:8	describing 16:9	discussing 10:7	Elizabeth 37:12
completely 61:6	35:21	country 2:1	25:1 39:3	designed 53:22	discussion 52:12	emboldened
completeness	consistently 26:5	14:12 15:8	40:10 41:5,13	desire 41:8	discussions	29:6 34:13
28:21	32:8 35:10	16:1 29:4	41:24 42:6	detail 68:8	50:23	embrace 60:16
complex 16:14	constable 36:2	44:18	61:22 62:11	detailed 34:23	disentangling	emerging 6:20
components 51:1	51:22,23	country-wide	65:18	<b>details</b> 19:6	24:8	16:16 56:8
comprehend 65:9	<b>constables</b> 59:9 59:13	6:19,21 <b>couple</b> 14:13	dealing 1:10 4:16	63:13	disloyal 57:7	employ 31:14
compressed	59:13 constrain 8:25	*	36:5,15 39:22	detection 42:20 determine 27:19	disloyalty 57:11 dismissively	employees 19:4
41:18	21:1	<b>course</b> 1:5,16 2:6 4:17 5:19 6:7	41:7 48:24	detract 3:21	59:12	employment
Computer 11:11	consultation	6:12 11:7 12:9	55:12,14 59:24 68:1	develop 33:12	disputes 66:13	29:23 <b>en</b> 58:19
22:7 62:19	34:24 35:2	22:9 29:17	dealings 13:12	36:18 51:12	68:3	en 58:19 enables 23:17
concern 7:14	contact 19:23	32:7 38:4	21:7 50:25	54:20	<b>diverts</b> 61:6	encapsulated
23:6 39:4,5	30:19 39:14	43:16 47:3,23	deals 8:21 37:13	developed 16:24	document 6:5	27:13
52:14 56:15	52:21 53:7	65:21 67:4,9	37:14	36:1 38:21,25	62:20 64:1	Encourage 21:6
57:15 67:15	54:25 55:4	67:19 68:6	dealt 19:16 51:10	39:2 49:8	documents 12:10	endeavouring
concerned 9:16	56:20 58:1	courses 34:20	67:21	53:18	dogs 8:2	30:6
15:11 17:20	contacted 11:5	courts 13:11	debate 55:15	developing 51:15	doing 7:25 13:1	endemic 9:18
56:14 66:16	contacts 19:25	covered 22:11	decent 47:8	64:20	16:20 24:8	endorse 65:2
concerns 44:2	31:10	covers 52:5	decide 57:6	development	30:24 48:5,12	ends 56:21 64:18
57:14	content 19:7	covert 21:8	decision 3:17	2:14	50:17 55:18	enduring 36:11
1	Ī	Ī	Ī	1		
	I	l	l			

						Page /2
	I	l	I	l	I	
engage 14:19	25:21 62:2,8	<b>fair</b> 1:21 13:14	force 2:22 10:5	G	34:21 50:11	hearings 43:1
34:8	examined 34:10	68:1	27:17 28:8	<b>gain</b> 10:9	54:10,10	heart 25:13 38:6
engaged 58:15	<b>example</b> 17:1,19	<b>fairly</b> 66:14	32:24 35:3	game 21:10	government 49:3	56:22
engagement	23:25 24:24	fairness 67:17	53:7	<b>Garnham</b> 66:8,9	55:14 60:9	held 23:12
45:10	25:14 32:13,14	faith 57:5	forces 1:12 9:5	66:25 67:23	grade 45:22	help 1:25 17:4
England 28:9	33:17 43:14	fall 10:15 41:24	13:25 15:22	68:10,14	gradually 4:11	18:7 20:1
enormous 16:3	48:14	<b>falls</b> 54:8	16:11,13,15,19		grateful 64:20	48:14 52:10,11
ensure 4:24	exception 27:25	familiar 48:22	16:24 17:7	gelled 5:1	67:23	53:17,18 55:17
32:22 34:14	exchange 10:3	52:4	19:3 22:12	general 11:16	gratuities 26:1,4	56:2 61:2,14
67:1	45:6	family 10:8	26:3	17:6 21:10	28:11	64:5
entertaining	excite 13:3	far 12:4 61:18	force's 26:18	29:18 35:24	gratuitous 34:15	helpful 51:2 63:7
15:20 16:3	exclude 10:3	fashionable 16:7	forensic 45:1	36:14 39:8	great 1:19 28:4	65:14
				53:23	U	
entirely 3:18	exclusive 52:21	fast-moving	forensically	generate 14:10	39:2,4 65:18	helping 32:23
5:15 13:14	exclusiveness	43:23	44:10	55:15	greater 27:2	helps 63:25
23:19 24:11	15:11	<b>favour</b> 6:4 25:22	forgiving 65:10	geographical 2:2	60:18	<b>high</b> 7:17 13:3
62:6 65:2,3	executive 2:21	favourably 7:25	form 5:13 15:8	getting 4:25 39:5	gripped 31:19	higher 47:24,24
<b>entitled</b> 2:14 3:9	exercise 11:16	8:3	60:3	50:22 53:11	58:11	68:3
<b>entity</b> 39:16	exercises 65:3	favoured 31:16	formal 42:21	gift 29:17	<b>ground</b> 30:10	high-profile 2:9
entries 28:10,11	exhibits 20:6	favourite 53:6	formalised 15:9	gifts 15:24 27:4	grounded 29:12	hindsight 1:19
enviably 7:17	exist 10:17 54:5	favouritism	formally 26:17	give 3:12 4:3	<b>group</b> 55:17,24	65:19,22,25
environment	expect 26:25	52:19	former 31:9	12:22 17:24	58:17 59:3	66:3,4
5:10 6:23 18:3	expectation 8:8	favours 7:25	formulate 16:21	24:25 50:10	grouping 55:23	<b>hinted</b> 53:10
18:4	54:9	fear 6:3 25:22	forthcoming		growing 40:9	hints 16:20
environments	expectations	featureless 35:21	52:13	51:4 59:5	guard 27:14	history 47:19
20:17 58:16	4:19 49:15	features 1:21	<b>forward</b> 16:23	62:22 63:24	guess 5:3,8 7:6	HMIC 11:5 14:3
epitome 19:18	expecting 12:9	35:11	32:3 65:17	given 35:8 36:19	16:2 34:3 39:3	24:18 27:18
equally 31:13	expects 34:23	feel 5:25 29:2	found 12:8 15:19	53:15 58:23	50:8	28:9 34:23
66:25	43:24	61:22,24 64:12	16:11 26:19	66:11	guidance 20:12	62:23,25 63:14
error 40:6	experience 1:12	66:18 67:5,21	35:9	gives 23:23	26:18 42:16,22	63:21 64:22
errors 41:2	1:14 20:6 27:2	feeling 55:3	foundation	<b>giving</b> 16:19	43:18	hold 43:22
	54:8	feels 55:2 61:23	10:10,12	66:17	45.16	
especially 47:16				global 54:3	Н	holding 59:14
essence 25:1 33:8	experienced 59:4	feet 59:14	four 8:22 10:4	glove 57:17		60:7
33:9 40:24	<b>explain</b> 12:16	<b>fifth</b> 46:15	13:25 35:11	<b>go</b> 2:9,25 11:23	habit 68:16	hole 8:22 16:10
52:18 63:14	18:9 35:5	<b>Filkin's</b> 37:13	frame 20:23	12:18 19:20	half 3:16 43:25	23:7 28:6
64:7	explanations	<b>final</b> 46:25	21:15 25:15	42:5 48:19	hand 18:25	Holmes 40:13,20
essential 41:23	26:11	financial 10:9	31:3 61:9	55:5 56:16	23:20 57:17	hope 5:8 6:2
Essex 63:2,13	explodes 58:6	33:17	framework	57:17	62:21 68:1	22:25 28:3
establish 19:15	explore 10:10	financially 33:19	20:16,19 35:12	goes 6:12 11:19	handle 53:14	29:12 39:16
52:1 64:5	16:16	<b>find</b> 13:4 14:23	37:13 50:25	25:13	60:24	41:18,21 45:3
established 4:18	exposed 27:23	16:3 17:7 21:3	51:17 54:6	going 1:4 5:6,12	hands 7:20 27:3	47:3 49:21
4:19 11:5 13:6	39:25	24:14 46:4	57:25 64:8	5:21 12:6	happen 14:11,15	52:8 61:13
17:18 63:16,17	express 25:3,8	49:16	65:5	17:12 18:6	15:16 37:7,7	63:16 64:25
establishing	expressed 27:16	finding 28:2	framing 25:18	22:3,8 29:21	53:2	65:14
53:10	extend 55:11	42:21 46:8	franchise 8:3	31:14 35:1,9	happened 1:19	hopeful 52:13
ethical 20:5 61:4	extensive 12:4	findings 7:1	frank 7:20 27:3	,	38:10,12,14	hopefully 47:8
events 1:4 20:18	35:7	fine 39:12	frankly 7:10	36:12 38:5	happening 18:1	57:5 65:16
47:19 65:8,8	extent 31:9 39:24	fire 59:14	9:13 13:2 54:2	42:1 45:20,21	20:23 33:23	hospital 28:12
eventually 65:23	60:15 64:12	firm 37:8,8	free 56:20	48:20 50:1	35:19 61:24	hospitality 15:25
even-handed	65:24	first 3:25 30:2	freezing 56:6,20	53:19 55:3,15		21:9 26:1,4,13
8:11	external 58:17	32:16 43:9	frequently 15:10	61:7,25 62:12	<b>happens</b> 8:7 43:17 58:3	27:5 28:8 29:3
everybody 42:1	external 38.17 extra 3:19 42:1	48:4 53:23	friends 10:7	64:20 65:10		29:18,18 34:16
				67:3,8	happy 66:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
43:24 49:19	<b>extremely</b> 39:13	54:23 62:23	43:12	gold 55:22,24	hard 40:7,10	hot 8:2
65:5,19	67:11	fit 31:4	front 10:18 38:1	good 13:19 24:10	41:17	hour 43:25,25
everyday 13:11	<b>eye</b> 54:1	five 2:2 14:1 28:9	fronts 43:20	31:25 32:2	hard-wired	House 40:19
33:3		51:4	fulfil 2:20	33:2 39:11,12	43:13	huge 7:15 14:19
evidence 6:21	F	five-year 4:10	fulfilling 32:23	39:18,18 40:25	hard-wiring	27:10 45:1
9:18 15:19	face 2:17	floor 66:19	full 29:8	40:25 41:3,4,7	43:16,18 60:21	hugely 8:8 21:23
22:9 23:8 38:9	faces 62:3	focused 9:10	fully 28:25	41:12,14,14	hasten 5:14	human 40:5,6
46:20 64:18	facilitated 23:9	68:12	function 32:23	46:5,22 59:14	head 48:1	l ——
66:11,21	facing 60:17	<b>follow</b> 35:13	38:19	61:3	heading 37:8	I
evident 23:12	fact 10:25 12:13	53:9	fundamental	goodness 37:25	51:13	ICO 11:5
evidential 10:10	14:12 42:25	followed 14:6	44:20 45:6	45:4	healthy 38:18	idea 30:25 51:17
10:12 42:9	44:13 68:1,7	32:15	further 6:12 35:1	gossip 10:22,24	hear 24:4	56:17
evolutionary	factual 66:13	following 18:21	64:21	governance	heard 16:7 22:18	Ideally 57:2
62:11	67:8 68:2	68:19	<b>future</b> 41:18	21:24 32:3,6	47:25 66:11	ideas 16:22
exactly 21:5	failing 40:5	footing 50:21	50:12	32:22 33:2	hearing 68:19	50:17 53:21
_		_		32.22 33.2		
L						

Implication   21:18							Page /3
34:16-64:19	:a4:@ : a 20 27	20.1 42 21	14.16.22.16	25.21.27.0	l	20.10.20	22.20.21.24.2
65:6   dentifying 16:16   identifying 16:16							
				,			
66:15   18   60:18   61:12   38:23   49:6   61:18   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   16:18   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:14   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:14   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:14   18:14   18:12   18:14   16:9   16:08   18:18   18:14   18:14   18:12   18:14   16:9   18:08   18:18   18:14   18:14   18:12   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18:14   18:18   18				′		· /	· ·
Identifying 1610   Identifying							,
Illustrated 29:14   Informed 42:8   55:73.234   193.4				· ·			
Illustrated 39:14   Illu							
Illustrated 39:14   imagine 26:12   infrequently interests 18:14   64:6   inherited 3:2   in					, ,		
Immediately   64-6   16-921-63.1   48-6   48-51.1   48-52   49-21   16-921-3.6							
imperied 3:2							
day   display				•	,		
Imparetial 39-24   Imparetial 39-15   General de de la mapertial 39-15   General de de la mocent de de la macent de de la mocent de de la macent de de la macent de de la macent de de la macent de la					,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Importatial 25:16   Implemented   Implemen					,		
Implement 54:17   Implement 54:17   Implement 54:17   Implement 54:17   Implement 54:17   Implement 54:17   Implement 54:10   Improvement 63:19   Improvement 63:19   Improvement 63:19   Improvement 64:23 50:6   Golf-16-20   Improvement 63:19   Improvement 64:23 50:6   Golf-16-20   Improvement 64:23 50:6   Golf-16-20   Improvement 64:23 50:6   Golf-16-20   Improvement 64:23 50:6   Golf-16-20   Improvement 66:17   Improvement 66:18   Improvement		innocent 24:11	8:16 14:1		knowing 24:15	· ·	
According   Acco	implement 54:17	26:11	16:25 18:5	journalist 10:23		47:7 51:3	40:3,12,18
Importance 8:13			29:24 32:4		24:2	56:11 57:1,10	
21:18							45:7,15,20,23
Importance 8:13   49:12   inquiry 1:15   50:4   interpreting   50:4   interpretiong   50:4   in				,	44:10		
Alt   22   important   21:23   important   21:24   important   21:24   22:45   important   21:24   22:24   important   21:24   23:24   important   21:24   important   21:24   23:24   important   21:24   important   2			-				
miportant 21:23   14:18 23:6   intervence 61:7   timestigates 52:14   junior 26:22   large 41:3,10 45:12   36:5,12 37:5   38:4 39:17   38:11 49:2   27:25 47:11   18:23   large 4:2   la							56:11 57:1,10
25:19.31:16							
44:3,1045:12   36:5,12 37:5   38:4 39:17   38:11 49:2   27:25 47:11   48:3   1 49:25   1 49:26   1 49:25 68:9   1 49:21 65:2,15   1 49:25   1 49							
50.77 52:1 60:3   38:4 39:17   38:11 49:2   27:25 47:11   48:3   larges 12:4   larges 4:2   life 23:3,3 48:5   65:21 66:6,24   larges 4:2   larges 4:2   larges 4:2   life 23:3,3 48:5   65:21 66:6,24   larges 4:2   larges 4:2   larges 4:2   life 23:3,3 48:5   65:21 66:6,24   larges 4:2   larges 1:2   l						, , ,	
Improvement				· ·			
Improvement   63:19   53:4;12 55:13   investigations   incident 41:16   incident 41:16   incident 41:16   incident 41:16   incident 41:16   incident 41:16   incident 41:10   incident 41:20   institutions   including 19:10   including 19:10   integrity 6:14   integrity 6:14   individual sation 67:15   individual sation 67:15   individual sition form 20:1 56:2   individual sition form 20:1 56:2   interaction interactions							
53:41 2.5:13   investigations   1:14 16:14   16:9 2.13.6.11   12:25 13:14   14:18 50:4   14:18 50:4   18:22 13:14   16:12.17 21:9   18:42:15   18:10 42:4   25:2.7 28:13   18 augustist 43:1   18 augustist						·	
improves 18:3   inappropriate   inquisitive 60:17   11:14 16:14   16:9 21:3,6,11   Lawrence 38:4   limbs 3:19   lose 22:1 5:20   lose 23:1 5:10   lost 4:1:11   lost				•			
inappropriate   16:12,17 21:9   ins 48:25   18:10 42:4   25:2,7 28:13   22:20 27:20   insights 1:5   59:19   inspiring 8:9   instant 43:24   instant 43:24   instant 43:24   instant 43:24   institution 8:1   42:25   438:13   leaking 10:19   leaks 10:6 12:1   listen 5:23   47:21 48:3,8   distitution 8:1   42:25   43:4,7 44:5,11   leaking 10:19   leaks 10:6 12:1   listen 5:23   distended 6:10   line 6:14,17 55:20   listended 7:10   lot 3:7 27:9,10,1   distinct 52:6   investigative   distitution 8:1   distitution							
16:12,17 21:9   ins 48:25   insights 1:5   59:19   28:16,19,23   28:16	-						
22:20 27:20   Inasmuch 16:4   Inspiring 8:9   Investigation's   Inspiring 8:9   Investigation's   Sir4,6,22   Indianable 46:21   Instant 43:24   57:4   36:24 38:13   36:24 38:13   39:24 40:3,12   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11   lot 3:7 27:9,10,1   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11   lot 3:7 27:9,10,1   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11   lot 3:7 27:9,10,1   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11   listed 34:11   lot 3:7 27:9,10,1   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11   listed 34:11   lot 3:7 27:9,10,1   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11	** *						
Inasmuch 16:4   inaudible 46:21   instant 43:24   instant 43:24   instant 43:24   instinct 52:6   investigative   37:4   36:24 38:13   leakage 63:15   lines 10:4 14:1   32:4 40:4,6   lines 10:4 14:1   listed 34:11							
instant 43:24   instant 43:25   indirect 10:19   instant 43:25   indirect 10:19   instant 43:25   indirect 10:19   intensive 6:22   intense 6:22   intens							<b>lot</b> 3:7 27:9,10,17
instinct 42:16   instinct 52:6   instinct 52:6   invite 42:6   42:25   40:18 41:9   leaking 10:19   listed 34:11   43:12 44:2   47:21 48:3,8   include 20:11   57:6   invite 42:6   43:4,7 44:5,17   16:14,17 55:13   listened 66:10   58:22 59:7   16:14,17 55:13   listened 66:10   16:14,17 55:13   listened 66:10   58:22 59:7   16:14,17 55:13   listened 66:10   17:14 11:11   lots 42:16,17   47:3 47:1 41:11   lots 42:16,17   47:9 47:9 47:9 44:9   48:9 6   60:11 56:2 1   60:15 62:2,15   learne 41:19   lots 41:10   lots 42:16,17   mindividual sition   67:15   midividual sition   16:14,17 55:13   learne 41:19   learne 41:19   lots 41:17   lots 41:	inaudible 46:21		57:4			lines 10:4 14:1	
incidents 48:16   include 20:11   57:6   invite 42:5   invite 42:6   includes 14:20   including 19:10   instruct 67:3   integrity 6:14   integrity 6:15   integrity 6:14   integrity 6:15   integrity 6:14   integr				· ·		<b>listed</b> 34:11	
60:8   includes 14:20   12:21 30:13   44:14,24   46:11,18,25   47:3,7 51:3   learn 64:21   learner 41:19   17:1 41:11   lots 42:16,17   45:9 55:15   learner 13:18   live 60:18   live 60:18   live 38:24,24   16:10 28:6   learner 13:18   live 60:18   live 38:24,24   living 14:5   loyalty 56:17   looks 25:21   loo			· -	40:18 41:9			
includes 14:20   including 19:10   instruct 67:3   instruct 67:3   integrity 6:14   IPCC 11:19   56:11 57:1,10   learnt 13:18   live 60:18   lives 38:24,24   16:10 28:6   12:23 18:3   30:4 31:1,18   65:21 66:6,24   legitimacy 7:6   legitimacy 7:6   legitimacy 7:6   locations 2:2,3   locations 2:2,3   locations 2:2,3   lives 38:2 4:15   locations 2:2,3   legitimacy 7:6   legitimacy 7:6   locations 2:2,3   locations 2:3,1   locations 2:2,3   locations 2:3,1   locations 2:3,1   locations 2:3,1   locations 2:3,1   locations 2:3,1							
including 19:10							61:13,19 66:15
19:12   integrity 6:14   49:5,7 50:25   issue 1:16 6:16   57:13 58:3,6   15:1,5   51:18 52:19,20   12:17 14:23   58:10,14 59:8   led 39:9 46:9   living 14:5   32:14   loyalty 56:17   integrations   12:23 18:3   30:4 31:1,18   64:11,15,17   legal 30:2,10   legitimacy 7:6   locations 2:2,3   locks 25:21   looks 25:21   loo				, ,			
Inconsistency   15:1,5   51:18 52:19,20   51:18 52:18 52:18   51:18 52:18 52:18   51:							
15:1,5   increases 58:4   increases 58:4   independent   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   22:25 29:3,25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:24   23:25   23:							
increases 58:4   independent   25:9   12:23 18:3   30:4 31:1,18   64:11,15,17   66:16 62:2,15   12:23 18:3   34:1 35:18   35:16 36:20   38:20 44:6   68:5,11,15   16		,					
independent   25:9							
25:9				· ·			ioyany 30.17
indicated 28:5         34:1 35:18         35:16 36:20         65:21 66:6,24         legitimacy 7:6         locations 2:2,3         main 25:17           individual 2:17         intended 20:16         48:21 53:12         K         London 6:23         London 6:23         main 25:17           68:1         14:10,15 47:22         56:23 59:17         keen 4:24         keep 19:12 49:16         legitimate 41:1         52:7 67:11         major 2:2 16:4           individuals ation 67:15         20:19         67:8         keep 19:12 49:16         key 32:15         47:12 51:21         look 11:19 14:12         major 2:2 16:4           individuals 9:10         15:22 18:11         63:14         6:14,21 12:24         kids 36:6         52:9         18:13 35:6         making 7:18           15:22 18:11         19:21 33:15,16         intensively 13:10         13:8 14:6,16         15:13 17:7,12         41:17         47:13 49:25         22:23 27:6           66:16 67:5         interaction         24:20 27:1         17:15,17 24:8         lessons 33:11         lessons 33:11         lessons 33:11         lessons 40:10         40:21         doi:42 36:15         40:23 68:16         manage 3:12           inform 20:1 56:2         18:22 19:4         47:21 50:4         43:24 49:23,24         40:21         lessons 31:1         lessons 40:10		0	,			· /	M
indirect 10:19 individual 2:17 intended 20:16 intense 6:22         38:20 44:6 48:21 53:12 54:21 55:9         68:5,11,15 2:20 3:24:15         21:21,22 39:6 39:6 41:22 4:22,13 44:2         locks 25:21 53:11 maintenance 42:16 39:6 41:22 4:22,13 44:2         London 6:23 4:18 maintenance 42:18 maintenance 42:18 major 2:2 16:4           68:1 individualisation 67:15 individuals 9:10 15:22 18:11 19:21 33:15,16 66:16 67:5 inevitability 16:13 interaction intensively 13:10 16:13 inform 20:1 56:2         63:14 13:2 47:12 50:4         66:14,21 12:24 47:12 50:4         66:14,21 12:24 47:12 50:4         66:14 32:1 17:15,17 24:8 16:31:1         66:15 67:5 10:3 17:7,12 16:31:1         67:15 10:3 17:7,12 16:31:1         67:15 10:3 17:7,12 16:31:1         67:15 10:3 17:7,12 16:31:1         67:15 10:3 17:7,12 16:31:1         67:15 10:3 17:7,12 10:31:1         67:15 10:3 17:7,12 10:31:1         67:10 10:3 17:15,17 24:8 16:31:1         67:10 10:3 20:12 10:4:2         67:10 10:3 17:15,17 24:8 16:3         67:10 10:3 17:15,17 24:8 16:3         67:11 10:3 17:7,12 10:3         67:11 10:3 17:7,12 10:3         67:12 10:3 17:15,17 24:8 10:3         67:12 10:3 17:15,17 24:8 10:3         67:12 10:3 17:15,17 24:8 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:7,12 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:7,12 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 17:15,17 24:8 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67:13 10:3 17:14,20 42:5 10:3         67				, ,			
individual 2:17         intended 20:16         48:21 53:12         K         39:6 41:22         London 6:23         maintenance           68:1         14:10,15 47:22         56:23 59:17         keen 4:24         54:11 56:23         long 36:2 41:17         major 2:2 16:4           individualisation         67:15         20:19         67:8         keep 19:12 49:16         legitimate 41:1         52:7 67:11         major 2:2 16:4           individuals 9:10         intensive 6:22         issues 2:8 3:2         kids 36:6         52:9         18:13 35:6         making 7:18           15:22 18:11         63:14         6:14,21 12:24         kind 8:5 14:14         lesson 40:10         37:12,16 41:1         14:8 15:14           19:21 33:15,16         interaction         24:20 27:1         17:15,17 24:8         lessons 33:11         54:23 62:9         32:16 33:2           inevitability         10:23 20:12         35:8 36:11         24:14 31:2         less-than-adeq         63:15         40:23 68:16           16:13         interactions         37:14,20 42:5         35:17 39:9         40:21         lettering 21:16         13:9 17:7,18         50:14							
2:20 3:2 4:15							
68:1	2:20 3:2 4:15	intense 6:22	54:21 55:9	K			
individualisation         intensity 4:8 9:6         60:21,22 63:23         keep 19:12 49:16         legitimate 41:1         52:7 67:11         44:23           67:15         20:19         intensive 6:22         issues 2:8 3:2         kids 36:6         52:9         18:13 35:6         majority 62:5           15:22 18:11         63:14         6:14,21 12:24         kind 8:5 14:14         lesson 40:10         37:12,16 41:1         14:8 15:14           19:21 33:15,16         intensively 13:10         13:8 14:6,16         15:13 17:7,12         41:17         47:13 49:25         22:23 27:6           66:16 67:5         interaction         24:20 27:1         17:15,17 24:8         lessons 33:11         54:23 62:9         32:16 33:2           inevitability         10:23 20:12         35:8 36:11         24:14 31:2         less-than-adeq         63:15         40:23 68:16           16:13         interactions         37:14,20 42:5         35:17 39:9         40:21         lettering 21:16         13:9 17:7,18         50:14		,		keen 4:24		long 36:2 41:17	
67:15 individuals 9:10 15:22 18:11 19:21 33:15,16 66:16 67:5 inevitability 16:13 inform 20:1 56:2  18:22 19:4  67:8  key 32:15 kids 36:6 kind 8:5 14:14 15:13 17:7,12 15:13 17:7,12 16:13 inform 20:1 56:2  18:22 19:4  67:8 key 32:15 kids 36:6 kind 8:5 14:14 15:13 17:7,12 15:13 17:7,12 16:13 16:13 16:13 16:13 16:13 16:14 17:15,17 24:8 18:23 19:4  18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:14 14:8 15:14 15:13 17:7,12 16:13 17:15,17 24:8 18:84:6,16 17:15,17 24:8 18:83 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:14 11:19 14:12 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:6 18:13 35:14 14:8 15:14 15:13 17:7,12 16:17 16:18 16:					legitimate 41:1	52:7 67:11	-
15:22 18:11       63:14       6:14,21 12:24       kind 8:5 14:14       lesson 40:10       37:12,16 41:1       14:8 15:14         19:21 33:15,16       intensively 13:10       13:8 14:6,16       15:13 17:7,12       41:17       47:13 49:25       22:23 27:6         66:16 67:5       interaction       24:20 27:1       17:15,17 24:8       lessons 33:11       54:23 62:9       32:16 33:2         inevitability       10:23 20:12       35:8 36:11       24:14 31:2       less-than-adeq       63:15       40:23 68:16         16:13       interactions       37:14,20 42:5       35:17 39:9       40:21       looked 6:8 12:5       manage 3:12         inform 20:1 56:2       18:22 19:4       47:21 50:4       43:24 49:23,24       lettering 21:16       13:9 17:7,18       50:14				key 32:15	47:12 51:21		majority 62:5
19:21 33:15,16   intensively 13:10   13:8 14:6,16   15:13 17:7,12   41:17   47:13 49:25   22:23 27:6   16:16 67:5   interaction   10:23 20:12   35:8 36:11   24:14 31:2   less-than-adeq   16:13   interactions   18:22 19:4   47:21 50:4   43:24 49:23,24   lettering 21:16   13:9 17:7,18   50:14   15:13 17:7,12   41:17   47:13 49:25   22:23 27:6   32:16 33:2   16:18							making 7:18
66:16 67:5 inevitability         interaction         24:20 27:1 35:8 36:11 24:14 31:2 35:8 36:11 37:14,20 42:5 37:14,20 42:5 37:14,20 42:5 36:17 39:9 43:24 49:23,24 49:2							
inevitability         10:23 20:12 interactions         35:8 36:11 37:14,20 42:5 inform 20:1 56:2         24:14 31:2 35:17 39:9 40:21 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:23 68:16 interactions 40:21 interactions 40:							
16:13         interactions         37:14,20 42:5         35:17 39:9         40:21         looked 6:8 12:5         manage 3:12           inform 20:1 56:2         18:22 19:4         47:21 50:4         43:24 49:23,24         lettering 21:16         13:9 17:7,18         50:14				,			
inform 20:1 56:2 18:22 19:4 47:21 50:4 43:24 49:23,24 lettering 21:16 13:9 17:7,18 50:14	-				_		
minor marrow 7.25   31.21   04.25 06:/   30:24 51:1/   let's 30:21   35:24 34:21   managed 34:16						,	
			04:23 08:7				
							management 2:5
11:10,15,23   2:15   Jay 1:3 6:3 9:24   58:17 59:18   9:12 16:10   looking 6:14   47:20   managing 33:7							
22:20 24:14					,		
31:10 34:15 interest 6:21 21:16 22:14 33:16 levels 7:17 20:11 21:19 30:12 manner 52:17							
1 22.17   33.10   Revelo 7.17 20.11   21.17		m. v*	21.10 22.17	33.10	20.025 /.17 20.11		

						Page 74
	Ī		Í	Ī	Ī	
marginal 22:6	13:24 14:23	52:4	note 20:1 36:24	one-year 4:9	22:12 23:7,8	9:14 10:20
66:14	20:14 28:22,25	<b>MPIA</b> 42:10	37:1 42:4,8	ongoing 67:20	25:25 26:2,2,9	11:19 13:5,9
marker 2:23	36:3 37:9	murder 1:10	46:19 62:17	<b>online</b> 23:12	26:16,16 29:5	14:18,19,20
marketing 17:21	48:16 54:2	40:8 47:16	notice 62:20	open 21:7	29:5,16,24,25	15:8,12 17:20
married 18:12	middle 18:8	48:23	noticed 38:21	operate 39:7,21	32:4,5,16,19	17:22 18:12,12
matter 10:25	Midlands 48:17	murders 47:17	notifiable 18:8	40:11 52:18	32:19 33:8	22:22,23 23:4
38:6 66:9,15	58:7	-	<b>notion</b> 44:22	operates 50:19	34:11,12,22	24:9,15,25
66:18	mile 42:1	N	November 21:20	operation 11:6,6	46:15	27:10,10,14,17
matters 11:12	Milly 47:18	names 63:8	35:4	operations 1:7	pains 62:5	30:18,18,20
66:15	mind 7:3 8:4	narrower 6:16	number 1:14	operators 50:11	painted 11:20	33:20 34:7
mean 3:15 9:8	17:13 23:25	<b>nation</b> 31:17	12:21 17:16	54:16 55:18	papers 12:4 53:5	35:12,13 38:22
10:17 15:3,3	37:21 55:23	national 9:12	18:10 22:14	63:16	paragraph 8:20	40:15 41:18,25
22:25 23:25	65:23	11:11 20:10	34:10 35:23	opinion 7:3	8:22 9:25 11:4	45:2,10 48:7
39:6 44:6	mindful 36:12	22:7 23:11	36:21 37:10,23	opportunities	14:25 15:19	50:11,12 52:10
46:24 49:23,23	mindset 43:7	29:6 62:19	42:5 43:20	54:4	18:7 21:16	53:3,19 56:3
50:12 55:12	60:22 61:15,16	63:18	44:4 51:18	opportunity 3:12	23:7 26:9,16	58:1 59:3,4
57:9 59:11	minority 7:15,15	natural 39:25	60:7 64:18	6:2 67:6	28:6 42:6	61:19 64:3
61:18	minutes 51:4	nature 1:13,25	66:10	options 16:16,21	45:18 51:10	<b>people's</b> 38:24
means 17:15	miscarriages	15:13 23:16	numbering 6:10	order 3:12 17:19	62:25 63:1	38:24
49:12 50:22	38:13	26:12 29:8	6:11 8:16 14:2	19:15,17 36:22	paragraphs	perceived 9:7
57:23	missed 14:9	necessarily	18:6 29:24	43:20 44:18	18:21	perception 6:17
measure 7:7	28:20	17:14 42:23	32:4	48:6 50:20	parallel 2:3	9:15 12:1 21:9
13:12 23:4	misses 14:3	46:25		55:1 56:20	pardon 28:15	31:17 40:20
42:17	missing 40:8	need 2:14 5:9	0	61:10	parlance 47:16	44:9 45:18
meat 6:9	mission 8:10	22:12 24:19,19	object 11:16,21	organisation	part 4:14 8:14,16	perceptions 8:13
mechanism 26:4	25:17 27:12,12	29:6 37:19	objectives 32:12	9:11 17:13	9:3 12:17	9:15
56:12 57:14,21	36:12 38:1	39:12,13 44:15	32:25	22:24 32:10,18	17:11 18:15	perfect 66:4,5
58:16	52:20,24 61:20	47:12 49:9	obligations	33:1,21 34:6	25:20 33:3	perfectly 18:19
mechanisms	61:23	50:18 53:2	33:17 49:1,13	50:1	37:9 39:21	64:11
17:8 19:8	mistake 45:14	54:9,17 55:17	53:15	organisations	40:9 41:21	performance
Medal 27:14	misunderstood	needed 3:11	observe 21:16	17:19 21:25	48:6 52:2 54:2	40:21
media 8:19,24,25	4:6 56:17,18	needn't 3:25	obtained 11:11	ought 13:19	60:4 66:12	<b>period</b> 4:6 28:16
9:19 10:16	<b>Mm</b> 60:5	needs 3:13 4:7	30:2	24:25 31:8	particular 1:11	30:15 31:7
14:7,19 15:20	mnemonic 29:17	31:1 62:12	obvious 23:23	outlet 32:1	6:18 8:2 9:11	64:4
15:24 16:4,14	mode 24:6 50:11	negotiating	27:24 49:14	outlets 60:20	12:7 24:22	permit 39:25
16:18 17:5,6	model 25:20	30:21	obviously 8:4	outline 51:1	28:1,1 32:1	person 40:8 57:2
17:11 18:11,12	44:21 50:9	neither 12:16	10:3 22:20	outside 12:18	33:15,16 37:2	personal 22:24
18:13,17,23	modern 49:24	net 23:18	25:4 33:8	18:16 31:12	38:5 42:18	23:3 25:8,12
19:4 20:10	module 32:8	network 2:1,14	63:12	35:7 36:9 37:3	43:1 45:18	38:23,24
22:4 23:11	66:12 67:19	3:11 4:9,17	occasionally	56:1,3 57:16	53:12,16 66:13	Personally 19:20
24:24 28:12	moment 13:15	networking	44:25	outsourcing	particularly 9:10	persons 19:7
29:19 30:25	37:4 51:19	22:11 23:10	occasions 23:22	31:23	17:22 22:15	perspective 5:22
31:15 32:1	55:22 61:17	25:24	occurred 7:10	overbureaucra	23:11 24:4,12	Petroleum 35:15
36:16 39:10,20	66:7	never 27:16 55:5	occurring 37:5	19:18	24:15 26:22	photographs
41:4 47:13	moments 49:17	new 3:1 4:9 14:7	offer 17:24	overdo 38:17	30:19,25 35:8	22:18
49:2 51:21	momentum 1:22	14:17 20:6	offering 59:22	overheard 10:8	36:5 38:3	phrase 59:4
53:1 59:16,20	money 7:20 10:4	21:20 35:2	office 3:1 32:21	48:22	47:15 56:13	pick 34:9 45:9
60:20	27:3	36:6 39:2	officer 11:1	overseeing 2:4	59:15	48:18
medicine 43:11	monitor 49:25	61:16	23:21,23 24:2	oversight 21:18	parties 8:19	picture 11:21
43:14	64:6	news 9:1,11	24:5,6,6,9,16	32:4,22	34:25	12:23 29:1
medium 22:21	monitored 34:16	39:18 40:1,2	31:6 34:20	overview 6:8	parts 28:1 48:1	piece 18:4 42:10
meeting 19:6	63:20	40:11,25 41:5	44:10 55:2	over-arching	party 23:16	45:8 54:18
meets 59:19,20	monitoring	41:14 61:21	56:13 57:3	8:21,23	passed 10:8	66:21
member 2:5	16:16,25	newspaper 9:13	officers 3:17	over-complex	passes 27:3	pieces 42:9 46:4
members 14:21	moonlighting	nexus 20:7	5:24 14:20	35:13	passing 7:20	pilgrims 46:8
26:17	36:9	nine 63:3	22:23 23:5,10	over-restrictive	path 61:10,14	pill 60:25,25,25
mention 48:13	moral 26:20 27:1	noble 27:12,15	31:9 33:19	65:3	patrol 18:4	place 3:19 8:1
66:9	65:6	nobody's 54:12	47:11,11 48:3	owing 27:2	pattern 18:1	11:2 19:8
mentioned 3:20	morning 3:20	noisy 43:23	55:24 58:19	o'clock 68:17,19	patterns 33:11	22:13 45:22
mentioning 2:10	68:17	nonreportable	67:18		50:3	51:18 57:2
mercifully 42:13	morphing 62:11	15:9	off-the-record	<u> </u>	<b>pause</b> 56:9	played 38:7
merely 46:6 59:8	Motorman 11:6	non-execs 59:3	15:2,4 19:10	page 6:10,11	pay 45:22	please 8:20 9:8
59:11	11:24	non-executive	Oh 13:14	8:15,18 11:3,3	PCC 11:17 54:22	16:23 18:7
Met 2:6	move 32:3 39:17	59:8	okay 29:11	13:23 14:1	PCCs 50:13	33:7 36:22
Metropolitan	moves 57:25	Northumbria	ones 13:5	18:5,6 19:2,2	penultimate 8:14	42:7
1:13 6:18 9:21	moving 30:20,23	14:14	one's 39:14	20:3,10 22:3	<b>people</b> 7:17 8:7	<b>pm</b> 1:2 51:6,8
	I		1	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

						Page 75
	I		I	I	I	
68:18	58:19 59:1,23	60:4 61:5 62:3	30:17	52:22 62:17,20	reconsider 2:24	52:17
<b>PMC</b> 17:1	60:8,11,16	pressure 58:4,4	project 4:10	63:25	record 19:3	relationships
PNC 62:24 63:3	61:14,18,19	58:23	55:25	<b>quite</b> 3:14 4:15	28:21	8:19,23 9:6,9
63:10,12,15,23	62:8,19 63:18	pressures 1:6,11	promote 32:23	4:25 5:24	recorded 19:8	9:19 10:1
64:3	67:13,16,18,20	47:23 48:2	promoting 32:12	17:18 25:5	26:14	16:13,17 20:12
<b>point</b> 2:18 4:6,12	police-related	59:7	33:1 44:16	27:16 31:5,18	recording 18:22	23:9 33:12
5:3,10 7:5 9:14	11:8	pressurised	prompt 53:21	32:8 40:4,25	26:3 29:7	34:7,15 35:19
13:24,25 14:3	police-y 35:6	47:14	proposals 5:9	48:24 52:4	records 3:6 19:9	relationship-b
14:4,8,8 15:13	policies 15:25	presumably 9:20	34:23	53:18 54:24	43:14 53:8	51:24
16:2,4 18:20	18:24 20:4,5	19:10,17	proposition 5:16	58:22 60:23	<b>recover</b> 40:7,9	relatively 7:21
19:22 20:3,15	20:13 34:19	pretty 27:19	prospect 53:1	quotes 23:18	64:1	26:12 33:13
21:25 24:7,24	42:22	38:22 48:4	<b>protect</b> 45:5 48:4		recovering 41:2	63:21
25:18 27:6,8,9	policing 1:9 5:5	prevent 33:22	48:6 49:25	R	reduces 54:12	relevance 22:6
27:22 29:23	5:22 24:13	52:10 55:1	protective 16:25	racist 25:4	reduction 48:10	66:13
35:25 37:16	25:20 28:2	prevention 42:19	protocol 59:18	radar 17:15	refer 63:1	relevant 3:24
38:5 40:3,5,12	44:21,22 50:9	preventive 33:9	provide 5:18	49:20 63:23	reference 20:24	34:25
40:17,22 42:6	51:22,25 52:9	principal 9:1	17:16 47:6	raise 8:4 17:13	24:25 25:2,14	reluctance 39:25
42:15,18,23	52:20 59:19,20	33:4 34:11	63:11	57:14	35:25 49:3	relying 15:23
43:21,22 44:5	policy 18:8 20:11	principle 8:21,23	provided 3:18	raised 50:6	52:8 61:9	65:24
44:7 45:19	20:19 22:13	priorities 47:25	18:17 27:5	raising 66:19	66:14	remaining 51:12
50:5 51:10	26:18 42:17	48:8,11	62:19 64:25	range 14:6,19	referrals 11:7	rendered 68:6
52:8 54:9	political 24:3	prioritisation	providing 57:13	53:7 54:4	referring 15:24 15:25 19:13	reopened 38:10
58:11 60:11,12	politicians 60:9	50:7	<b>pub</b> 24:10	ranges 7:19		38:11
62:5 63:21	<b>politics</b> 59:16,19 59:20	private 30:19,23	<b>public</b> 6:24 7:3,7	rare 7:21	reflect 1:20	repeatedly 62:4
pointed 6:25 points 24:25		31:25 35:9,11 35:22	7:24 8:9 9:2,7 17:19 25:20	rarely 16:15	reflected 66:11	report 2:13 3:8
25:14 32:15	poorly 5:3	proactively		rationale 13:9	reflecting 46:22	5:19 6:3,12 9:1
36:23 41:6	posed 8:15 position 30:10	16:12	35:10,22 37:17 37:18 38:23	reached 2:18	reflects 61:24 reform 5:6,13	12:19 18:13 25:22 53:11
49:3 51:13	positive 16:15	probably 1:21	41:14 44:16	reaction 42:20 reactive 12:5	42:11 54:7	56:14 67:10
53:17 62:16	39:19	22:6 35:5	54:21,22 55:9	read 53:4	59:25	reported 19:13
68:1	possible 7:8	40:22 44:24	55:19,21 56:7	readily 57:18	regard 8:10	48:16
police 1:12,13	19:20 30:17	46:12 48:3	56:23 58:12	ready 34:24	13:18	reports 2:11 6:7
2:16 6:13,14	45:25 46:13,13	51:16	59:25 65:9	reaffirmed 44:3	regardless 20:13	11:4
6:16,18 7:5,11	post 21:20	probing 54:12	public's 7:12	real 7:11 14:6	regime 35:3	represent 32:10
7:16 8:8,23,24	posts 33:15,16	probity 21:23	publish 2:11	52:23 53:1	regional 13:24	66:10
9:18,21 10:25	post-service	<b>problem</b> 6:18,19	46:19	reality 21:2 40:1	registers 28:8	representatives
11:9,11,15	29:23	10:17 11:22	published 3:8	really 1:17 4:25	regrets 1:19	19:5 31:14
12:1,7 13:7,24	potential 14:16	21:3,11 22:17	punch 8:22	8:16 9:11	regulation 42:18	reputation 7:11
14:20,24 15:1	31:18 33:22	25:10 28:5	16:10 23:7	12:24 15:13	50:14	32:17
16:24 18:16	65:1	29:3 62:2 68:6	28:6	16:2 24:4,24	regulators 54:14	require 4:2
19:4 21:1,17	potentially 57:24	problematic	purpose 19:7	25:19 29:22	rehearse 38:3	20:20 31:7
21:22,23 22:2	powerful 60:7	33:14 37:18	51:22 52:9	37:5 40:15	48:25	required 4:2,5
22:7,15,22	practical 18:25	57:23	<b>pursue</b> 3:9,17	55:18	rehearsed 48:24	67:12
23:4,20,22	20:12 53:3	problems 12:7	41:25	reason 5:16 32:2	49:22	requires 32:9
24:2,5,6,6,9,16	55:11	60:17	pursuit 32:12	55:16 65:13	reinforce 43:20	research 42:16
24:24 27:10,13	practices 29:7	procedures 17:3	44:1	67:19	relate 11:12,14	46:4
28:22,25 30:4	precious 21:23	18:22,24	put 3:19 4:13	reasonable 3:18	35:12,23 51:24	residual 7:16
30:7,8,20	43:22 49:18,18	process 55:22	13:8 27:18	54:24	relates 11:10	resigning 30:23
31:12,13,23	prefer 43:8	58:12	31:2 32:2 38:1	reassert 50:20	relation 1:22 2:4	resolve 58:21,21
32:1 33:19	preferred 60:22	processes 32:9	50:21 51:17	recall 38:5	3:15 7:9 11:22	resources 44:11
35:7,15 36:3,8	preoccupied	produced 62:6	60:19 62:18	receive 47:3	17:19 18:17	58:24
36:9 37:4,9,16	55:20	product 47:9	64:4	received 28:12	28:21 33:24	resourcing 16:14
37:19 39:1,4,9	prepared 36:25	profession 43:22	putting 2:23	recognise 62:5	34:19 35:25	respects 54:24
39:21 40:2,4	40:10 53:18	professional	50:22	recognition	48:9 49:9	response 63:11
40:24 41:6,9	55:7 56:6 57:7	23:3 52:5,6	Q	64:25	62:18 63:10	responsibilities
41:12,22 42:10	preparing 12:19	professions 43:10 61:4		recommend	64:8 67:13	2:21 3:21,24
43:17,23 44:10 44:14 47:10,10	present 30:10 presented 38:9	43:10 61:4 <b>profile</b> 34:2,6	qualitative 7:1	22:12 29:5	relational 17:9 17:17	responsible 3:22 62:6
47:11,16,20,25	52:25	profiled 33:25	quantitative 7:1	30:1	relations 6:13,16	rest 35:19 54:20
48:1,16 49:1	presenting 53:1	profiled 55:25 programme 24:1	Queen's 27:13	recommendati	31:15 39:11,12	restraint 30:1
49:16,19 50:7	press 6:14,16,22	38:6,11	<b>question</b> 4:22 6:15 7:2 8:4,14	19:1,14 63:5 <b>recommendati</b>	relationship 2:7	restricted 2:13
50:12,13 51:11	13:11 21:2	programmes	12:11,15 17:13	25:24 33:4	18:11,24 21:1	3:8 14:23 46:3
52:8,24 53:6	30:4,7 31:14	44:8	24:1 45:16	34:9,11,19	21:6 29:9	restriction 65:12
53:13,20 54:3	31:15 35:25	progress 2:25	62:23 63:5	recommending	39:15,18,19	result 16:15
54:7 55:10	36:5,11 39:20	7:8	64:8 65:4	33:10	47:12 50:19,21	41:10 43:25
56:23,24 57:18	51:11 56:16,21	progressively	questions 52:21	reconcile 67:25	51:11,15 52:15	results 40:25
			1			

						Page 76
	I	l	ı	I	ı	l
41:3,4,8,25	satisfactory	45:13 58:7	<b>slap</b> 18:7	stage 46:22	suggested 37:13	teams 32:21
44:1 48:9,10	63:10	seriously 56:15	slightly 11:1	stakes 47:24	38:9	34:20
retain 2:21	save 22:6 27:2	57:16	slippery 34:5	stand 1:22 61:6	suggesting 56:12	techniques 49:24
retired 5:17,18	29:22	serve 66:22	<b>slope</b> 34:5	63:21 64:5	57:21	technology 14:7
retiring 30:22	saw 37:7	served 8:2	slow 41:19	standard 29:6	suggestion 15:16	television 44:8
return 19:25	saying 26:6 66:2	service 2:16 6:19	small 29:22 62:7	standards 30:18	suggestions 46:7	television-type
20:25 21:14	scale 1:14 28:5	8:24 9:21 10:6	<b>smart</b> 40:16	41:5 51:25	suggests 25:16	43:24
51:19	scenario 30:22	15:1 34:23	smoothly 5:12	52:1	26:14	tell 1:7 17:14,25
returned 2:25	scenarios 27:18	53:20	social 10:23 14:7	start 24:8 40:4	suicide 38:8	45:3,20,21
returning 19:12	science 66:5	services 17:24	14:19 17:5	43:13	suit 24:22	63:12,13
reveal 39:25	scrutiny 14:15	service-wide	20:11 22:10	started 11:25	suits 46:10	telling 18:2
revealed 12:4	62:1	34:18	23:10 25:24	33:13 54:19	summarise	ten 1:17
43:1 57:24	second 26:16	serving 14:20	60:20	starter 50:23	36:23 46:16	tend 49:3
revealing 14:22	37:12 42:12	set 11:18,20	society 39:21	starting 22:3	summary 42:11	tended 10:15,18
43:2 55:8	43:8 52:15,16	53:21 54:22	softer 7:22	25:18	summer 7:10	tends 41:23
review 4:20	secondly 4:1	55:4,4 58:18	software 17:3	state 21:11	supervisors 63:4	47:14
26:18 28:8	22:19	59:17	49:24 63:20	state 21.11 statement 9:20	supplied 28:9	tension 48:21
32:21 55:17	section 6:8 51:9	sets 52:3	Soham 47:18	20:7 52:6		
					support 3:10	tensions 59:21
58:17	sector 12:19 30:8	setting 32:13	<b>solution</b> 36:13	66:20	4:18 7:16 12:3	tentatively 16:22
reviewing 34:20	30:19,23 31:25	shape 5:13 29:11	38:18 48:15,15	statistic 29:2	31:11 39:8	term 7:18 15:4
reviews 48:25	35:9,10,11,22	32:2 33:25	49:9 59:22	status 31:17	44:15 51:18	32:7
revised 3:11 29:2	sectors 24:23	60:3	solutions 46:13	step 37:3	53:25 54:10,11	terms 11:16
revision 50:18	34:7	share 1:6 13:22	65:1	stewards 32:17	63:18	15:12 36:14
51:11	secured 12:10	16:23	solve 45:5,7	<b>stop</b> 50:14	supported 5:13	37:17,17 38:21
revolution 61:12	security 16:25	<b>shared</b> 10:5 49:5	solved 44:9	storm 54:1	<b>suppose</b> 7:5 8:13	39:10 42:9
revolving 30:4,7	30:8 62:24	Sherlock 40:13	47:18	story 37:6,11	15:16 36:20	50:7 55:11
right 9:15,22	see 7:2,10,14 8:7	40:20	somebody 5:12	39:5 61:5	62:9	57:7 58:13
11:24 12:25	11:17,20 12:21	shift 61:25	24:1,13 25:7	straight 42:5	suppressed 57:4	59:17 66:14
20:8 21:4,20	13:21 35:18	<b>short</b> 30:14 51:7	37:17 45:3	straightforward	sure 3:14 4:25	terrible 58:8
22:17 24:18	42:1 54:15	54:8 66:20	55:6 57:16	38:22	24:23 33:2	terrorism 1:16
27:6,19 28:19	56:11 57:9,15	shorthand 51:4	somewhat 26:24	strategy 33:9	40:14 45:15	1:23 2:15
39:15,19 45:4	57:22 65:1	shortly 22:25	39:1 60:16	street 8:5	49:7 61:17	terrorist 2:4 3:3
45:15 46:18	seeing 8:5	show 17:10 30:6	sorry 59:22	strength 4:11	64:19	terrorist-related
47:4 50:21,22	seek 8:25 13:17	showed 28:10	sort 4:9,10 10:19	strengthening	<b>Surrey</b> 48:18	3:6
51:5,15 61:3	17:9 53:14	showing 19:23	22:8 46:21	62:13	survive 5:9 54:24	test 25:2 56:2
61:10 63:6	seeking 20:15	shrink 50:20	54:18	stress 4:7	system 3:7 17:4	58:24 64:6
65:10 68:16	24:10 65:15	side 49:9	sought 39:11	stressed 33:19	35:18 44:17,17	tested 64:4
rigour 5:9	seen 12:14 20:4	significant 1:14	sources 23:18	strong 7:16	62:10,10 63:18	testing 17:2 62:1
rise 23:23 66:9	24:22 32:14	31:19 37:10	South 20:6	26:19 47:9	64:6	text 7:24
risk 27:4 56:16	33:2 36:24	50:18	speak 15:12 23:8	62:10 65:12	systems 16:24	thank 6:24 9:17
risks 33:7 34:14	37:7 41:19,24	significantly	55:5	stronger 4:20	17:1,2 32:9	15:15 18:5
	46:24 58:10			57:25 63:17		29:16 34:9
risky 54:7		66:1	speaking 25:8,12		34:14 64:4 system-wide	
road 46:7,8	65:25 66:1,2	similar 10:22	37:1 42:4,8	strongly 49:1		36:18 42:3
Robust 34:14	self-evidently	simply 4:11,14	speaks 8:17	66:18	26:15	51:5 64:15,16
role 2:9 27:23	6:15	12:8,18 30:24	40:19	struck 37:4		64:17 66:6
44:13 48:21	self-explanatory	49:23 64:2	special 9:24	structure 15:17		68:14,15,17
54:10 60:7	25:25	single 44:10 67:8	specialised 28:1	64:23	tab 6:7	thankfully 8:8
62:9,23 64:8	senior 3:16 5:24	sir 1:3 3:15 5:3	49:13	study 29:16	tails 29:15	27:19
room 48:25	27:1,5,21,22	6:2,3 8:18	specialist 1:7	subdivided	taint 62:4	themes 34:10
rough 5:11	31:6 47:10	11:13 12:16	30:1	36:21	tainted 61:23	theories 40:15
roughly 48:17	sense 16:13 21:8	13:4,18,23	specific 5:1 20:4	subheading 42:3	take 5:25 9:5	they'd 12:5
route 58:2,19	23:19 25:17	21:5,10 25:13	26:18 53:11,22	subject 21:24	13:4 30:12,21	thing 4:9,15 17:7
routine 4:18	26:20 28:2	28:18 31:18	57:21 63:24,25	52:12 65:11	35:24 36:3	20:25 24:8
rubrics 36:22	36:10 43:9	32:3 33:10	spectrum 7:19	<b>submit</b> 66:20	37:19 48:11	26:15 37:12
<b>rules</b> 31:8	52:23	36:19 40:2	7:21,23	subsidiary 2:3	50:8,17 60:24	41:9 43:4
run 46:9,12	<b>sensible</b> 4:3 30:3	45:17,21,24	<b>spoken</b> 12:20	substantial 1:23	67:10	44:21 45:12,13
56:16	64:23 65:7	46:10,12,21	13:5	7:15 27:4	taken 16:23	49:14 50:7
running 14:17	sentence 9:4	48:11 50:5	spot 17:4	success 5:14	57:15	55:25 57:8
	sentiment 7:7	51:9 57:7	spur 37:24	61:11	talented 2:19	58:22 61:15
S	17:17	62:16 63:24	squad 58:7	suddenly 17:10	talk 48:3 57:3	62:12,13 63:19
sacked 36:8	<b>separate</b> 3:13 6:5	64:10,14,17	squads 28:1	sufficient 64:10	talked 47:11	things 3:11 4:5
safeguard 33:22	11:1 22:8	65:16 66:5	square 56:21	sufficiently	talking 44:6 56:1	4:20 5:11 8:5
safety 58:12 60:2	36:21	67:23 68:14	staff 10:6 14:21	49:22	56:10 61:12	10:7 11:2
sake 45:4	separation 23:2	sites 23:10 25:25	26:17,23 27:1	suggest 16:22	tangible 14:4	13:20,21 15:11
sanction 35:20	23:4	situation 40:8	27:5,18,21,22	24:2 63:9	targeting 33:15	17:3,10 19:22
sandwiches 16:5	serious 1:10 27:9	size 1:13 31:4	27:25 33:19	67:24	tea 16:5	33:23 35:20
10.5						

						Page 77
	I	Ī	I	I	Ī	I
36:1 37:5	28:16 35:2	Um 43:6	validation 63:2,6	52:5 55:20	54:7	<b>2</b> 8:18
38:22 41:24	36:2 38:12,12	unauthorised	valuable 5:21	60:3 65:17	worked 36:7	<b>2.05</b> 1:2
42:11,24,24	38:14,20 39:1	10:14 11:15	value 7:3 42:1,2	ways 24:14,15	working 13:15	<b>20</b> 47:22
43:11 48:19,25	46:17 53:4	uncomfortable	58:23 59:18	33:20 35:23	31:11 35:14,15	<b>2005</b> 63:15
49:16 53:3	54:21 58:18,18	37:24 38:1,19	values 32:12,24	44:4 51:19	36:9 55:25	<b>2006</b> 1:20 2:16
54:11 57:18,23	64:5 65:19	Underlying	33:1 41:5	56:6 59:24	64:7	3:15 4:13,21
65:9,22	67:11	21:21	51:24 52:1,6	wearying 38:2	world 14:5,17	<b>2007</b> 3:5 63:15
think 1:9,18,21	times 5:11 37:8	undermined	valve 58:12 60:3	website 62:25	43:23 59:5	<b>2008</b> 2:25 3:8
3:5,16 4:20	38:2 49:18	25:17 39:6	variable 18:22	week 22:19	60:17 67:7	<b>2009</b> 1:4,20 3:23
5:17 6:10,20	61:23	underneath 53:2	varied 13:9	30:22,22 56:4	worst 30:22	4:13,21
6:21,24 8:9,16	timing 28:23	understand 4:23	various 2:8,15	56:5	worst-possible	<b>21</b> 6:10
11:24 13:1,19	title 25:21	5:2,22 11:13	vast 62:5	weighing 57:8	38:16	<b>25</b> 8:15
14:10,13 18:4	today 43:3 48:23	11:14 15:6	versa 30:5	welcome 6:1	worth 2:10 34:5	<b>27</b> 8:18
18:6 19:22	Tomorrow 68:17	30:11 38:18	viable 58:22	well-rehearsed	59:6	<b>28</b> 11:3
20:16 21:22	top 1:9 14:1 19:1	40:16,22 45:11	vice 30:5	17:2	worthy 52:7	<b>29</b> 14:1 51:10
23:1,8 24:13	32:19 55:14	47:12 56:11	victim 41:15	went 2:23 12:3,8	wouldn't 45:23	<b>298</b> 28:17
24:18,19,20,22	58:15	60:2,23 62:15	video 38:7	36:20	56:4 57:19	
26:2,10 27:9	topic 62:17	65:5 67:21	view 5:18 10:5	weren't 12:9	wrapped 44:1	3
27:16 28:4	top-end 47:15,21	68:8	17:12 19:2	West 48:17 58:7	write 67:11	<b>3</b> 22:3
29:10,11,21,24	touched 1:3	understandable	21:17 22:24	we'll 7:6 46:18	writer 51:4	<b>3,000</b> 48:17
30:6,18 31:1	trade 30:1	3:18 10:25	23:1 24:3,23	47:8 59:24	writing 64:13	<b>3.34</b> 51:6
32:5,15 33:4	traditional 31:23	understanding	32:9 49:13	63:11	65:24	<b>3.39</b> 51:8
34:11 35:3,24	training 20:20	6:17 15:7,7	50:17 59:6	we're 20:23 22:8	written 38:7	<b>30</b> 18:6 47:22
36:16,23 37:16	34:19 41:16	16:6 48:22	65:2 67:2	25:1 30:6 34:3	63:11,24 66:20	30-plus 39:11
37:19 38:20,25	43:16	65:8	viewed 23:16	44:6 54:15	wrong 9:14 12:8	<b>31</b> 19:2
39:12 40:24	transaction 63:1	understood 4:5	views 5:20 13:7	61:16,17,25	18:15 27:20	<b>34</b> 22:3
41:3,7,11 43:8	63:6	10:2 49:19	20:21 25:3,9	68:16	36:20 41:25	<b>36</b> 22:12
43:19 47:5,10	transactions	64:24,24 68:5	48:11	we've 1:3 6:7	55:3	<b>38</b> 23:7
47:18 48:2,8	63:3	undertake 2:12	virtual 14:5	22:18 34:10,21	00.0	<b>38.4</b> 63:1
48:21,25 50:16	transparency	undertaken 4:1	visible 9:9	35:17 37:21	X	<b>39</b> 25:25
51:3,3,12,15	24:21,22	undertaking 2:3	visit 57:3	46:1 55:4 61:5	X 61:5	37 23.23
51:25 52:3,18	transparent 21:7	undertook 1:25	vocational 27:11	64:2	24 01.5	4
53:9,17 54:5	23:15,20 29:9	2:13 7:13	vulnerabilities	whichever 46:10	Y	<b>4</b> 6:7 26:1
56:6,9,19 57:3	transposed 63:8	unevenness	20:22 34:6	64:1	year 34:25	<b>4.07</b> 68:18
59:6,13,23,24	treating 7:25	26:13	vulnerability	whilst 1:18 23:15	year 34.23 years 1:17 14:13	<b>40</b> 26:2,9 37:22
61:11,12,15	trick 39:23 67:24	unexclusively	35:16	26:17 36:14	28:10 36:7	<b>40</b> 20.2,9 37.22 <b>42</b> 29:5
63:7,9 65:16	trivial 26:12	53:16	vulnerable 34:2	whistle-blow	37:22 39:11	42 29.3
66:23	trouble 30:12	unfair 40:22	valuerable 5 1.2	55:6	41:20 47:22	5
thinking 36:13	62:7	unfairly 40:14		Whitehall 2:8	48:9	
64:19	true 9:24	unfriendly 39:13	Wales 20:6 28:9	Whittamore		<b>5</b> 29:11,21
thinks 53:7	Trufflenet 17:23	unhelpful 65:4	wall 45:8	12:3	yields 16:15 young 36:2	<b>5.50</b> 29:11
third 37:16	truly 13:19	uniformly 48:4	want 5:25 17:22	widely 6:15	young 30.2	<b>51</b> 29:24
53:13	trust 7:12	uninitiated 18:9	20:1 23:4 24:4	23:18	0	<b>55</b> 32:4
thought 2:10 4:3	trustworthy 13:6	units 28:1 49:13	34:3,4 36:3,4	wider 6:11 20:5		<b>56</b> 32:19
13:5 30:16	truth 56:7	University 42:12	36:16 38:17	22:3 29:3	<b>04396</b> 6:11	<b>57</b> 33:8
45:2 67:14	try 12:18 13:20	unusual 4:15	39:9 40:24	30:13 65:7	<b>04400</b> 8:16	
thoughtful 10:20	19:15 21:14	unwind 41:20	41:1 46:12	willing 54:16	<b>04402</b> 8:18	6
13:6	40:7,9 62:9	unwrapping	50:19 55:6	willingness	<b>04405</b> 18:6	6 29:22,23
thoughts 12:20	trying 21:12	14:18	66:21 67:6	57:17	04413 23:8	<b>62</b> 34:11
21:13 36:17,18	45:11 56:21	updated 28:14	wants 45:12 56:8	win 41:1	04415 26:2	<b>68</b> 28:11,17
42:13 47:5	57:10 59:17,23	upholding 25:10	59:4	wish 1:5 20:25	04430 32:5	
64:21	60:6 64:2	upnorung 23.10 upper 23:7	wasn't 4:25 12:5	54:20 62:21	04436 29:25	7
threat 1:25	tune 26:21	use 7:18 15:2	66:2	witness 20:7	<b>04437</b> 34:12	<b>7/7</b> 47:19
three 2:2 4:10	twitter 14:18	17:9 31:9 39:8	watch 50:2 53:4	wonder 63:7		
17:7 37:4,20	23:13	49:3 55:6 56:8	watched 36:1	word 46:25 55:7	1	8
49:3 51:1,20	two 1:21 3:19	58:18 59:4	Watson 40:14,15	60:22 65:12	<b>1</b> 6:9,24 28:11	<b>8</b> 32:3
53:17 63:3,25	9:10 18:14	65:22	40:21	words 18:18	32:8 35:4	<b>8.8</b> 33:18
thresholds 34:17	42:8	useful 18:19	way 2:7 3:5 5:13	28:11 36:22	<b>10</b> 68:17,19	<b>89</b> 8:9
tick-boxing 65:3	twofold 22:17	36:14 42:23	5:23 13:15	56:8 65:2	<b>12</b> 42:6,15	
tie 25:15	type 29:6	54:19		work 1:22 2:5,12	<b>13</b> 45:18	9
tightens 57:25	G pc 27.0	usually 11:18	16:9 20:19,24 24:18,19 26:13	2:24 3:23 4:8	<b>15,000</b> 48:16	<b>9</b> 34:9
time 1:12,12,22	U	41:8	27:17 31:13,19	5:22 7:13	<b>175</b> 3:6	<b>9,500</b> 28:10
1:24 2:12,17	ultimate 44:16	utterly 37:25		10:18 27:25	<b>1969</b> 37:7	<b>900</b> 48:18
2:21 3:23 4:2,7	48:15	accis 37.23	33:12 35:6,18	33:20 35:7	<b>1990s</b> 33:23	
5:4 14:6 18:4	ultimately 3:22		41:3,4,11 43:9 44:3 48:13,18	42:10,11 44:2		
19:6 24:1,7	58:6	validated 63:4	48:24 50:5,18	46:4 49:11	2	
17.0 24.1,7	50.0	vanuaicu 05.4	70.27 30.3,10	10.1 17.11		
<u> </u>	•	•	•	•	•	•